

# UNIVERSITY OF UTAH RESEARCH INSTITUTE EARTH SCIENCE LAB.

#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

FC

GS

76-428

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

# CONDITIONS IN THE DEEPER PARTS OF THE HOT SPRING SYSTEMS OF YELLOWSTONE NATIONAL PARK, WYOMING

by

A. H. Truesdell and R. O. Fournier

Open-file report

## 76-428

This report is preliminary and has not been edited or reviewed for conformity with Geological Survey standards

#### Introduction

Yellowstone thermal areas are scattered over an area of nearly  $50 \times 60$  kilometers (Fig. 1) and contain waters of diverse compositions. This has lead to the implicit assumption that Yellowstone consisted of discrete hydrothermal systems (e.g., Fournier and Truesdell, 1970). It is the purpose of this paper to suggest that these systems may be separate only near the surface and that there may exist at depth a large, relatively homogeneous body of water at 340 to 370°C containing about 1,000 ppm NaCl. This deep thermal water flows upwards losing steam into the near-surface geyser and hot spring systems where it undergoes variable amounts of dilution with cold meteoric water, reaction with rocks, and further steam loss to produce all of the hot spring waters of the Park. The evidence for these conclusions is from the application of chemical and isotopic geothermometers, from relationships between the chloride concentrations and subsurface heat contents of thermal waters, from the chemical and isotopic compositions of the waters, and from geophysical evidence.

#### Geology and Geophysics

As a result of an intensive program of geological, geochronological, geophysical, and geochemical studies by members of the U.S. Geological Survey, the volcanic history of Yellowstone is known in detail (Christiansen, 1976). Intense volcanism has characterized the Pleistocene history of Yellowstone Park. Three times in the past two million years volcanic activity culminating in pyroclastic eruptions has produced large volumes of ash-flow tuffs and caldera collapse. The last of these active periods was characterized by extensive rhyolitic lava flows before and

after the eruption of pyroclastic ash and caldera collapse over a 70 x 45 km area. Gravity, seismic, and magnetic studies indicate that partially molten magma underlies the entire area of this caldera at depths below 6 km and possibly extending deeper than 200 km (Eaton <u>et al.</u>, 1975; Trimble and Smith, 1975).

Temperature gradients in lake sediments of that part of Yellowstone Lake within the caldera range from 200 to 6000°C/km, but most measurements are between 600 and 900°C/km. Heat flows calculated from these measurements average about 15 HFU (Morgan <u>et al.</u>, 1976) and are of the same order as the average of 16 HFU for the total area within the Park east of the Continental Divide and of 43 HFU within the caldera derived from chemical measurements (Fournier et al., 1976).

#### The Hot Spring Systems

Hot spring and geyser basins are widely distributed within and just outside the Yellowstone caldera (Fig. 1). Thermal basins with large flows of hot water generally occupy topographic lows along surface drainages, and the few topographically elevated thermal areas are characterized by fumaroles with little water discharge. High chloride thermal waters are found along the Firehole River in Upper and Lower Geyser Basins, along the Gibbon River in Norris and Gibbon Geyser Basins, at the west end of Shoshone Lake, north of Heart Lake, and in a few isolated locations elsewhere. Thermal areas with fumaroles and acid springs are found east of the Yellowstone River, north of Norris Basin, and, to a smaller extent, in elevated areas adjacent to areas of hot water discharge. Waters of Mammoth Hot Springs to the north of the caldera precipitate travertine

(calcite) as do certain other springs within the geyser basins, although sinter (silica) precipitation is much more common.

Many analyses of Yellowstone thermal waters have been reported since the early studies of Gooch and Whitfield (1888). The most important compilations of analyses are Allen and Day (1935), Rowe <u>et al</u>. (1973), and Thompson <u>et al</u>. (1975). Representative water analyses selected from the last two are given in Table 1.

### Subsurface Temperatures - Chemical Geothermometers and Mixing Calculations

Research drilling in 1929-1930 (Fenner, 1936) and in 1967-1968 (White et al., 1975) has allowed direct measurement of underground temperatures in parts of Yellowstone thermal areas. Unfortunately, the drilling was to shallow depths (332 m maximum), and a maximum or "leveling off" temperature in a zone of fluid upflow was found only in the low-temperature system at Mammoth. Most of our knowledge of subsurface temperatures depends, therefore, on the application of chemical and isotopic geothermometers to hot spring fluids. These geothermometers depend on the existence of temperature-dependent equilibria at depth which are in part quenched or frozen in during passage to the surface. The theory of these geothermometers has been discussed by Fournier et al. (1974). Because partial reequilibration commonly does occur during passage to the surface, these geothermometers may yield only minimum temperatures. Average and maximum subsurface temperatures indicated by the silica (Fournier and Rowe, 1966; Mahon, 1966) and Na-K-Ca (Fournier and Truesdell, 1973) geothermometers for Yellowstone thermal water analyses (compiled by Rowe et al., 1973, and Thompson et al., 1975) are given in Table 2.

