Draft of Modeling Section, Task Force Report submitted by Technecon Analytic Research Inc, November 20, 1980. (Non-Electric Estimates)

2.0 MARKET PENETRATION MODEL

2.1 Over-view

The non-electric hydrothermal market penetration model was developed and implemented by Technecon with the assistance of EER, EG&G, NMEI, UURI and WEPL. An Industry Review Panel was also organized to provide periodic critiques of the methods and assumptions used by the Task Force. The Review Panel is comprised of representatives from the financial community, resource companies, public utilities, non-electric users and governmental agencies.

Figure 2.1 illustrates the structure of the computerized market penetration model for non-electric users. In summary, the analysis is initiated by the specification of a projected hydrothermal resource discovery. Potential colocated and relocatable users are identified at the projected discovery and a discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis is performed for each user/resource pair. The likelihood of a positive decision to use the resource is then estimated for each potential user, taking into account alternative energy forms available to each. If a positive user decision is indicated, then the rate of resource development is estimated to accommodate implementation lags. Resource development is constrained by saturation of the available resource as a last step in the analysis.

2.2 Resource Projections

As discussed in Section 3, hydrothermal resource discoveries are specified by UURI/ESL in terms of a 6-digit generic classification and the projected year and region of discovery. The 6-digit code specifies: (i) well-head temperature, (ii) unpumped well flow rate, (iii) dissolved solids content of the brine, (iv) completed well cost, (v) pumped well flow rate and (vi) size of the resource. Results of sensitivity tests conducted early in the Task Force effort indicate that these six sitespecific variables are of primary significance to project feasibility. Other resource-related parameters (e.g., well spacing, dry well fraction, redrill frequencies, etc.) are fixed across all resources in the analysis.

Table 2.1 defines the 6-digit generic resource code. For example, a discovery which is projected to have 275F fluid temperature, an unpumped

FIGURE 2.1 NON-ELECTRIC HYDROTHERMAL MARKET ANALYSIS

PROJECT

ANALYSIS

USER

DECISION









RESOURCE

COLOCATED DISTRICT HEAT INDUSTRY

RELOCATABLE INDUSTRY

TABLE 2.1 GENERIC HYDROTHERMAL RESOURCE QUALITIES

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
WELL-HEAD TEMPERATURE (F)	125	175	225	275	.325	375	425	475
UN-PUMPED WELL FLOW (10 ⁶ LB/HR)	50	75	150	300	500	700	800	
BRINE CONTAMINATION (PPM TDS)	100000	2000- 100000	2000	 			, ,	
WELL COST (1980 \$ Thousands)	2000	1500	750	400	200	75		
PUMPED WELL FLOW (10 ⁶ LB/HR)	50	75	150	300	500	700	800	
PRODUCIBLE ACREAGE	1500	2000	3000	3500	4000	5000	6000	10000

well flow rate of 150,000 lb/hr, 2000ppm total dissolved solids, completed wells costing \$400,000 each, a pumped well flow rate of 300,000 lb/hr and 6000 producible acres would be characterized by the code "4-3-3-4-4-7". A similar resource having 175F fluid would be coded "2-3-3-4-4-7", etc.

2.3 Candidate Users

Non-electric hydrothermal market penetration is estimated by performing a computerized decision analysis on the 24 categories of agricultural/industrial users listed in Table 2.2 plus residential and commercial district heating systems. Potential agricultural/industrial users outside of the 24 listed categories enter into the estimate by applying a multiplier to results from this decision analysis. It is important to note that although there are roughly 10 times as many potential user establishments in categories outside of the 24 modeled categories, the total potential sub-400F process heat demand of all these establishments is estimated to be less than 18% of that of establishments within the 24 modeled categories. Therefore, the selection of a limited number of energy intense user categories as a modeling base greatly enhances modeling efficiency with minimal effect upon resulting market estimates.

