


GLO1076

TELLURIC MAGNETOTELLURIC SURVEY
AT
McCOY PROSPECT
CHURCHILL COUNTY
NEVADA

Prepared for
AMAX EXPLORATION, INC.
Geothermal Group

March 1980



TERRAPHYSICS
815 SOUTH TENTH STREET
RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA 94804
(415) 234-8961

Abstract

A telluric-magnetotelluric (TMT) survey was conducted on the McCoy prospect, Churchill county, Nevada.

Rotated tensor data were obtained at 14 base stations and 26 remote sites. An in-field computer processing system was implemented and 3 stations were processed in the field.

A conductive zone of less than 2 ohm meters is suggested in the area at depths of about 7 km.

Considerable changes in strike direction are suggested over the area.

High skewness values occur over a number of stations suggesting that three dimensional effects are present over a considerable portion of the survey area.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	i
Introduction	1
Survey Objective	1
Telluric-Magnetotelluric Instruments and Procedure	2
Field Operations	6
Composition of Crew	7
Discussion of Data	10
References	12
Operations Summary	13

Introduction

Terraphysics conducted a telluric-magnetotelluric (TMT) survey in the McCoy prospect, Churchill county, Nevada on behalf of Amax Exploration, Inc. The field work was conducted during the period of 4 to 26 February 1980.

Survey Objective

The objective of the survey was to aid in the evaluation of the geothermal potential of the area.

Many geophysical techniques are used to evaluate a geothermal area. Since a decrease in resistivity usually occurs where the temperature of the earth increases, an electrical resistivity survey can be a useful diagnostic technique. The resistivity change with temperature can be on the order of $2.5\%/C^{\circ}$ (Keller and Frischknecht, 1970). Consequently, resistivity decreases on the order of a factor a 5 or more may be associated with geothermal brines(Keller, 1970). Intrinsic resistivity values of less than 10 ohm meters may be expected.

If a geothermal area is at a sufficiently high temperature that a vapor phase is present, higher electrical resistivity values are likely. Zohdy, et. al. (1973) report intrinsic resistivity values of about 75-130 ohm meters for a vapor-dominated layer in Yellowstone National Park.

Telluric-Magnetotelluric Instruments and Procedure


A schematic of the equipment and field setup is illustrated in figure 1. Five component MT data is obtained at the base station (two horizontal electric field components and three magnetic field components). At each remote site two orthogonal electric field components are measured. The data is filtered, amplified, and telemetered back to the base station where it is recorded on magnetic tape at the same time as the base station data. Seasoned lead strips are used for the electrodes for the electric field measurements and the magnetic field measurements are obtained with a superconducting magnetometer.

In general, a base station with magnetic field measurements is utilized for each setup. Typical distances between the base and remote stations is one to two kilometers.


In order to solve for impedance tensors, the analog data from the magnetic tape is digitized (12 bits) and evaluated utilizing a LSI-11 DEC minicomputer. The computer system is mounted in the field instrument truck such that data may be processed in the field in real time.

The remote reference method of analysis was used, following a technique described by Gamble et.al.(1978). The remote station data are treated as tensors and evaluated using the base station magnetic fields. In this work, the electric fields were used as the references to calculate the cross powers. This method provides results without bias errors, however poor results may occur if the electric fields are linearly polarized.

The computer analysis is separated into two parts utilizing Gamble's (1979) computer programs. The first program digitizes the data (12 bits) into segments 1024 points long. The segment is tapered, Fourier transformed, and the cross powers are calculated.



MAGNETOTELLURIC SETUP



TELLURIC SETUP

Figure 1. Magnetotelluric-Telluric Instruments

The process is repeated for subsequent data sets with the option of rejecting any segment due to noise spikes or signal level saturations. The accumulated average cross power values are stored. This process can be performed in real time. After a data run is completed the second computer program utilizes the average cross powers and calculates the impedances, principal axis directions, rotated apparent resistivity values, skewness, impedance phases, tippers, and tipper strike directions.

The principal axis direction is calculated such that the impedance tensor quantity $|z_{xy}|^2 + |z_{yx}|^2$ is maximized. This defines the direction for the principal impedance terms Z'_{xy} and Z'_{yx} . For a two dimensional structure, the diagonal terms Z'_{xx} and Z'_{yy} are zero at this rotation angle. An indication of the three dimensional nature of the area can be represented by the ratio of the magnitude of the rotated diagonal to off diagonal terms. This is called the skewness, S.

$$S = \frac{|z_{xx}' + z_{yy}'|}{|z_{xy}' - z_{yx}'|}$$

Principal apparent resistivity values are calculated from

$$\rho_x = 0.2 T |z_{xy}'|^2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\rho_y = 0.2 T |z_{yx}'|^2$$

where T is the period in seconds.

