

600935

TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF COST PROPOSAL
FOR RESEARCH GRANTS

Name of Applicant _____

Grant Number _____

In reviewing the applicant's budget submission, I have determined that the level of effort for research and training, which includes a percentage of the principal investigator's time and that of graduate students, post-doctoral staff, trainees, and other researchers, is consistent with the research objectives of this effort.

In addition:

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
1. The labor hours/mix and salary rates appear reasonable. (Do rates, for example, compare to those paid at similar institutions for similar work?) Comments: _____ (Comments to be provided as needed) _____	—	—
2.a. The requested travel (foreign or domestic) is relevant and pertinent to the successful completion of the grant.	—	—
b. The requested travel (number of trips and days) is appropriate to this effort; or	—	—
c. If specific trips are not identified, the projected travel costs are reasonable given the total scope of the effort. Comments: _____ (As needed) _____	—	—
3. The particular type, kind, and quantity of equipment, materials, and computer time appear reasonable and necessary for this effort. Comments: _____ (As needed) _____	—	—

Date: _____
WCD 2.2

ORIGINAL
FORM

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
4. Consultant time and any other direct costs listed appear necessary for the conduct of this effort Comments: _____ (As needed) _____ _____ _____	_____	_____
5. The arrangement whereby a portion of the substantive programmatic effort is being performed by an entity other than the grantee is acceptable. Comments: _____ (As needed) _____ _____ _____	_____	_____
6. All other contracted effort appears necessary for the conduct of this grant. Comments: _____ (As needed) _____ _____ _____	_____	_____
7. The cost sharing proposed in the application is necessary for the successful completion of the project. Comments: _____ (As needed) _____ _____ _____	_____	_____

Since the acceptance of a grant application confers DOE's prior approval on elements specifically identified in it (such as foreign travel and equipment purchases), it is necessary to identify those budgeted items which are not approved or for which there is insufficient detail in the application.

1. None
- 2.
- 3.

Other notes to the negotiator: None

Program Manager or
Technical Representative

REPLY LETTER

H. Peggy

TO

W. H Thielbahr
C. E. Gilmore ✓

DATE August 6, 1987

SUBJECT: Technical Evaluation for
Research Grants - Checklist

MESSAGE:

The new DOE Order 4600 incorporates the attached checklist for use on Research Grants.

Request you provide this information to your staff. For cooperative agreements and contracts, this checklist is not applicable. Janice Hemming will maintain a supply of the checklists and request they be included in future zebra packages for research grants.

REPLY TO

William C. Drake

BY

Bill

ORIGINATOR DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE.

DATE:

REPLY:

OLD

BY

SEND PARTS 1 AND 3 INTACT PART 1 WILL BE RETURNED WITH REPLY

RETURN TO ORIGINATOR

RL-8543

Howard.

August 15, 1987

As per our discussions.

Peggy

RECEIVED

AUG 07 1987

BRANCH

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF COST PROPOSAL
FOR RESEARCH GRANTS**

Name of Applicant _____

Grant Number _____

In reviewing the applicant's budget submission, I have determined that the level of effort for research and training, which includes a percentage of the principal investigator's time and that of graduate students, post-doctoral staff, trainees, and other researchers, is consistent with the research objectives of this effort.

In addition:

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
1. The labor hours/mix and salary rates appear reasonable. (Do rates, for example, compare to those paid at similar institutions for similar work?) Comments: _____ (Comments to be provided as needed) _____	_____	_____
2.a. The requested travel (foreign or domestic) is relevant and pertinent to the successful completion of the grant.	_____	_____
b. The requested travel (number of trips and days) is appropriate to this effort; or	_____	_____
c. If specific trips are not identified, the projected travel costs are reasonable given the total scope of the effort. Comments: _____ (As needed) _____ _____	_____	_____
3. The particular type, kind, and quantity of equipment, materials, and computer time appear reasonable and necessary for this effort. Comments: _____ (As needed) _____ _____	_____	_____

Date: _____
WCD 2.2

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
4. Consultant time and any other direct costs listed appear necessary for the conduct of this effort Comments: _____ _____ _____	_____	_____
5. The arrangement whereby a portion of the substantive programmatic effort is being performed by an entity other than the grantee is acceptable. Comments: _____ _____ _____	_____	_____
6. All other contracted effort appears necessary for the conduct of this grant. Comments: _____ _____ _____	_____	_____
7. The cost sharing proposed in the application is necessary for the successful completion of the project. Comments: _____ _____ _____	_____	_____

Since the acceptance of a grant application confers DOE's prior approval on elements specifically identified in it (such as foreign travel and equipment purchases), it is necessary to identify those budgeted items which are not approved or for which there is insufficient detail in the application.

1. None
- 2.
- 3.

Other notes to the negotiator: None

Program Manager or
Technical Representative