Selection of the 24 user categories listed in Table 2.2 was accomplished by a sequential screening process as discussed in Section 4 and as outlined in Figure 2.2. Potential agricultural/industrial users were first screened for process temperature. Users with temperature requirements in excess of 400F were eliminated from the sample. User categories having a total annual process heat demand of less than 5×10^{12} BTU/yr for all establishments within the category were eliminated next. User categories having an average annual process heat demand of less than 0.01x 10^{12} BTU/yr for *each* establishment within the category were eliminated in the third screen. The final screen eliminated user categories which, for reasons of practicality or logistics, are unlikely hydrothermal candidates (e.g., steel mills with excess internal process waste heat). It should be reiterated that the purpose of the screening is only to enhance interviewing and modeling efficiency. The heat demand of likely but screened-out users is included in estimate results via the 18% factor discussed in the previous paragraph.

TABLE 2.2 SURVEYED INDUSTRIES

۱۴ (M.

	SIC CODE	INDUSTRY CATEGORY					
1.	018	GREENHOUSES					
2.	024	DAIRY FARMS					
3.	025	POULTRY & EGGS					
4.	0279	FISH FARMS					
5.	1311	TERTIARY OIL RECOVERY					
δ.	201	MEAT PRODUCTS					
7.	202	DAIRY PRODUCTS					
8.	203	FRUITS & VEGETABLES					
9.	2046	WET CORN MILLING					
10.	206	SUGAR REFINING					
11.	207	FATS & OILS					
12.	208	ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES					
13.	2436	SOFTWOOD VENEER & PLYWOOD					
14.	26	PULP & PAPER PRODUCTS					
15.	281,2	CHEMICAL PRODUCTS					
16.	283	MEDICINES					
17.	2865	CYCLIC CRUDES & INTERMEDIATE					
18.	2869	INDUSTRIAL ORGANIC CHEMICALS					
19.	2 873	NITROGENOUS FERTILIZERS					
20.	3011	TIRES & INNER TUBES					
21.	3241	CEMENT PRODUCTS					
22.	3271	CONCRETE BLOCK & BRICK					
23.	3275	GYPSUM PRODUCTS					
24.	3295	MINERALS, GROUND & TREATED					
.25.		DISTRICT HEATING SYSTEMS					
•							

.. NON-SURVEYED INDUSTRIES ACCOMMODATED VIA REGIONAL ENERGY USE MULTIPLIERS ...

. 2–5

FIGURE 2.2 INDUSTRIAL SCREENING FOR SAMPLE SURVEY



For each projected hydrothermal resource discovery, the number of colocated establishments from the 24 user categories -- plus colocated district heat demand -- is provided by NMEI from their computerized user data base. Regional energy intensity per establishment (BTU/yr/Establishment) is provided from data developed by EER. Demand growth over time is introduced on a regional and user-specific basis by growth rates derived by Technecon from the Wharton Annual and Industrial Forecasting Model and from DOE/EIA's Regional Shares Model (REGSHARE).

The number of firms within each of the 24 user categories that might choose to relocate to a specific resource area is estimated from an analysis of interviews conducted by the Task Force with management representatives of some 270 companies. This analysis reveals the percentage of firms that would consider relocating for the purpose of utilizing hydrothermal resources. The analysis also reveals the preferred regions of relocation for each user category.

2.4 Project Analysis

For each potential user/resource pair, a DCF analysis provides the estimated delivered energy price of hydrothermal energy and capital investment requirements for utilizing this energy. Project capital costs, recurrent costs and utilization factors are based upon figures provided by EG&G. The DCF analysis incorporates various component escalation rates derived from the Wharton Annual Model and incorporates estimated Federal, state and local tax liabilities and credits. Table 2.3 summarizes the several input parameters which are used in the analysis. Bulleted (•) items are site-specific and vary from resource to resource and/or user to user. Non-bulleted items are fixed in the model.

For the purposes of this analysis, district heat distribution systems are assumed to be financed and owned by regulated, tax-exempt municipalities. Hydrothermal fluid suppliers to all users are assumed to be non-regulated and able to take advantage of tax incentives.