The vertical magnetic field is utilized to determine the strike direction. For a normal incident plane wave over a two dimensional structure, the vertical magnetic field arises only from the TE Mode, H_x field perpendicular to strike (Vozoff, 1972).

We assume $H_z = AH_x + BH_y$ and calculate a rotation direction such that A is maximized.

For the two dimensional case $H_z = A'H_x'$ and the rotated X axis defines a direction perpendicular to strike. In the present work the strike direction is indicated in the computer printout. The magnitude of the vertical field, A' , the tipper, gives some indication of any lateral resistivity variations.

Monitoring different frequency bands provides various depth information. An indication of the depth penetration is sometimes given by the apparent skin depth, δ_a . This is defined as the depth where the amplitude of the electric field has fallen to $1/e$ of its value at the surface and is calculated from the expression

$$\delta_a = 503 \left(\frac{\rho_a}{f} \right)^{1/2}$$

where ρ_a is the apparent resistivity in ohm meters, f the frequency in HZ, and the resulting skin depth is in meters. The lower the frequency, the deeper the penetration.

The actual sensing depths are usually much less than the skin depths. Complete model solutions are required to determine the intrinsic properties and depths. Two dimensional computer modelling would be required to interpret the results if significant lateral variations occur. However a preliminary interpretation can be obtained with a one dimensional model based upon the TE Mode apparent resistivity data. The rationale for this approach is that for a deep sounding, the TE Mode is less affected by near surface lateral changes than the TM Mode (Patrick and Bostick, 1969). In the present work a continuous one dimensional inversion method described by Bostick, 1976, was used.

Field Operations

In the present survey, telluric dipoles of about 200 meters were used in an "L" configuration. They were orientated north-south and east-west.

The field system filters prewhitened the spectrum such that data could be obtained wide band from 0.01 to 10 Hz. From 3 to 6 hours of data were recorded for each setup. After the elimination of poor sections of data, this resulted in about 1½ hours of processed data. Two overlapping frequency bands were used, 0.01 to 1 Hz, and 0.1 to 10 Hz. A summary of the processed data is indicated in Table I.

Fourteen setups of data were obtained consisting of 14 base stations and 26 remote sites. Four of the stations were reoccupied with a different base station location, data were obtained at 36 unique sites. In field processing was only performed for stations M1, A1, B1. The remainder of the stations were processed after the entire survey was completed. This was done to take maximum advantage of a period of relatively good field weather.

High winds were encountered on 7 days. The magnetometer was buried about 18 inches and surrounded by a wind shield. The telluric dipole wires were carefully laid out on the ground and weighted down with rocks or buried every 2 meters. Because of the brush in the area this proved to very time consuming.

Rain, snow or hail were encountered on 4 days, delaying the survey about a day. The presence of cattle and sheep in the area presented a problem on 3 days when they knocked over an antenna and trampled over the telluric wires.

The personnel stayed at the Pony Canyon Motel in Austin, NV. Commuting time to the survey area varied from 50 minutes to over 2 hours each way, depending upon the muddy road condition and the location of the survey.

Specific vehicles used on the project were a Dodge Powerwagon (4 wheel drive) , a Ford 3/4 ton instrument truck (4 wheel drive) and an equipment trailer.

Composition of Crew

A detailed summary of the work and personnel is documented in Appendix A. The personnel involved on the project are listed below:

A. Mazzella	Geophysicist	Instruments, survey, data processing
J. Malloy	Field Assistant	Survey, wire crew

Data

The location of the stations are shown in Plate 1. Plots of the data and the one dimensional inversions are presented in the second binder. Data points are plotted that meet the following criteria:

- (1) skewness < 0.5 (except for station B2 where values < 1.0 were plotted)
- (2) phase between 0 and -90 degrees.

The rotated apparent resistivity values, rotation angle, skewness, phase, tipper and tipper strike angle are plotted for each station.