If subsurface mixture with cold, dilute water occurs, temperatures and concentrations may be sufficiently reduced that reequilibration is not significant. This is the basis of mixing models for warm springs (Fournier and Truesdell, 1974) and for boiling springs (Truesdell and Fournier, 1976) which allow the calculation of the mixing fraction and temperature of the hot water component. These models have been applied to Yellowstone analyses (cited previously) and the average and maximum indicated temperatures are given in Table 2. The average indicated silica temperatures for thermal areas other than Norris are well below the theoretical maximum that can be indicated without possible near-surface precipitation of amorphous silica (White et al., 1956; Fournier, 1973; Truesdell and Fournier, 1976), but again, except for Norris, the maximum indicated temperatures are either at or only slightly above that value. These observations suggest that the indicated temperatures are real and that near-surface reequilibration occurs in defined aquifers at temperatures in the range of usefulness of the boiling spring mixing model. Higher silica temperatures are indicated at Norris, probably because of unusually rapid upflow of thermal waters.

Although maximum subsurface temperatures indicated by the mixing models are higher than those indicated by the chemical geothermometers, these temperatures are probably not the actual maximum temperatures of water feeding the basins because, in the model for boiling springs, only the temperature of the least mixed water reaching the surface can be calculated and because springs suitable for the warm spring mixing model (which does not have this limitation) are not common in Yellowstone and

have seldom been analyzed. We must look elsewhere for indications of the maximum subsurface temperature in Yellowstone.

#### Isotope Geothermometers

Isotopic equilibration generally occurs much more slowly than chemical equilibration and is unaffected by pressure variations. Isotope fractionations are therefore potentially very useful for the thermometry of geothermal systems. Two isotope geothermometers have been applied to Yellowstone fluids. The distribution of carbon 13 between  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  $(\Delta C^{13}[CO_2, CH_4])$  in Yellowstone gases has been measured by Craig (1953; 1963), Gunter (1968), Gunter and Musgrave (1971), and G. L. Lyon (written commun., 1971).  $\Delta C^{13}(CO_2, CH_4)$  temperatures range from 244 to 390°C using fractionation factors calculated by Bottinga (1969) (Table 3). McKenzie and Truesdell (1976) have measured the oxygen isotopic compositions of spring waters and dissolved sulfates of Yellowstone and, after making corrections for the effects of dilution and steam separation on the isotope composition of the waters, calculated temperatures near 350°C.

These indicated gas and dissolved sulfate isotope temperatures are higher than those from chemical geothermometers because less partial reequilibration occurs in near-surface aquifers. The kinetic behavior of the gas isotope reactions is unknown, but that of the dissolved sulfatewater geothermometer has been studied by Lloyd (1968). If the subsurface pH of the Yellowstone waters is near 6, as estimated by the methods of Ellis (1970) and Truesdell and Singers (1971), the half-time for isotopic exchange between dissolved sulfate and these waters is calculated to be 25 days at 350°C, 165 days at 250°C, and 525 days at 200°C. The nearsurface aquifers indicated by the mixing models and the chemical

geothermometers are at temperatures near  $200\pm50$  °C, and dissolved sulfate in the Yellowstone waters seems to be little changed ( $<2^{\circ}/_{\circ\circ}$ ) during passage to the surface. Therefore, the waters feeding the surface springs must rise to the near-surface aquifers very rapidly and remain in these aquifers at temperatures near 200 °C no longer than a few months. If deeper, hotter aquifers exist in Yellowstone, it is doubtful that any direct chemical or isotopic evidence of their existence would reach us in surface springs. Even isotopic reactions become sufficiently rapid at 300 to 400 °C that residence in any sizable aquifer at these temperatures would obliterate evidence of higher temperatures.

#### The Deep Aquifer

Geothermometers suggest that the deep waters feeding the geyser and hot spring systems are at temperatures above 270 to 290°C (mixing models and Na-K-Ca relations) and possibly as high as 350 or 390°C (gas and dissolved sulfate isotopes). An independent method for obtaining the temperature of a possible deep thermal water is to assume that such a water exists and use the mixing model for boiling springs (Truesdell and Fournier, 1976) to determine its temperature and chloride content (Fig. 2). This model assumes that steam loss, shown by lines radial to steam (zero chloride; enthalpy about 2780 J/g), and dilution with cold meteoric water, shown by lines radial to cold water (2 ppm Cl; enthalpy 20 J/g), are the dominant processes determining the subsurface temperatures and chloride contents of thermal waters. The steam-loss line from the most concentrated waters of Norris Geyser Basin intersects the dilution line from Shoshone Geyser Basin at about 360°C and 300 ppm chloride. A deep thermal water at this temperature and chloride content could produce the chloride and

indicated subsurface temperatures of all the waters of the Park by the processes of adiabatic cooling (steam loss) and dilution by cold water. If only the Madison and Yellowstone River drainages are considered, it may be more reasonable to take the intersection of the (rather less welldefined) dilution line of Upper and Lower Geyser Basin waters with the Norris steam-loss line which suggests a deep parent water of 336°C and about 400 ppm chloride (Fournier et al., 1976).

If conductive heat loss occurs, water may lose heat without changing its chloride contents. The path of such a water on Figure 2 would be vertically downward. If the high chloride waters of Norris Geyser Basin were partially cooled by conduction, the calculated deep temperature would be increased; if the dilute waters of Shoshone Geyser Basin were cooled conductively, the calculated deep temperature would decrease. The large flows of the dilute Shoshone springs and the alignment of their subsurface enthalpy-chloride compositions along a well-defined dilution line (standard deviation = 5°C for area I; Truesdell and Fournier, 1976) argue against a large component of conductive cooling. It is possible that partial conductive cooling affects both high and low chloride springs to produce compensating errors in the calculated deep temperature.

