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## ABSTRACT

Alternating diagonal ordering of node points for a two-dimensional finite-difference model of ground-water flow can be used to produce a direct solution algorithm that is computationally more efficient than iterative methods for moderately sized grids. Comparisons with the strongly implicit procedure, line-succesive overrelaxation, and the iterative alternating direction implicit procedure indicate that a direct method using alternating diagonal ordering can be competitive for as many as 3,000 equations. A FORTRAN computer code is included that is compatible with the two-dimensional ground-water flow model developed by the U.S. Geological Survey. The performance characteristics, computer storage requirements, and input data requirements for the direct solution algorithm are also included.

## INTRODUCTION

As the availability of large capacity, high speed computers increases, the utility of direct methods (Gaussian elimination) for solving the set of linear algebraic equations encountered in ground-water modeling also increases. UPrice and Coats (1974) analyzed the use of direct methods for solving matrix equations encountered in reservoir simulation problems. They argue that it is well known that the commonly used method for ordering equations (that is; numbering a finite-difference grid in the smallest dimension) is certainly not the most efficient one. They go on to discuss the advantages of various alternative methods for ordering equations, in particular, a method which they refer to as D4 or alternating diagonal ordering. Results indicate that for large grids, D4 ordering requires only one-fourth the computing time and one-third the storage of standard ordering for non-symmetric problems in two-dimensions.

The purpose of D4 ordering is to construct a coefficient matrix such that during the elimination process, sparsity will be conserved. Sparsity refers to the relative number of non-zero elements in the matrix. Certain multiplications and divisions can be avoided if zero elements are encountered during elimination and thus, if the sparsity is maximized, the work required to complete the elimination can be minimized. Consider a 5-by-5 grid shown in figure 1 with the grid points numbered in D4 fashion. The coefficient matrix [A], resulting from finite-difference approximations for a twodimensional ground-water flow model will have non-zero entries denoted by the X's in figure 2.

Note that the upper half of [A] is already in upper triangular form (no non-zero elements to the left of the main diagonal). Eliminating unknowns associated with equations in the upper half from the equations in the lower half, produces non-zero entries in the lower half of [A] shown by the circles in figure 2. Note that, 1) calculations are not required for zero entries during this elimination, and 2) the bandwidth of non-zero entries created in the lower half is such that elimination through the lower half requires less work than standard ordering. Although item 2) may not be obvious from figure 2, Price and Coats (1974) demonstrate that these characteristics can reduce the work (number of multiplications and divisions) required for elimination to almost $N^{2} / 4$ for large square grids, where $N$ is the number of equations. Standard ordering requires $N^{2}$ multiplications and divisions; thus D4 ordering may require only one-fourth as much work.


Figure $1 .-$ - 4 (alternating diagonal) ordering for a $5-b y-5$ grid.


[^0]Also, symmetric matrices require only one-half as much work as non-symmetric matrices (operations are necessary only to the right of the main diagonal). Thus, the work required using D4 ordering may rapproach $\mathrm{N}^{2} / 8$ or $\mathrm{IJ}^{3} / 8$ for large square grids, where $I$ and $J$ are the grid dimensions.

## ESTIMATING WORK RATIOS

For direct solution methods, the bandwidth of the coefficient matrix is an important characteristic because the storage requirements are proportional to the bandwidth and work is proportional to the square of the bandwidth. The work required for elimination of a banded symmetric matrix, using standard ordering, is approximately $\mathrm{NJ}^{2} / 2$ or $\mathrm{IJ}^{3} / 2$ where $J$, the smallest grid dimension, is assumed to approximate the bandwidth of the matrix. If the reduction in work produced by D 4 ordering can be estimated, the work ratio between D 4 ordering and iterative methods can also be estimated for various grid sizes.

If $\mathrm{J}<\mathrm{I}$, the bandwidth for standard ordering is $\mathrm{J}+1$ and the work for large $I$ and $J$ is, as mentioned above, approximately $\mathrm{IJ}^{3} / 2$. Therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{D 4} \simeq f_{D 4} \frac{\mathrm{IJ}^{3}}{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{D 4}$ is the work ratio of $D 4$ compared to standard ordering. Figure 3 shows work ratios of $D 4$ to standard ordering ( $f_{D 4}$ ) achieved using an IBM 370/155 computer for various grid sizes and grid elongations (ratios of J to I). The Gauss-Doolittle method of decomposition (Forsythe and Moler, 1967) was used for both D4 and standard ordering. Thus an estimate of work using D4 ordering can be obtained using figure 3 and equation 1.