Table I

Magnetotelluric Data Processed

High frequency band sample period 0.03 seconds

Low frequency band sample period 0.30 seconds

STATIONS	# SEGMENTS HIGH BAND 10 to 0.1 HZ	# SEGMENTS LOW BAND 1 to 0.01 HZ	DATA QUALITY
M1,A1,B1	208	25	Fair
M2,A2,B2	216	28	Fair but high skewness values on B2
M3,A3,B3	163	40	Fair but high skewness values at long periods
M4,A4	218	28	Fair but high skewness values
M5,A5,B5	147	16	Fair
M6,A6,B6	155 181	23	Good
M7,A7,B7	181	43	Good
M8,A8,B8	207	32	Good but high skewness on A8 at long periods
M9,A9,B9	150	17	Good but high skewness at long periods
M10,A10,B10	125	14	Fair but high skewness at long periods

Table I (continued)

STATIONS	#SEGMENTS HIGH BAND	#SEGMENTS LOW BAND	DATA QUALITY
M11,A11	132	18	Good but high skewness at long periods
M12,A12,B12	131	14	Good
M13,A13,B13	210	24	Good but high skewness at long periods
M14,A14,B14	182	20	Good but high skewness at long periods at A14

The interpreted resistivity sections (based upon the one dimensional inversions) along lines AA'A", BB' and CC' are plotted in plates 2,3, and 4. The stations are projected upon the lines, up to 1.5 km in some cases.

The rotated axis used for the TE Mode inversion and the tipper strike direction are indicated in plate 5. In some cases there was considerable scatter in the tipper strike over the frequency range and an approximate average was used.

Discussion of Data

Considerable resistivity variations are observed in the interpretations throughout the survey area. Resistivity values from 1 to over 16,000 ohm meters are observed.

A low resistivity zone of less than 2 ohm meters is suggested in the area of stations B7 to A8 at depths of about 7 km. It does not appear to extend to the north (see line AA", ST B14) or to the east (see line CC', ST A9). At stations A6 and B7 another conductive zone (4 ohm meters) is suggested nearer the surface at depths of about 1 to 2 km.

These interpretations should be taken with caution. The resistivity data, for example, at station A9 does not appear to agree with the corresponding phase information between the periods of 1 to 10 seconds. The data may be contaminated with electrical noise from nearby farm or mining operations or from welding at the drill site. To the north of Station B7 the data from stations B14, A14 and M14 suggest a very high resistivity zone at depth and almost a 90 degree change in the strike direction (east-west). This is not understood although a considerable amount of faulting occurs in the area. The data in plate 5 suggest a number of other changes in strike direction occur throughout the area.

Poor data were obtained over a significant portion of Line BB' in the northern part of the survey area. High skewness values, greater than 1 in some cases, indicate that the area is strongly three dimensional.

A test of the uncertainty associated with the extrapolation of the magnetic field to the remote sites was provided at a number of locations that were reoccupied with the magnetometer located at a different base site. In one case the remote and base stations were interchanged (M3=A4, and M4=B3). A comparison of the data is shown in pages 115 to 122 in the second binder. In general, there is good agreement in the resistivity trend for all the sites. There is good agreement in the rotation angle of the principal axes and in the skewness values and a fair agreement in the phases. A difference in resistivity values of about 30 to 50% is observed at the short periods. At station A1=A2 a difference of about 20% is seen at the longer periods. These differences may be attributed to variations of 10 to 25% in the magnetic fields between the different base locations.

REFERENCES

- Bostick, F.X., 1977, Paper presented at Geothermal Workshop at the University of Utah, U.S.G.I.S. contract no. 14-08-0001-G359
- Keller, G.V., 1970, Induction Methods in Prospecting for Hot Water, United Nations Symposium, Pisa, Italy.
- Keller, G.V. and Frischknecht, F.C., 1970, Electrical Methods in Geophysical Prospecting, Pergamon Press, Inc.
- Gamble, T.D., Goubau, W.M., and Clarke, J., 1978, Magnetotellurics with a remote magnetic reference. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, LBL-7032
- Gamble, T.D., private communication, 1979; also see Koch, R.H., Goubau, W.M., Gamble, T.D., Miracky, R.F. and Clarke, J., 1978, Minicomputer for in-field Processing of Magnetotelluric Data, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, LBL-8648, Annual Report 1978, p.7.
- Patrick, F.W. and Bostick, F.X., 1969, Magnetotelluric Modeling Techniques, Technical Report No. 59, Electronics Research Center, University of Texas, Austin, Texas.
- Sims, W.E., and Bostick, F.X., 1969, Methods of Magnetotelluric Analysis, Technical Report No. 58, Electrical Geophysical Research Laboratory, Electronics Research Center, University of Texas, Austin, Texas.
- Vozoff, K., 1972, The Magnetotelluric Method in the Exploration of Sedimentary Basins, Geophysics, Vol. 37, No. 1.
- Zohdy, A.A.R., Anderson, L.A., Müffler, L.J.P., 1973, Resistivity, Self-Potential, and Induced Polarization Surveys of a Vapor-Dominated Geothermal System, Geophysics, Vol. 38, No. 6, p. 1130.