This calculation is based in part on the assumptions that chloride and heat are transferred to the water deep in the system and are not modified at shallow levels except by steam loss and dilution. Additional evidence for this comes from a consideration of the isotopic composition of the waters themselves.

#### Isotopic Compositions of Waters and the Origin of Deep Chloride

It has been shown that most geothermal waters are dominated by meteoric water that circulates deeply and acquires heat and dissolved salts in the process (Craig et al., 1956; White et al., 1963; Craig, 1963). This deeply circulating meteoric water also becomes enriched in the heavy isotope of oxygen as a result of isotope exchange with rock minerals, and it returns to the surface distinctly different isotopically from meteoric water of shallower circulation. This provides an independent test of mixing processes. In Figure 3, the oxygen isotope ratio (expressed as per mil ( $\delta$ ) deviation from SMOW) is shown to be linearly related to the chloride content for the thermal waters of Upper, Midway, and Lower Geyser Basins, for most of Norris Geyser Basin, and for Terrace, Mammoth, and Beryl hot spring areas. The isotope-chloride relations for West Thumb are poorly understood, but may indicate inflow of partly evaporated Yellowstone Lake water. Both linear trends suggest a deep water component with the composition  $-12^{\circ}/_{\circ\circ}$   $\delta 0^{18}$  and 800 ppm Cl after flashing to surface pressure. These data, taken in conjunction with the isotope temperatures, strongly suggest that geothermal waters do not acquire significant amounts of additional chloride or undergo significant oxygen isotope exchange with rock at temperatures much below 300°C. There would be little acquisition of chloride above the base of circulation if its source is magmatic (White, 1957) or if its source is a deep brine that formed during the early high-temperature phase of hydrothermal activity. If a brine is involved, it must convect deep in the system at near-magmatic temperatures and be overlain by a dilute convecting hot spring system with transfer of both chloride and heat at the interface.

Evidently additional chloride is not acquired as the dilute water ascends from depth, either because the water follows well-defined passages where the original rock chloride already has been leached or because chloride is not effectively leached from rock at temperatures below 300°C. Ellis and Mahon (1964) found that the amount of chloride leached from rhyolitic rocks was thirty times greater at 350°C than at 250°C. Similarly, the exchange of oxygen isotopes between coarse grained silicates and water proceeds exceedingly slowly at temperatures below 300°C (J. R. O'Neil, personal commun., 1975).

#### Diverse Compositions of Yellowstone Thermal Waters

If Yellowstone thermal waters are derived from a single parent water, as suggested in the previous sections, then this water must be considerably modified during passage to the surface to produce the observed diversity of water types. Representative analyses of Yellowstone waters in Table 1 are taken from compilations of Rowe <u>et al</u>. (1973) and Thompson <u>et al</u>. (1975).

The western part of Norris Basin has the most concentrated waters, consisting of  $Na^{1/}$ , Cl, and  $SiO_2$ , with only minor contents of HCO<sub>3</sub>, and with surface pH values near neutral (Table 1, analysis 1). Less concentrated acid waters with pH values from 2 to 4 and higher SO<sub>4</sub> values are also found at Norris in major amounts (analysis 2). The Firehole Basins (Upper, Midway, and Lower Basins) are characterized by more alkaline (surface pH 8 to 9.5) waters with lower Cl and SiO<sub>2</sub> contents and variable higher contents of HCO<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>3</sub>. Waters with the highest Cl

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{V}}$  Valence is omitted from dissolved species unless required for clarity.

contents in these basins occur on Geyser Hill in the SE part of Upper Basin (analysis 3); waters from the western parts of Upper Basin, from Midway, and from Lower Basin have lower Cl contents and higher  $HCO_3$ contents (analyses 4-8). Waters of Heart Lake Geyser Basin are similar to those of Lower Basin (analyses 9 and 10), but waters of Shoshone Geyser Basin are lower in Cl (analyses 11 and 12). Other thermal waters of special interest are those of Terrace, Firehole Lake, and Hillside Springs, where moderate amounts of both travertine and sinter are deposited (analyses 13-15), and those depositing copious travertine at Mammoth Hot Springs (analysis 16). Finally, in vapor-dominated systems (White <u>et al</u>., 1971) and at higher elevations throughout the Park, acid waters occur with high sulfate and low chloride (analysis 17).

#### The Differentiation of Yellowstone Thermal Waters

The major near-surface processes producing the varied compositions of thermal waters at Yellowstone include steam separation during adiabatic cooling, mixture with cold shallow meteoric waters, and chemical reactions involving rock minerals, dissolved gases, dissolved components of diluting waters, and atmospheric gases. These processes are summarized in Table 4. The differentiation of thermal waters has also been discussed by Allen and Day (1935), White (1957), and Ellis (1970).