Figure 3.--Work ratio ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) for various elongation ratios using 04 ordering.

For iterative solution methods, the work for each iteration is directly proportional to the number of equations and the total work required for a solution can be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i t} \simeq C_{i} N_{i} I J \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{i}$ is the number of multiplications and divisons required per iteration, $N_{i}$ is the number of iterations required for a solution, and IJ is the product of the grid dimensions which presumably approximates the number of unknowns for a given problem. The coefficient $C_{i}$ is about 31 for S.IP (strongly implicit procedure), 47 for IADI (iterative alternating direction implicit procedure) and 23 for LS $\emptyset \mathrm{R}$ (line-successive overrelaxation) as coded in the model for two-dimensional groundwater flow developed by Trescott and others (1976). Note that the grid dimensions of the two-dimensional ground-water flow model are not exactly equal to $I$ and $J$ as discussed herein. To simplify computations, the model grid includes a border of inactive node points. Thus the model grid dimensions must be reduced by 2 to obtain the values of $I$ and J used in this discussion.

The relative work between the D4 method and the iterative methods can be estimated by combining equations 1 and 2 as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W_{D 4}}{W_{i t}} \simeq \frac{0.5 f_{D 4} J^{2}}{\mathrm{C}_{i} N_{i}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In developing a computer code that would be compatible with the twodimensional ground-water flow model (Trescott and others, 1976), a small amount of overhead was required to calculate the coefficient matrix. To make a more accurate practical estimate of work ratios ( $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{D} 4} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{it}}$ ), this overhead (approximately 20IJ multiplications) is included even though it becomes insignificant for large grids. The work ratio between D4 ordering and iterative methods can thus be approximated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W_{D 4}}{W_{i t}} \simeq \frac{0.5 f_{D 4} J^{2}+20}{C_{i} N_{i}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 4 depicts the quantity $W_{D} N_{i} / W_{i t}$ for various grid sizes (assuming $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{J}$ ) for the three iterative methods included in the twodimensional ground-water flow model. Equation 4 was used to construct the graph with values of $f_{D 4}$ obtained from figure 3 for a $1: 1$ elongation ratio. The quantity $W_{D 4} N_{i} / W_{i t}$ is the number of iterations that yield the same amount of work required by direct solution with D4 ordering. Thus if an iterative method requires more than $W_{D 4} N_{i} / W_{i t}$ iterations, the problem can be solved more efficiently using the D4 technique.


Figure 4.-Number of iterations that represent the same amount of work as direct solution; assuming D4 ordering.

It is also of interest to note that for a problem containing missing grid blocks (transmissivity equal to zero) or other irregularities in boundary geometry, the $D 4$ technique may be more effective than equation 4 would predict. The reason is that missing grid blocks or irregular boundary geometry can result in a smaller bandwidth than that estimated from the grid dimensions. It is clear from equation 4 that if the bandwidth is reduced, the work required for the $D 4$ technique may be significantly reduced because the work is directly proportional to the square of the bandwidth.

COMPUTER CODE

A FORTRAN computer code was developed to perform direct solution assuming D4 ordering. The code was constructed to be interchangeable with the S $\emptyset$ LVE2 subroutine ( $L S \emptyset R$ ) in the two-dimensional ground-water flow model (Trescott and others, 1976) and is listed in the appendix. Although the definition of some input data variables has changed, the only modification required to accommodate this subroutine into the program is to change one card in the main program. This card is also listed in the appendix. Before describing the changes in input data, a discussion of non-linear terms and uniform time steps is appropriate.

## Non-1inear Terms

For water-table aquifer systems; systems that include groundwater evapotranspiration; or combined water-table artesian simulations; the resulting equations are non-linear or are only piecewise linear. The term piecewise linear is meant to imply that the system is linear over certain ranges of head but not uniformly linear over the entire range. To analyze these problems effectively in the environment of a direct-solution scheme, linearization techniques such as Newton-Raphson iteration (Blair and Weinaug, 1969), or perturbation (J.V. Tracy, oral comm., 1977) can be used. Although these methods solve the problem in a mathematically pleasing fashion, a nonsymmetric coefficient matrix is produced, thus significantly reducing the utility of a direct-solution scheme. For most. ground-water problems, a simple technique called extrapolation can give satisfactory results with a minimum of computational effort.