2.5 User Decision and Implementation Modeling

Included in the 270 industry interviews conducted by the Task Force were questions pertaining to a firm's preference for (or aversion to)

TABLE 2.3 NON-ELECTRIC ECONOMIC MODEL PARAMETERS

RESOURCE PARAMETERS

• WELL-HEAD TEMPERATURE CONTAMINATION INDEX WELL FLOW UNPUMPED WELL FLOW PUMPED • WELL COST PRODUCIBLE ACREAGE FLUID SPECIFIC HEAT SPARE WELL FRACTION PRODUCER/INJECTOR RATIO WELL SPACING WELL REWORK FRACTION WELL REWORK COST WELL REDRILL FRACTION WELL REDRILL COST DRY WELL FRACTION DRY WELL COST

USER PARAMETERS

- ANNUAL HEAT REQUIREMENT
 TEMPERATURE REQUIREMENT
 ANNUAL USE FACTOR
- ALTERNATIVE FUEL TYPE

TEMPERATURE LOSS AND PINCH

ECONOMIC & TAX PARAMETERS

- INFLATION RATES: ENERGY
- ENERGY PRICES
- ENERGY USE EFFICIENCIES

PROJECT BOOK LIFE PROJECT TAX LIFE DEPLETION ALLOWANCE ROYALTY FRACTION INTANGIBLE WELL COST FRACTION INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT ADD'L INVESTMENT TAX CREDITS EQUITY FRACTION EQUITY RETURN LONG TERM DEBT COST LOCAL TAX RATES STATE TAX RATE FEDERAL TAX RATE USER'S DISCOUNT RATE GNP DEFLATOR INFLATION RATE: MAINTENANCE INFLATION RATE: CONSTRUCTION

COMPUTED OUTPUT

- ▼ CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS
- ▼ ENERGY COST RATIO
- ▼ FRACTION OF RESOURCE UTILIZED

TECHNECON

utilizing hydrothermal energy under various combinations of: (a) delivered energy cost relative to that of their alternative fuel; (b) capital investment requirements; (c) energy supply reliability; and (d) project risk. Binary (yes/no) responses were tabulated by user category. Response data were then processed with a multiple regression analysis of a multivariate logit model.

The logit model estimates the fraction of firms within a given user category which are likely to respond positively to a hydrothermal utilization decision. The decision is characterized by four project attributes, a thru d, listed in the preceding paragraph. Statistical tests of confidence indicate that the logit decision models provide acceptable "goodness of fit" to the industry supplied behavioral data. F-statistics for the multiple regressions are at 95% confidence intervals and coefficients of determination (corrected for degrees of freedom) fall between 0.5 and 0.6.

The logit model represents one part of the overall user decision model illustrated in Figure 2.3. Also included in the complete model are: (i) an exclusion factor, (ii) a learning curve, and (iii) an implementation rate curve. The exclusion factor is estimated for each user category from industry interviews and represents the fraction of firms that would not consider utilizing hydrothermal energy regardless of incentives. The shape of the learning curve for each user category is determined from an analysis of interview responses together with published data on industrial innovation characteristics. As shown in the lower left hand corner of Figure 2.3, learning curves provide the fraction of firms which are informed and in a position to make a hydrothermal decision.

As shown in the center of Figure 2.3, the asymptote of the S-shaped logit model is defined by the combined influences of the exclusion factor and the learning curve. N* represents the logit estimate of positive response fraction as a function of the multivariate stimulus S*. The rate at which N* firms are expected to put hydrothermal energy into use is estimated by the curve shown in the lower right hand corner of Figure 2.3. This curve accounts for decision and construction lags and the retirement of existing process heat equipment. The curve is con-



FIGURE 2.3 NON-ELECTRIC HYDROTHERMAL USER DECISION MODEL

N_P N_C T₀ LEARNING CURVE



structed from data compiled from the industry interviews conducted by the Task Force.

2.6 Conclusion

Prior to the Task Force effort discussed in this report, non-electric hydrothermal market estimates either neglected market diffusion parameters or treated them in simple, and probably unrealistic, terms. Classical market penetration methods were found to be inappropriate for this project because: (a) hydrothermal market penetration to date is at too low a level for extrapolation along accepted diffusion models; and (b) the wide variety of potential users and non-uniform advantages (and disadvantages) of hydrothermal energy do not lend themselves to existing diffusion models of technological innovation.

The Task Force effort discussed in this Section provides a market penetration model for non-electric hydrothermal energy based upon the individual components of an accepted market diffusion model. Diffusion characteristics associated with (a) learning curves, (b) degrees of stimulus, (c) decision lags, and (d) implementation lags are all treated independently by the Task Force and then integrated in the resulting market estimates. These estimates are the most meaningful and comprehensive of any such effort to date.