Steam separation produces changes in water chemistry because salts are essentially insoluble in low pressure steam (Krauskopf, 1964) and remain entirely in the liquid phase, while gases partition strongly into the vapor (Ellis and Golding, 1963; Kozintseva, 1964). The result of these processes is an increase in nonvolatile salts and a decrease in dissolved gases (principally  $CO_2$  and  $H_2S$ ) in the liquid phase. The loss of gas

produces an increase in pH from about 6 at depth to near 9 at the surface (Ellis, 1970; Truesdell and Singers, 1971) through the reaction

$$HCO_3^{-} + H^{+} = CO_2 + H_2O.$$

The effect of  $CO_2$  loss is greatest in waters with large contents of  $HCO_3$ such as those from Upper and Lower Geyser Basins (analyses 3-8, Table 1), so these waters become very alkaline whereas Norris waters, with very little  $HCO_3$ , remain near neutral (analysis 1).

Mixture with cold meteoric waters produces the main variations in the concentrations (but not the ratios) of chloride, boron, and other components not involved in lower temperature rock reactions. Mixture with cold water also controls the temperatures in subsurface aquifers where mixture takes place (Truesdell, 1976; Truesdell and Fournier, 1976) and thus affects temperature-sensitive equilibria such as quartz solution and ion exchange with feldspars. If temperatures are sufficiently reduced, steam separation is prevented and a high partial pressure of  $CO_2$ may be maintained in waters at temperatures near surface boiling. Under these conditions, the solubility of calcite is relatively large (Holland, 1967) and calcium can be leached from the rock. When these dilute, high  $P_{CO_2}$ -high Ca solutions emerge at the surface, they lose  $CO_2$  and deposit travertine as well as silica. This is the origin of the Firehole Lake, Hillside, and Terrace Springs (analyses 13-15), as well as of isolated springs elsewhere (e.g., analysis 12).

Most of the bicarbonate and part of the sodium and potassium in hot spring waters is produced by reaction of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> with volcanic glass and feldspar to produce mica or clay minerals and bicarbonate and alkali

ions (Fournier and Truesdell, 1970),

 $CO_2 + H_2O + (Na,K)$  silicate =  $HCO_3 + (Na^+,K^+) + H$  silicate.

The coupled increase in  $HCO_3/Cl$  ratios and decrease in  $CO_2/other$  gas ratios during lateral flow through a near-surface aquifer has been demonstrated for Shoshone Geyser Basin (Truesdell, 1976). The effect of this reaction (and of dilution) can be seen by comparing analyses 3 and 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, 9 and 10, and 11 and 12. Norris waters and, to a lesser extent, Geyser Hill (Upper Basin) waters rise rapidly through crystalline rock which is not as reactive as the glacial sediments containing volcanic glass found in other areas, so little  $CO_2$  is converted to bicarbonate.

Sulfate in Yellowstone waters originates in large part from oxidation of  $H_2S$  by atmospheric oxygen dissolved in meteoric water of deep or shallow circulation (Truesdell, 1976). The theoretical amount of  $SO_4$  ion that can be formed in this manner is 22 ppm from rain water percolating underground after equilibrating with air at 0°C. This is close to the observed contents in the waters of Upper, Midway, and Lower Geyser Basins and of neutral Norris waters (analyses 1 and 3-8). Areas outside the caldera may more easily leach sulfate from sedimentary rocks, as is shown by the high sulfate contents of Mammoth and Heart Lake waters (analyses 9, 10, and 16).

Acid waters with extremely high  $SO_4$  contents (analysis 17) are produced by direct superficial atmospheric oxidation of  $H_2S$  to sulfuric acid in areas of drowned fumaroles or steaming ground (White, 1957). The acid-chloride waters at Norris (analysis 2) probably result from

percolation of this acid sulfate water into near-surface reservoirs where it mixes with chloride water from below. The high partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> and large Ca contents of Mammoth Hot Springs (analysis 16) may result from Norris acid chloride waters moving northward along a fault zone and reacting with sediments consisting in part of limestone. The waters are also considerably diluted with cold meteoric water in the process (Fig. 2). The Location of the Deep Reservoir

The depth to Yellowstone's deep geothermal reservoir is estimated to be more than 2 or 3 kilometers and less than 5 kilometers. The maximum temperatures indicated by the enthalpy-chloride relations and the isotope geothermometers are in the range 340 to 390°C. The minimum depth at which hot water can remain liquid is determined by the pressure of an overlying column of vapor-saturated water. For these temperatures, this minimum depth is 2 to 3 kilometers (Haas, 1971; Manuel Nathenson, written commun., 1974). The existence of overpressure in Yellowstone systems of up to 6.2 bars (White <u>et al.</u>, 1975) would only decrease this depth by about 100 meters. If the water is not saturated with steam throughout, the depth to the reservoir will be greater.

The reservoir cannot be very deep in Yellowstone because geophysical evidence for a mass of molten rock (magma) at depth suggests that this magma may be as shallow as 5 kilometers (Eaton <u>et al.</u>, 1975; Trimble and Smith, 1975). The maximum depth of epicenters of earthquakes occurring to the WNW of Yellowstone Park changed abruptly from 15 kilometers outside the Yellowstone caldera to 5 kilometers inside in the vicinity of Norris Geyser Basin (Trimble and Smith, 1975).