## Extrapolation

The purpose of using a technique such as perturbation is to avoid decomposing the coefficient matrix more than once per time step, as would be required if the non-linear terms were updated iteratively. A very simple, yet effective, method for obtaining an estimate of the non-linear terms is to extrapolate the head using values calculated from preceding time steps (Von Rosenberg, 1969). Generally, extrapolation is made to the mid-point of the next time step, thus providing estimates of the average non-linear coefficients during that step. If the point of extrapolation is variable, the scheme could be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{*}=h_{k-1}+\theta\left(h_{k-1}-h_{k-2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h^{*}$ is the estimated head to be used for calculating non-1inear terms, $h_{k-1}$ and $h_{k-2}$ are heads at the $k-1$ and $k-2$ time levels, respectively, and $\theta$ is the extrapolation factor. If $\theta$ is set to zero, the scheme becomes one of explicit evaluation of non-linear terms at time level $k-1$. Although the method is simple in concept, it appears to be quite effective for many non-linear ground-water flow problems and yields an estimate of the solution to the non-linear problem in a single decomposition of the coefficient matrix.

Extrapolation may not eliminate all of the difficulties associated with non-linear terms, however, and so the computer code was structured to allow a sequence of "controlled" iterations during each time step. This takes the form of specifying a minimum number of iterations that must be completed during the step. Non-linear terms are evaluated using the head computed by the most recent iteration. A maximum number of iterations is also specified and the sequence is terminated if the maximum head change for an iteration is smaller than a specified tolerance. Termination of the sequence must be achieved within the maximum limit of iterations or the program will abort. However, by selecting an arbitrarily large closure tolerance, a minimum number of iterations can be guaranteed and the closure tolerance will be satisfied; thus the program will not abort. The use of iteration, although somewhat inefficient computationally, should allow the solution of many problems that cannot be solved using only extrapolation.

## Uniform Time Steps

For linear problems (artesian simulations with no evapotranspiration), a direct-solution technique can be very effective for simulations with uniform time steps. For these problems, the coefficient matrix does not change from one time step to the next and therefore only a single decomposition of the matrix is required. Heads at subsequent time steps are determined by reformulating the right hand sides of the difference equations and back substituting. The computational work required to reformulate and back substitute can be substantially less than that of decomposition, thus solving for several uniform time steps can be accomplished much more efficiently than an equivalent number of non-uniform steps.

The computer code is designed to take advantage of this reduction in work automatically if the necessary conditions exist. The necessary conditions are: . 1) artesian simulation, 2) no evapotranspiration, 3) no iteration specified (see variable LENGTH below), and 4) uniform time steps.

## Changes to Input Data.

Subsequent paragraphs describe changes in the definitions of some input data variables used in the two-dimensional ground-water flow model (Trescott and others, 1976). Complete descriptions of the input data cards can be found on pages 49-55 of that report.

In group II, card 2, columns 21-30, the variable ERR is used to define the error criterion for closure on the iteration sequence for non-linear problems. If the calculated head change for an iteration is smaller than this value at all nodes, iteration will stop. Reasonable values of this parameter are probably about 0.1 or 0.2 and are related to the amount of error in transmissivity, evapotranspiration coefficients, or leakage coefficients that is acceptable. A large value of $E R R$ can be used to guarantee closure after a minimum number of iterations has been completed.

In group II, card 2, columns 71-80, the variable LENGTH is defined as the minimum number of iterations desired. Thus if at least 2 iterations (in addition to the first decomposition) are desired, code 2 for LENGTH. The maximum number of iterations desired is controlled by the parameter ITMAX (group I, card 4, columns 31-40). Set ITMAX to the maximum number of iterations desired. For some problems in which non-linearity is caused by the constraints on evapotraspiration coefficients or leakage coefficients in combined water-table artesian simulations, it may be desirable to iterate one or two times. If these two parameters (LENGTH and ITMAX) are set equal, and ERR is sufficiently large, LENGTH iterations will result. The purpose of this type of iteration is to insure that the water-1evel has not exceeded the allowable range for correct coefficient calculation during the time step. For example, evapotranspiration rate is limited to a maximum value if the water level is above land surface.

If the water level moves above land surface during a time step, the rate will be incorrect unless iteration is performed. However, this not be necessary for most problems and may only be significant for steady-state calculations. To avoid iteration, set LENGTH to zero.

In group II, card 3, columns 1-10, the variable HMAX is defined as a dampening factor similar to $\beta^{\prime}$ used in the SIP algorithmn. It can be used to control oscillations for some highly non-linear water-table problems. (See Trescott and others, 1976, pp. 26-29).