APPENDIX A
OPERATIONS SUMMARY

MONTH

FEBRUARY 1980

TERRAPIHYSICS

CHARGE DAYS	DATE	TECHNIQUE	TOTAL STATIONS	PROJECT MCCOY NEVADA LOCATION	FREQ. BAND Hz			PERSONNEL		
								MAZZELLA	MALLOY	LANGE
NC	4	M		Pickup supplies, liquid HE (7hrs)				X		
1/2	5	M		Mobilization, pack equipment leave CA for Austin, NV. Truck breaks down in Sierra Nevada MTS				X		
1/2	6	M		Repair truck, continue to Austin, NV				X		
1	7	Survey area		McCoy mine area, survey-setup M1,A1 B1 dipoles high winds, bury dipole wires				X	X	
NC	8	Down day		Fill Magnetometer, "O" rings cracks- repair				X		
1	9	TMT	3	Data M1,A1,B1 ; survey layout M2,B2 dipoles low signal windy, bury dipole wires cattle knock over antenna at A1				X	X	X
1	10	TMT		More data M1,A1,B1 ; process data in the field lots of cattle in area				X	X	
1	11	TMT	3	Data M2,A2,B2, pickup setup 2, survey M3,A3,B3				X	X	
1	12	TMT	3	setup dipoles M3,A3,B3 Data M3,A3,B3 low signals				X	X	

TOTALS
Mobilization 1
Survey 5

T - TELLURICS OI - ORTHOGONAL TELLURICS MT - MAGNETOTELLURICS

R - D.C. RESISTIVITY EM - ELECTROMAGNETIC

Mobilization

MONTH

FEBRUARY 1980

TERRAPHYSICS

PERSONNEL

CHARGE DAYS	DATE	TECHNIQUE	TOTAL STATIONS	PROJECT McCOY, NEVADA LOCATION	FREQ. BAND Hz	PERSONNEL	
						MAZZELLA	MALLOY
1	13	TMT	2	Data M4,A4; survey setup dipoles M5,B5		X	X
1	14	TMT	3	light rain starts 7:30A.M. in Austin Data M5,A5,B5 (when rain stops, not much here) Survey M6,A6,B6, setup A6,B6		X	X
1	15	TMT	3	Setup M6 Data M6,A6,B6 ; Survey M7,A7,B7, setup A7,B7		X	X
1	16	TMT	3	Setup M7 Data M7,A7,B7 ; survey M8, A8,B8, setup M8		X	X
1	17	TMT	3	setup B8, A8 Data M8,A8,B8 High winds, rain starts 3:00P.M. Heavy rain by 4P.M.		X	X
1/2	18	TMT	-	Pickup M8,A8,B8 ; Rain still falling fairly heavy 8:30 A.M. , road very muddy and slick		X	X
1/2		Down	-	Weather : Rain			

S

Survey 5½
Standby ½
weather

T - TELLURICS CI - ORTHOGONAL TELLURICS MT - MAGNETOTELLURICS

R - D.C. RESISTIVITY EM - ELECTROMAGNETIC

M - MOBILIZATION

MONTH

TERRAPHYSICS

FEBRUARY 1980

CHARGE DAYS	DATE	TECHNIQUE	TOTAL STATIONS	PROJECT	LOCAL	FREQ. BAND	PERSONNEL		
							MARZELLA	MALLOY	LANGE
1	19	TMT	3	McCOY, NEVADA		H ₇			
					Survey, setup M9,A9,B9 Data M9,A9,B9 Very windy, lots of high frequency spikes observed on all channels Arc welder at drill site and/or from local ranches		X	X	
1	20	TMT	3		Setup M10,A10,B10 Data M10,A10,B10 survey M11', A11', B11 layout B11		X	X	X
1	21	TMT	2		Snow 2 to 6 inches over survey area above 4800ft. Raining below, road very muddy and slick Can't get into M11' and A11' locate and setup new A11 and M11, rain stops about 2P.M. obtain some data-M11, A11 (no B11) low signals		X	X	X
1	22	TMT			Continue data at M11, A11 ; survey M12, A12 and B12(old B11) Takes 2 3/4 hours each way from Austin to sites Roads and area very muddy and slick		X	X	
1	23	TMT	3		Setup M12, A12 Data M12, A12, B12 ; survey M13, A13, B13 setup A13		X	X	
1	24	TMT	3		Setup M13, B13 Data M13, A13, B13 ; survey M14, A14, B14 setup A14, B14		X	X	