Geologic studies of Yellowstone suggest that, at estimated aquifer depth of 3±1 kilometers within the caldera, the rocks are ash-flow tuffs, rhyolitic flows, and sediments (R. L. Christiansen, personal commun., 1975). These units would provide a suitable reservoir for the deep hot fluid. Fractures in otherwise impermeable rocks also may create an aquifer that extends across rock types.

It is probable that the deep aquifer underlies the entire area within the Yellowstone caldera (Fig. 1). Temperature measurements in the sediments of Yellowstone Lake indicate gradients of 500 to 900°C per kilometer for the area within the caldera, and heat flows calculated from these gradients (Morgan <u>et al.</u>, 1976) are similar to those derived from chloride-heat budgets for thermal water of the Madison and Yellowstone River drainages (Fournier <u>et al.</u>, 1976). It is possible that the relatively impermeable lake sediments prevent the formation of the zones of intense convective upflow separated by zones of diffuse downflow characteristic of the rest of the caldera.

#### Thermostatic Processes in High Temperature Systems

The geothermal systems of Yellowstone obtain their heat from cooling magma which probably is at temperatures above 600°C. Deeply circulating dilute water, as discussed earlier, does not attain these temperatures but is probably heated by conduction through an unknown thickness of rock. This rock may be water-saturated and it is reasonable to ask why the convection system does not extend to magmatic temperatures. This may possibly occur for more saline waters (Taylor, 1974), but there are mechanisms which may limit maximum temperatures of dilute geothermal waters.

The solubility of quartz in pure water in equilibrium with steam has been shown to have a maximum at about 335°C (Kennedy, 1950). With increasing pressure the maximum becomes less pronounced and its temperature slowly increases. At pressures greater than 750 bars the maximum disappears. Thus, boiling waters at 335°C can dissolve a maximum amount of silica and will deposit quartz if they are either heated or cooled. The solution of silica may create permeability in deep aquifers where fractures are limited. If water circulating in an aquifer at 335°C entered a hotter region at greater depths, it would quickly deposit quartz because the rate of deposition is rapid at these temperatures; when this occurred, permeability would be decreased. If the water circulated upwards into cooler rock, deposition of quartz would also occur but the slope of the solubility curve is less and the rate of deposition is less, so the quartz would be distributed over a greater volume of rock and would not decrease permeability so abruptly. This mechanism would limit downward circulation of dilute waters to the level at which temperatures are about 335 to 380°C (depending on pressure).

Another mechanism may also contribute to limiting temperatures in high temperature geothermal systems that are near the boiling point at all depths. The specific volume of vapor-saturated liquid water (without dissolved salts or gases) increases very rapidly above 350°C. The change in specific volume from 340 to 350°C is 6 percent, that from 350 to 360°C is 9 percent, but that from 360 to 370°C is 17 percent (Keenan <u>et al.</u>, 1969). The specific volume of compressed liquid water at pressures above those of saturation also exhibits marked but smaller

increases. Large gradients in specific volume would produce rapid convection to remove heat and limit the maximum temperature of the system. Summary

In this paper it is suggested that beneath Yellowstone thermal systems there is a large aquifer at a depth of 2 to 4 kilometers which contains a dilute chloride water at 340 to 370°C. This aquifer may be controlled entirely or in part by solution or fracture permeability that transects rock types. Water flows upwards from the deep aquifer along available fractures, losing steam in the process, and into more shallow aquifers that in turn feed the geyser and hot spring systems. In these shallow systems, it is diluted, reacts with rocks and fluids, and loses more steam to produce the varied hot spring waters of the Park. The deposition of silica at the bottom of the system and increased convection at nearcritical temperatures will limit the maximum temperatures and depth of circulation of dilute high temperature thermal waters such as those of Yellowstone.

#### Acknowledgments

We wish to thank D. E. White for stimulating discussions and W. F. McKenzie for the compilation of gas isotope data.

References

- Allen, E. T., and Day, A. L., 1935, Hot springs of the Yellowstone National Park: Carnegie Inst. Wash. Pub. 466, 525 p.
- Bottinga, Y., 1969, Calculated fractionation factors for carbon and hydrogen isotope exchange in the system calcite-carbon dioxidegraphite-methane-hydrogen-water vapor: Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, v. 33, p. 49-64.
- Christiansen, R. L., 1976, Quaternary and Pliocene volcanism of the Yellowstone plateau region of Wyoming, Idaho, and Montana: U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper (*in press*).
- Craig, H., 1953, The geochemistry of stable carbon isotopes: Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, v. 3, p. 53-92.
- 1963, The isotopic geochemistry of water and carbon in geothermal areas, *in* <u>Nuclear Geology of Geothermal Areas</u> (E. Tongiorgi, ed.), Spoleto, 1963: Cons. Naz. Ricerche, Lab. Geol. Nucl., Pisa, p. 17-54.
- \_\_\_\_\_, Boato, G., and White, D. E., 1956, Isotopic geochemistry of thermal waters: Proc. 2nd Conf. Nucl. Processes in Geologic Settings, Nat. Acad. Sci. Pub. 400, p. 29-38.
- Eaton, G. P., Christiansen, R. L., Iyer, H. M., Pitt, A. M., Mabey, D. R., Blank, H. R., Jr., Zietz, I., and Gettings, M. E., 1975, Magma beneath Yellowstone National Park: Science, v. 188, p. 787-796.
- Ellis, A. J., 1970, Quantitative interpretation of chemical characteristics of hydrothermal systems: Geothermics Spec. Issue 2, v. 1, p. 516-528. \_\_\_\_\_, and Golding, R. M., 1963, The solubility of carbon dioxide above 100°C in water and in sodium chloride solutions: Am. Jour. Sci., v. 261, p. 47-60.