Recall that the computer code was constructed as a replacement for subroutine SøLVE2 (LSøR) and thus LSøR must be selected in group I, card 3 , columns $26-30$ to designate direct solution. If direct solution is selected, an additional data card is required prior to the group IV data. The card inputs the variable THETA used for extrapolation in water-table simulations. The format is F10.0 (columns 1-10) and a blank card is required for simulations in which direct solution is selected and THETA is not used (non-water-table simulations).

Additional arrays (AU, AL, IC, B, and IN) are required for direct solution and are dimensioned explicitly in the subroutine. (See Appendix). The required dimensions for $A U, A L, I C, B$, and $I N$ are computed by the program and displayed on the program output. These variables and must be dimensioned at least as large as indicated on the output if the program is to run successfully. Array IN should always be dimensioned by at least DIML-2 by DIMW-2 (DIML and DIMW are the model grid dimensions). Initially, the other arrays can be dimensioned as follows, assuming $N \simeq$ DIML $x$ DIMW, $A U$ and $I C$ should be N/2 by 5, AL should be N/2 by DIML-1, and B should be N. If these
estimates differ significantly from the computed values, it may be appropriate to recompile using the computed dimensions.

Storage Requirements and Computation Time
Although storage requirements and computation time will depend entirely upon the type of computer system available, experience on an IBM $370 / 155 \frac{1 /}{}$ will be presented to provide some insight into expected values.

The core storage in thousand- byte units ( 1 byte $=8$ bits, 32 bit words) can be approximated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C} \simeq 87+0.034 \mathrm{~N}^{1.23} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N is the number of active nodes (unknowns). This assumes that all options have been selected and that the $Y$ array (see Trescott and others, 1976, p. 38) and the additional arrays required for D4 ordering are dimensioned exactly as required. Thus, for 1000 unknowns, 254 K bytes of core storage are required. That part of this total required by the additional arrays in D 4 is approximately;

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{D 4} \simeq \frac{7 N+0.5 N B}{256} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is one less than the smallest grid dimension (DIML-1 or DIMW-1). On modern computers, core storage is commonly available in quantities that allow serious consideration of problems involving as many as

1/ The use of brand name in this report is for identification purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.
three thousand unknowns. As a practical matter, two-dimensional groundwater models seldom have more than 3,000 unknowns and therefore the D4 ordering technique should be an effective solution method.

An empirical relation for CPU (central processor) time in seconds, excluding data input, is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\left(4.82 \times 10^{-5}\right) \mathrm{N}^{1.69} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the time required to complete an iteration, or a non-uniform time step, if iteration is unnecessary.

## Roundoff Error

Roundoff error may cause difficulties for some problems if the magnitude of the elements of the coefficient matrix are highly variable. The decomposition of the matrix as written in the computer code in the appendix is carried out in single precision arithmetic and computers such as the IBM $370 / 155$ that have a standard word size of 32 bits ( 6 to 7 decimal digits) can be prone to roundoff error. Computers that have larger standard word sizes (such as the CDC 7600 with 60 bit words) seldom have roundoff error problems.

Errors in the mass balance computed by the ground-water model are indications of roundoff error. If the error is large (greater than about one percent), it may be necessary to 1) carry out the decomposition in
double precision arithmetic, 2) iterate on the residual of the difference equations, 3) use some form of scaling the coefficient matrix, or 4) use a computer that has a larger standard word size. Iteration on the residual is accomplished merely by forcing iteration (LENGTH $>0$ ). Scaling the coefficient matrix requires modification of the computer code and was found to be somewhat ineffective on a test problem that exhibited roundoff error difficulties.

## Utility

It is anticipated that the $D 4$ method will be most useful in the solution of steady-state problems. For the iterative methods (SIP, $A D I$, and $L S \emptyset R$ ) solutions to steady-state problems generally require many iterations unless the initial estimates of aquifer head are close to the solution. This is uncommon, however, and thus the D4 method should be very effective.

For transient problems, the aquifer head at the old time level is normally very close to the values at the new (unknown) time level and iterative methods can be used to obtain a solution in a few iterations. Large time steps however, will probably result in a situation similar to steady-state problems in that many iterations may be required by iterative methods and the D4 method may be more effective. Also, as indicated previously, transient simulations of linear problems using many time steps of equal size may be accomplished very efficiently using the D4 method.

The size and speed of modern computers have increased utility of direct- solution techniques as applied to ground-water modeling problems. The D4 ordering' scheme with Gauss-Doolittle decomposition is competitive with iterative methods, such as SIP; IADI and LS $\emptyset$ R, for many problems. The problem of selecting iteration parameters, restrictions on coefficient variation, and slowly converging or possibly non-converging sequences of estimates are virtually eliminated if direct solution is used.