- Ellis, A. J., and Mahon, W.A.J., 1964, Natural hydrothermal systems and experimental hot-water/rock interactions: Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, v. 28, p. 1323-1357.
- Fenner, C. N., 1936, Bore-hole investigations in Yellowstone Park: Jour. Geology, v. 44, p. 225-315.
- Fournier, R. O., 1973, Silica in thermal waters: Laboratory and field investigations, in Proc. Internat. Symp. on Hydrogeochemistry and Biogeochemistry, Japan, 1970, v. 1, Hydrogeochemistry: J. W. Clark, Washington, D.C., p. 122-139.
- , and Rowe, J. J., 1966, Estimation of underground temperatures from the silica content of water from hot springs and wet-steam wells: Am. Jour. Sci., v. 264, p. 685-697.
- Fournier, R. O., and Truesdell, A. H., 1970, Chemical indicators of subsurface temperature applied to hot spring waters of Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming, U.S.A.: Geothermics Spec. Issue 2, v. 1, p. 529-535.
- \_\_\_\_\_1973, An empirical Na-K-Ca geothermometer for natural waters: Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, v. 37, p. 1255-1275.
- \_\_\_\_\_1974, Geochemical indicators of subsurface temperature Part 2. Estimation of temperature and fraction of hot water mixed with cold water: U.S. Geol. Survey Jour. Research, v. 2, p. 263-269.
- Fournier, R. O., White, D. E., and Truesdell, A. H., 1974, Geochemical indicators of subsurface temperature Part 1. Basic assumptions:
  U.S. Geol. Survey Jour. Research, v. 2, no. 3, p. 259-262.
  1976, Convective heat flow at Yellowstone Park: Proc. 2nd U.N. Symp. on Geothermal Energy, San Francisco, 1975, p. 731-740.

- Gooch, F. A., and Whitfield, J. E., 1888, Analyses of the waters of the Yellowstone National Park with an account of the methods of analysis employed: U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 47, 84 p.
- Gunter, B. D., 1968, Geochemical and isotopic studies of hydrothermal gases and waters: Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Arkansas, 96 p.
  - , and Musgrave, B. C., 1971, New evidence on the origin of methane in hydrothermal gases: Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, v. 35, p. 113-118.
- Haas, J. L., Jr., 1971, The effect of salinity on the maximum thermal gradient of a hydrothermal system at hydrostatic pressure: Econ. Geology, v. 66, p. 940-946.
- Holland, H. D., 1967, Gangue minerals in hydrothermal deposits, *in* <u>Geochemistry of Hydrothermal Ore Deposits</u> (H. L. Barnes, ed.): Holt, New York, 760 p.
- Keenan, J. H., Keyes, F. G., Hill, P. G., and Moore, J. G., 1969, <u>Steam</u> <u>Tables. Thermodynamic Properties of Water including Vapor, Liquid</u> and Solid Phases: John Wiley and Sons, New York, 162 p.
- Kennedy, G. C., 1950, A portion of the system silica-water: Econ. Geology, v. 45, p. 629-653.
- Kozintseva, T. N., 1964, Solubility of hydrogen sulfide in water at elevated temperatures: Geochemistry International, no. 4, p. 750-756. Krauskopf, K. B., 1964, The possible role of volatile metal compounds in

ore genesis: Econ. Geology, v. 59, p. 22-45.

Lloyd, R. M., 1968, Oxygen isotope behavior in the sulfate-water system: Jour. Geophys. Research, v. 73, p. 6099-6109.

Mahon, W.A.J., 1966, Silica in hot water discharged from drillholes at
Wairakei, New Zealand: New Zealand Jour. Sci., v. 9, p. 135-144.
McKenzie, W. F., and Truesdell, A. H., 1976, Geothermal reservoir
temperatures estimated from the oxygen isotope composition of

dissolved sulfate and water from hot springs and shallow drillholes, *in* Proc. IAEA Advisory Panel on the Application of Nuclear Techniques in Geothermal Studies, Pisa, 1975: Geothermics (*in press*).

- Morgan, Paul, Blackwell, D. D., Spafford, R. E., and Smith, R. B., 1976, Evidence from heat flow measurements for laterally extensive geothermal fluid systems in the Yellowstone caldera: Proc. 2nd U.N. Symp. on Geothermal Energy, San Francisco, 1975, p. 1155-1160.
- Rowe, J. J., Fournier, R. O., and Morey, G. W., 1973, Chemical analysis of thermal waters in Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming, 1960-65: U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1303, 31 p.
- Taylor, H. P., Jr., 1974, The application of hydrogen and oxygen isotope studies to problems of hydrothermal alteration and ore deposition: Econ. Geology, v. 69, p. 843-883.
- Thompson, J. M., Presser, T. S., Barnes, R. B., and Bird, D. B., 1975, Chemical analysis of the waters of Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming from 1965 to 1973: U.S. Geol. Survey Open-file Report No. 75-25, 50 p.
- Trimble, A. B., and Smith, R. B., 1975, Seismicity and contemporary tectonics of the Hebgen Lake-Yellowstone Park region: Jour. Geophys. Research, v. 80, p. 733-741.
- Truesdell, A. H., 1976, Chemical evidence for subsurface structure and fluid flow in a geothermal system: Proc. Internat. Symp. on Water-Rock Interaction, Prague, 1974 (in press).

- Truesdell, A. H., and Fournier, R. O., 1976, Calculation of deep temperatures in geothermal systems from the chemistry of boiling spring waters of mixed origin: Proc. 2nd U.N. Symp. on Geothermal Resources, San Francisco, 1975, p. 837-844.
- Truesdell, A. H., and Singers, W., 1971, Computer calculation of down-hole chemistry in geothermal areas: New Zealand Dept. Sci. Ind. Res., Chem. Div. Report CD 2136, 145 p.
- White, D. E., 1957, Thermal waters of volcanic origin: Bull. Geol. Soc. Am., v. 68, p. 1637-1657.
- \_\_\_\_\_, Brannock, W. W., and Murata, K. J., 1956, Silica in hot-spring waters: Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta, v. 10, p. 27-59.
- White, D. E., Craig, H., and Begemann, F., 1963, Summary of the geology and isotope chemistry of Steamboat Springs, Nevada, in <u>Nuclear</u> <u>Geology of Geothermal Areas</u> (E. Tongiorgi, ed.), Spoleto, 1963: Cons. Naz. Ricerche, Lab. Geol. Nucl., Pisa, p. 9-16.
- White, D. E., Fournier, R. O., Muffler, L.J.P., and Truesdell, A. H., 1975, Physical results of research drilling in thermal areas of Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming: U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 892, 70 p.
- White, D. E., Muffler, L.J.P., and Truesdell, A. H., 1971, Vapor-dominated hydrothermal systems compared with hot-water systems: Econ. Geology, v. 66, p. 75-97.

#### Figure Captions

- Figure 1. Index map of Yellowstone Park, Wyoming. Thermal areas (stippled) and caldera outline are shown.
- Figure 2. Enthalpy-chloride relations for Yellowstone thermal waters. The surface chloride and enthalpy of the highest chloride springs in each geyser basin are indicated at the bottom of the figure, and the subsurface chloride and enthalpy are shown for all analyzed springs. Intersections of dilution lines from subsurface compositions of mixed springs and boiling lines drawn between steam and surface compositions of the highest chloride springs are indicated for each basin with the calculated temperature. Subsurface chloride and enthalpy as calculated from the warm spring mixing model are indicated by octagons.
- Figure 3. Oxygen isotope-chloride compositions for selected Yellowstone cold and thermal springs.

Table 1.--Analyses of Selected Yellowstone Thermal Waters

-

		NORRIS G BASIN	EYSER	UPPER GE BASIN	YSER	MIDWAY GEYSER BASIN	LOWER GE	YSER BASI	N	HEART LA GEYSER B	KE ASIN	SHOSHONE GEYSER B	ASIN	DILUTE T DEPOSITI	RAVERTINE NG SPRING	- S	MAMMOTH HOT SPRINGS	HOT SPRINGS BASIN
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		Pork Chop Spring	Little Whir- ligig Geyser	Ear Spring	Sapph- ire Spring	Excel- sior Spring	Snort Spring	Ojo Caliente Spring	Near Clep- sedra Geyser	Spike Geyser	Rustic Geyser	Spring 192	Boil- ing Spring	Hill- side (Upper)	Fire- hole Lake (Lower)	Terrace	New High- land	Perpe- tual Spouter
		(1)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
Temp.	(°C)	71	91	95	95	76	93	95	92	93.5	91	89.5	94	84.5	93	66	73.5	89
рН		7.1(3)	3.2(4)	9.0(3)	8.5(3)	8.0(3)	9.3(4)	8.0(3)	9.0(3)	8.9(3)	8.7(3)	7.4(3)	7.0(3)	6.7(3)	6.8(3)	6.3(2)	7.3(4)	3.0(3)
Si0 <sub>2</sub>		435 (4)	420 (4)	362 (4)	334 (4)	303 (4)	242	230	414	366	318	350	170	168	213	148	53.8	317
A1		<.1	2.1		<i>-</i> -	<.1	.18						0.08	0.12		0.18		
Fe		<.1	1.0	0.05		<.1	.01		0.05				0.05			0.15		
Ca		6.4	2.5	0.6	0.3	2.5	1.6	0.9	0.5	0.9	1.2	0.3	4.1	7.9	15.1	6.7	345	37
Ma		0.5	0.5	0.01	0.01	.1	.04	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.01	.05	0.16	.05	0.8	76	3.8
Na		526	349	335	450	385	314	335	380	400	405	350	295	149	85	324	130	187
К		66	83	16.5	15.8	15	10 .	9.5	12.5	24.2	15.5	13	11.3	7.5	16	37	55	16
Li		7.4	5.3	5.2	2.3	2.8	4.0	4.2	2.8	6.7	5.0	1.5	1.6	0.8	0.4	0.6	1.6	0.04
NH4				0.4	0.2		0.1	0.3	3.0	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1			171
HCO 3		18	0	174	595	546	41	225	380	159	318	230	449	217	165	69 <b>6</b>	864	
C03		0	0			0	69			64			3.3	16		50		
S04		J 33	113	21.5	16.5	34	23	24	22	100	160	70	36	14	27	11	568	1530
C1		860	607	417	308	270	344	331	325	363	303	323	135	72	44	6 <b>9</b>	166	0.1
F			3.3	26	30	24	33	31	22	38	26	21.5	15	12	10	6.7	2.5	0.8
В		15	9.2	4.3	3.2	3.4	4.1	4.1	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.7	1.7	1.1	0.5	1.1	3.7	5.0
As						2.3												<u>-</u> _
H <sub>2</sub> S				4.1	2.6		3.7	1.3	1.1						0.2		5.8	
с1/нсо	3	82		4.1	0.89		5,3	2.5	1.5	2.8	1.6	2.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	.33	
C1/S04		71	15	53	51		41	37	40	9.8	5.1	13	10	14	4.4	17	.79	
C1/B		17	20	30	29		26	25	28	31	28	27	24	18	27	19	14	、 <b></b>