Work ratios between the D4 method and the iterative methods can be estimated and an evaluation of the utility of the D4 method can be made. On an IBM 370/155 computer, the two-dimensional ground-water model can be programmed to solve for 3,000 unknowns in the same amount of CPU time required for about 13 SIP iterations. Thus, direct solution assuming $D 4$ ordering can be an effective solution algorithmn for a wide range of ground-water modeling problems.
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APPENDIX
-22-

Changes to program code to use D4

1) Change card MAN1710 in the Main program to:
43), $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{L}(20)), \mathrm{Y},(\mathrm{L}(22)), \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{L}(21)), \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{L}(18))$

Note that this is a continuation card and thus the first character (4) is in column 6.
2) Insert the subroutine listed on the following pages in place of SøLVE2.
SLRROLTINE SOLVFZ (PHI,DI,D2,D3,KEEP,PHE,STRT,T,S,URE,WELL,TI, D4 1

2 FIVFF, FOTTOMI
D4
SFFCIFICATIONS: D4
REAL \# $\mathrm{FFHI}, F, F H O, C L, C R, C A, C B, A R E A, D X H, D Y A$
04
REAL *4KEEP,N,KEEFN D4
REAL *4KEEP, M, KEEFN D4
20
30
TATEGER K,F,PL,DTNL, DING, CHK,WATER, CONVRT, EVAF,CHCK,PNCY,NU:A,HEAD,D4 40
ICCNTF,LFAK,RFCH,SIP,ALI D4
C

1T(1). S(1), OFE(1), WELL(1), TL(1), SL(1), 0.
2 DELX(1), UELY(1), TEST3(1), TH(1), TC(1), D4
3GFNC(1). SY(1), TCP(1), RATE(1), M(1), RIVEF(1), BOTTOM(1) $\because \quad$ D4
DIMENSICN AU(500,E), AL(500.31), IC(500,5), IN(50950), © (1000) 04110
C
CCMNOA /SAPRAY/ VF4 (11),CHK(15) 04130
04120
CCMMOA /SPARAN/ WATER,CCNVRT,FVAP,CHCK,PNCH,NLM,HEAD,CONTR,FROR,LED4 140
IAK, RFCH, SIP,U,SS,TT,TNIN,ETDIST,WET, RAR,TNAX,CDLT,HMAX,YDIM.WIDTH.D4 150
2NLMS.LSCR,ADI, DELT,SUM, SUNP, SURS, STOUE,TFST,ETQU,ETGD,FACTX,FACTY,D4 $\quad 160$
3IERF,KOUNT. TFINAL,NUNT,KT,KP,NPEH,KTH,ITMAX,LENGTH,NWEL,NW, MIML,DID4 170
$4 \mathrm{MK}, \mathrm{UNCI}, I \mathrm{AOI} F, P, F U, I X X, J X X, I D K 1, I O K 2 \quad 04180$
RETLRA 04190

C C

FINTRY ITER2. D4 230

HEAC530, THFTA D4 250
$I^{N}=\Gamma I N L-2 \quad 04$ 2 $\quad 20$
$J N=D I N W=$ ? $\quad 04270$
C*\#\#\#\#CCMFUTE FQUATION IAUMAERS FOR D4 OFDERING
$04 \quad 280$
$N X P=I M+J M-1$
DC $10 \quad I=1, I M$
DC $10 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{JM}$
$N=I+J \# D I M L+I$
PrF $(N)=$ STFT $(N)$
$10 \mathrm{IN}(\mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{J})=0$
$k=0$
C*****OFDER--LEFT TC RTEHT, ECTTOM TO TUP
NC $20 \quad \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{NXP} \cdot 2$
DC $20 \mathrm{~J}=1 . \mathrm{JM}$
$I K=I-J+1$
IF (IK.LT.1) EO NC 20
IF (IK.GT.IM) GO 1020
$N=I K+J \# C I N L+1$
IF (T(N).LE.O..OR.S(N).LT.O.) EOTC 20
$K=K+1$
$I n(T K, J)=K$
こO CCNTINUE.
ICR=K+1
DC $30 I=2, N \times P, 2$
DC $30 \quad J=1, J M$
$I K=I-J+1$
IF (IK.LT.1) $\subset 0$ TC 30
IF (IK.GT.IM) GO 1030
$N=I K+J \& l I N L+1$
IF(T(N).I.E.O..ORES(N).LT.O.) GO TO 30
$K=K+1$
$\operatorname{IN}(I K, J)=K$
30 CCNTINUE
C\#\#\#\#CCMPUTE HANDWIDTH AND DETERNINE. CONNECTINE EQLATION NUMRERS
D4 550
D4 560

```
    MN0=9999 [. D4 570
    NXO=0 D. D4 580
    OC PO I=1,IM
        D4
    DC QO J=1. Jin
    IF=IN(I:.J)
        D4
        IF (IH.FO.O.OF.IR.GE.ICF) GO TO AO
        04 620
        JL=1
C** LFFT
    IF ((v-1).LT.1) ec.TO 40
    IF (IN(I,J-I).EG.C) GO T0 40
    JL=\!!+1
    JC(IH,JU)=IN(I,N-1)
    MN=IN(IM,I-l)-IR
    MXO=N\DeltaXO(NM,NXO)
    MAO=NSNO(NM.MNO)
C* \triangleACVF
```



```
            IF (IN(I-I,J).EQ.C) GO TO 50
            J=\ll+l
            IC(IP.JU)=IN(I-1,w)
            MN=IN(I-1,J)-IR
            NNO=MINO(MM,NAO)
            NXO=N\triangle\triangleO(NM,NXO)
C** BELOW
        50 IF ((I+l).GT.IM) GO TC 60
            TF (IN(I+I,J).EQ.C) GC TO 60
            J=\!!+1
            IC(IQ,JU)=IN(I+IN)
            MN=IN(I+1;J)-IR
            NXO=MAXO(NM,NXO)
            NNO=MTNO(NNOMOO)
    EICHT
        60 IF ((J+1).GT.(M) (O TC 70
            IF (IN(I,J+1).EG.C) GC TO 7n
            Jl=ull+1
            IC(IR,JU)=IN(I,J+1)
            MN=IN;(I,J+I)-IR
            NXO=M\DeltaXO(NM,NXO)
            MNO=MINO(MM,MNO)
    70 IC(IQ,1)=J(I
    80 CCNTINHE
        IE=N XO-NNC+2
        MEQ=K
        ICRI=ICF-1
        IEI=IP-1
        L.t 1=NFQ-ICR1
        L.F=AEQ-ICF
        WFITE (P,510) HNAX,LFNGTH,ITMAX,THETA
        WFITE (F,520) ICFI,LHI,IRI,[CRI,NEGOIN,NM
        RETURN
C####################
    EnTRY NEWITB
C&#####&#############
    KCUNT=0
    ITYPE=0 NF N 1110
    1100
    IF (CDLT.EQ.1..ANC.KT.GT.I.AND.LENGTH.EG.C.ANC.EVAP.NE.CHK(G)) ITYD4 1120
    1PE=1 0. 04 1130
    IF (NATER.NF.CHK(\overline{E})) GO TO 100 04 11.40
    ITYPE=2
    041150
    \capC 90 I=1.IM
    04 1160
    OC 90 J=1.JM
    04 1170
```

```
    N=I+J*OIML+1
    04 1180
    IF (T(N).LE.O..OF.S(N).LT.O.) GO TO.90
    D4 1190
    \capELTAH=(PHI(N)-PHE (N))*CDLT*THETA
    DEINAX=0.1*(PFI(N)-POTTCM(N))
    IF (AES(DELTAF) © T.DELN\DeltaX) DFLTAH=DELTAH*CELMAX/ABS(DELTAH)
    AFI(N)=FHI(N) + DELTAF
        GO CCNTINUE
        CALL TRANS
    100 fIGI=0.
C* LCAC NATRIX A AND VECTOF F FOR [J4
IF (TTYFE.RO.1) GG TO 130.
            NC 110 l=1.ICFl
    OC 110 v=1.5
    110 Al (I,J)=0.
    CC 1%0 I=1,LHI
    OC l20 J=1,IR1
    120 AL (T, ل)=0.