\_ \_

Analysis from Rowe, Fournier and Morey (1973). Mg contents (by EDTA titration) are probably high.
 Analysis from Thompson, Presser, Barnes and Bird (1975)
 Field measurement
 Laboratory measurement

. . .

# Table 2

ţ

Geyser Basin	٦		SiO <sub>2</sub>	NaKCa	Mix I	Mix II
West Thumb	ave. std. max.	dev.	188 14 209	186 10 202	220 - 220	211 24 233
Heart Lake	ave. std. max.	dev.	196 12 214	188 29 223	- -	221 8 232
Shoshone	ave. std. max.	dev.	190 10 203	175 16 223	238 - 238	265 21 316
Upper	ave. std. max.	dev.	195 . 11 210	186 20 221	259 10 273	230 18 280
Lower + Midway	ave. std. max.	dev.	179 11 213	162 16 218	260 16 283	210 18 303
Norris	ave. std. max.	dev.	210 22 255	251 32 294	262 13 276	276 32 374

Chemical geothermometer and mixing calculation temperatures in °C

Location	$\delta C^{13}(CO_2)$	δC <sup>13</sup> (CH <sub>4</sub> )	10 <sup>3</sup> 1na	calc t°C	ref.	
Upper Geyser Basin		<u> </u>				
Daisy Geyser	- 1.1	-28.4	27.7	264	1	
Iron Spring	- 1.7	-27.4	26.1	283	1	
Black Sand	- 2.1	-26.5	24.8	300	1	
Punch Bowl	- 2.5	-22.6	20.4	372	1	
Near Castle Geyser	0.0	-29.1	29.5	244	2	
Artemesia Geyser	-25.5(?)	-44.8(?)	20.0	380	2	
Y-8 downhole	- 1.5	-25.8	24.6	303	3	
Lower Geyser Basin						
Kaleidoscope	- 2.8	-23.8	21.3	356	1	
Firehole Lake	- 4.4	-26.1	22.0	344	1	
Ojo Caliente	- 6.4	-20.6	14.4		1	
Near Skeleton Pool	- 0.1	-25.1	25.3	293	2	
Y-4 downhole	- 2.3	-22.3	20.2	376	3	
Y-5 downhole	- 1.9	-25.5	23.9	314	3	
Norris Geyser Basin						
Emerald Spring	- 1.3	-24.1	23.1	327	2	
Y-12 downhole	- 2.9	-27.0	24.5	305	3	
Washburn Springs						
Devils Inkpot	- 3.8	-23.5	20.0	380	2	
East Spring	- 7.4	-26.6	19.5	390	2	
Mammoth Springs						
Y-10 downhole	- 3.5	-24.7	21.5	353	3	

Table 3.--Carbon dioxide-methane isotope temperatures for Yellowstone hot spring gases

ł

References:

Craig (1953) and Craig (1963)
 Gunter (1968) and Gunter and Musgrave (1971)
 G. Lyon, written communication, 1971.

Table 4

Chemical processes in Yellowstone thermal systems

Deep aquifers

Heat gain by conduction or convection

Salts and gases from rock leaching or magma (Cl, B. Na, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S and other)

Isotope exchange ( $H_20$  - rock;  $CO_2$  -  $CH_4$ ;  $SO_4^{=}$  -  $H_20$ ) Mineral solution (quartz =  $SiO_2$  aq, etc.)

## Shallow aquifers

Ion exchange  $(K^{+} + Na \text{ rock} = Na^{+} + K \text{ rock})$ Rock alteration  $(CO_2 + H_2O + Na \text{ rock} = Na^{+} + HCO_3^{-} + H \text{ rock})$ Boiling and volatile loss  $(2 HCO_3^{-} = CO_2^{+} + CO_3^{-} + H_2O)$ Mineral precipitation  $(Ca^{++} + CO_3^{-} = CaCO_3, \text{ etc.})$ Dilution Fluid-fluid reactions  $(H_2S + 2 O_2 \text{ aq} = SO_4^{-} + 2 H^{+})$ Surface oxidation (Mn, Fe oxides ppt.;  $H_2S + \text{sulfuric acid})$ 





\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_·

L 🕊

1.9