    120 \capC 140 I=1,NFG
    140 B(I)=0.
        DC 310 I=1,IN
        IC 310 J=1,JM
        IF (IN(I,J).FG.0) GO TC 310
        TF=IN(I,J)
        N=I+l+DIML#J
        NA=N-1
        NE=A+1
        NL=N-DINL
        NF=A+DTNL
        I) XR=\capFLX (J+1)
        \capYA=ПFLY(I+1)
    STRTN=STIRT(N)
        KEFPN=KEEP(N)
    PHFN=PHI(N)
    IF (ITYPF.tO.1) DFEN=PHF(N) D4
```



```
        D4
    C --CONPUTE COEFFICIENTS-R--
```



```
C
    C ---CONPLTE FXFLICIT ANO INPLICIT PARTS CF ET FATE---
    GFNDN=GFND(N)
    ETOR=0.
    ETOL=0.0 D D 15S0
    IF (PHFN.LE.GFNON-ETIIST) GO TO 16O
    IF (PHEN.GT.GFNDN) GO TC 150
    FTOR=OET/ETDIST
    ETOD=F TGH*(ETCIST-GRNUR)
    EC TO 160
    150 ETOD=OET O
    C ---CONPUTE STCRAGE TEAM--m
* 1&0 IF (CCNVHT.EG.CHK(7)) GC TO 170
    RHO=S(N)/CELT
    IF (W\triangleTER.EO.CHK(\overline{C})) RHC=SY(N)/DELT
    GC TO 240
C
c ---CONPUTE STCPAGF COEFFICIENT FOR CONVERSICN PHOBLEM=--
        170 SLRS =0.0
    TCPA=TOP(A)
    IF (KEEPN.GE.TOPN.AND.PLEN.GE.TOPN) GC TO 210
    IF (KEEPN.LT.TOPN.AND.AREN.LT.TOPN) GC TO 200
    04 1200
    D4 1220
    D4 1230
    D4 1240
    04 1250
D4 1260
D4 1270
D4 1280
04 1250
D4 1300
D4 1310
04 1320
    D4 1330
    D4 1340
    D4 1350
    D4 13*0
    D4 1370
    04 1380
    04 1390
    04 1400
    N=I+l+DIML#J O
    04-1420
    D4 1430
    04 1440
D4 1450
    1460
    C
    . COMPUTE COEFFICIENTSO
    1540
    C TF
    04 1550
    D4 1560
D4 1570
D4 1575
D4 1600
D4 1610
04 16E0
    04
630
    C
        HC=SY(N)/DELT
04 1650
D4 1660
D4 1670
D4 1680
D4 1690
D4 1700
04 1710
04 1720
D4 17.30
04 1740
04 1750
D4 1760
D4 1770
```

- 

```
    IF (KEEFN-PHEN) 1E0.150,190
    1&0 SLBS=(SY(N)-S(N))/DELT*(KEEPN-TOPN)
    GC TO 2l0
    1G0 SLRS=(S(N)-SY(N))/DELT*(KEEPN-TOPN)
    200 1at n=SY(N)/OELT
    gC TO 2ã0
    210 R+O=S(N)/DELT
    220 IF (IFAK.NE.CFK(Q)) GC TO 240
C
C
    -m-CONPUTF NET LEAKAGE TERM FOR CONVEFSION SINULATION=--
    IF (PATE(N).FG.O..OF.N(N).FG.O.) GO TC }24
    HEN1=AMAXI(STFTN,TOFN)
    U=1.
    HEO2=0.
    IF (PHEN.GE.TCPN) GC TC 230
    HFDP=TOFN
    |=0.
    230 SL(N)=RATF(N)/N(N)#(HIVFR(N)-HEDI) +TL(N)#(HEDI-HEDZ-STRTN)
    240 CCNTINUE
C
    AFFA=\GammaXE#PYB
C#####LCAT COFFFICIENTS INTC AUS ANO AL
    Cl=(TH(NL))$OYR
    CF=(TR(A))*DYF
    CA=(TC(NA))#DMA
    CE={TC(A))*\capXH
    IF (TTYFF.EQ.1) GC TO 300
    IF (IP.GE.ICA) GC TO 290
    J=1
    IF ((J-1).LT.1) OC TO 250
    IF (TN(I,N-1).EQ.C) GO 70 250
    J= \!j+1
    AL(IF,NL)=-CL
    250 If ((T-1).LT.1) OC TO 260
    IF (IN(I-1,J).EG.C) GO TO 260
    u=JU+T
    AL(IP,JU)=-CA
    260 IF ((I+1).GT.IM),CO TO=70
    IF (IA(I+1,J).EQ.:) GC TO 270
    U= UU+1
    AL (IR,JLi)=-CA
270 IF ((J+1).(GT,NW) (0) TC 280
    IF (IN(I,N+1).ER.C) GO 10 280
    U= UU+1
    AL(IQ.JU)=-CA
    2EO E: E +CA+CB+CL+CH
    Al, (IN,1)=E
    G(IR)=(RHC*KELFN+SL(N) +GPE(N) +WELL(N)-ETQC+SUES+TL(N)*STRTN)*AREA +D4 22G0
    ICA*PHI(NA) +CB#PHI(NB) +CI*PHI(NL) +CR&PHI(NH)-E&PHI(N)
    IF(T(N).GT.0.) GC TO 310
    AL(IQ,I)=1.
    A(IF)=0.
    GC TO 310
250 IFR=IF-ICFI
    E=E C CA+CH+CL+CR
    AL(IRG,1)=E
    R(IR)=(RHC*KEEPN+SL(N) +GRE(N) +WELL(N)-ETQL +SUES +TL(N)#STRTN)*AREA +D4 23E0
    1CA*FHI(NA)+CB*PHI(NP)+CL*FHI(NL)+CR#PFI(NF)-E#PHI(N)
    IF(T(N)OGT.O.)GGTO 310 DO 2400
    AL(IRF,I)=1.
D4 2420
```

```
        H(IF)=0.
    04 2430
    *C Tn 310
    04 2440
    - 300 A(IH)=(FHC*KEEPN+SL(N)+GRF(N)+WELL(N)-FTOL+SURS+TL(N)*STRTN!*ARFA+D4 2450
```



```
                IF(T(N).GT.O.) GC TO 310
                H(IF)=0.
        310 CCNTINUE
            IF (ITYFE.EO.I) or TO 3EO
    C###**FLININATE TO FILL AL
    OC 340 I=I.ICFI
            J=Ir.(I,1) 04 2530
            Cl=1./AL(I,1)
            [C 330 J=2:JJ
            1.F=IC(I,N)
    I=LF-ICF1
    C=Al:(I,N)*Cl
    DC 3つO K=J•JJ
    KL=TC(I,K)-LF+1
    AL(I, KL)=AL(I, KL)-C*AU(I,K)
        3e0 rCNTINUE
    A(J,J)=C
        330 CCNTINUE
        340 CCNTINUE
    C*####ELTNINATE AL
            IC 370 I=1,LH
            IF=I+ICFI
            I= I
            Cl=1./AL(I,1)
            OC 3FO J=2,IHI
            L=L+l
            IF (AL(I,N).FG,0.) GO TC 360
            C=AL(I,v)*Cl
            KL=0
            OC 350 K=J.IH1
            KL=KL+1
            IF (AL (I,K),NE,O.) AL(L,KL)=AL(L,KL)-C*AL.(I,K)
        350 CCNTINUE
            AL(I,Jj=C
        360 CCNTINUE
        370 CCNTINUE
    CA#NOLIFY RHS, UPPEF HALF
        3&0 [IC 4\cap0 I=1,ICF]
        . Ju=IC(I,1)
            nC 390 J=2,JJ
            I.H=IC(I,J)
            H(LR)=B(LF)-AL(I,L)*A(I)
        350 CCNTINUE
        400 H(I)=R(I)/AU(I,I)
        C#NNOCIFY RHS, LUWEF HALF
    DC 4つO I=1,LH
            IF=I+ICFI
    LF=IR
        DC 410 J=?,IA1
            LF=LR+1
            IF (AL(I,J).NE.0.) B(LR)=日(LR)-AL(I,J)*E(IR)
        410 CCNTINUE
    4<0 R(IF)=B(IF)/\DeltaL(I,I)
    C#####RACK SOLVE--LCWFF HALF
    H(NEQ)=G(NEQ)/AL (NEG-ICF1,1)
        OC 440 I=1,LH
    K=NEO-I
            042470
            04<480
            04 2450
            04 2500
    04 2510
    D4 2520
                            04 2530
                            04 2535
                            D4 2540
                            04 2550
                            04 2560
                            04 2570
                            04 2550
                            04 2550
                            042600
                            04 2610
                            D4 2620
        04 ご630
            D4 2640
            D4 2650
            D4 26G0
                            D4. 2670
                            D4 2680
                            04 2685
                            04 2RE5
                            04 2700
                            D4 2710
                            D4 2730
                            D4 2740
                            04 2740
            KL=KL+1=U゚IH1
                    04 2760
    04 2770
    04 2780
    D4 2790
    D4 2R00
    D4 2R10
    04 28E0
    D4 2820
    D4 2840
    D4 2R50
    D4 2RE0
    04 2870
    D4 2RE0
    04 2990
    D4 2900
    D4 2910
    D4 2920
    04 2930
    D4 2940
    D4 2950
    04 2960
    D4 2970
    04 2970
    D4 2980
    D4 2990
    D4 3000
    D4 3010
    D4 3020
```




[^0]:    Figure 2:-- Structure of matrix [A] assuming $D 4$ ordering. The $X$ characters denote non-zero elements in the original matrix [A]. The 0 characters denote non-zero elements formed by eliminating the $X$ characters from the equations in the lower half of the matrix.