TOTALS

Survey 6 days

T - TELLURICS OT - ORTHOGONAL TELLURICS MT - MAGNETOTELLURICS

R - D.C. RESISTIVITY EM - ELECTROMAGNETIC

M - MOBILIZATION

MONTH
FEBRUARY 1980

TERRAPIYSICS

PERSONNEL

CHARGE DAYS	DATE	TECHNIQUE	TOTAL STATIONS	PROJECT McCOY, NEVADA	LOCATIONS	FREQ. BAND Hz	PERSONNEL	
							MA. LLA	MALLOY
1	25	TMT	3		Setup M14 Data M14, A14, B14 (move M14 250 meters off road lots of vehicles on road from drilling crews- do not appear to pick them up on magnetometer. Pick up all setups, pack equipment		X	X
1	26 27	Mobilization			back to California , return He dewar Unload equipment		X	

TOTALS

Survey 1 day
Mobilization 1 day

T - TELLURICS OT - ORTHOGONAL TELLURICS MT - MAGNETOTELLURICS

R - D.C. RESISTIVITY EM - ELECTROMAGNETIC

M- MOBILIZATION


MAGNETOTELLURIC
DATA

McCOY PROSPECT
CHURCHILL COUNTY
NEVADA

for

AMAX EXPLORATION, INC.

MARCH 1980




TERRAPHYSICS
815 SOUTH TENTH STREET
RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA 94804
(415) 234-8961

SELECTION CRITERIA FOR MAGNETOTELLURIC DATA

Only those points are plotted for which the skewness ≤ 0.5 ** and the phase falls between 0 to -90 degrees.

Angles (strike) are measure positive clockwise from the X axis.



** Skewness values were allowed up to 1.0 for station B2.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
One dimensional Inversions	
M1	1
A1	2
B1	3
M2	4
M3	5
M5	6
A5	7
B5	8
M6	9
A6	10
B6	11
M7	12
A7	13
B7	14
M8	15
A8	16
B8	17
M9	18
A9	19
B9	20
M10	21
A10	22
B10	23
M11	24
A11	25
M12	26
A12	27
B12	28
M13	29
A13	30
B13	31
M14	32
A14	33
B14	34

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)


Plots of rotated apparent resistivity data, rotation angle, tipper strike, phases, skewness values, and tipper

Station	Page
M1	35
A1	37
B1	39
M2	41
A2	43
B2	45
M3	47
A3	49
B3	51
M4	53
A4	55
M5	57
A5	59
B5	61
M6	63
A6	65
B6	67
M7	69
A7	71
B7	73
M8	75
A8	77
B8	79
M9	81
A9	83
B9	85
M10	87
A10	89
B10	91
M11	93
A11	95
M12	97
A12	99

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

Plots of rotated apparent resistivity data, rotation angle, tipper strike direction, phases, skewness values and tipper


STATIONS	PAGES
B12	101
M13	103
A13	105
B13	107
M14	109
A14	111
B14	113
Comparsion of data with magnetometer at different sites	
A1=A2	115
M3=A4	117
B3=M4	119
A3=A5	121



52m Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. Mc COY, NV


Station A1



52m Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCOY, NV


Station B1



Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. MCCOY, NY

Station M2




5m Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. Mc COY, NV

Station M3


5



52m Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCOY, NV


Station M5



Ωm Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station A5



Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station B5



Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station M6



$\Omega\cdot m$ Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. MCCOY, NV


Station A6



Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station B6



Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCOY, NV


Station M7



Proj. McCoy, NV

Station A7


5.2m Resistivity vs Depth



Ωm Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station M8



Proj. MCCOY, NV

Station A8


$\Omega \cdot m$ Resistivity vs Depth



Proj. McCoy, NV

Station B8


$\Omega \cdot m$ Resistivity vs Depth



52m Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station M9



Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. MCCOY, NV


Station A9



Ωm Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station B9



52m Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV

Station M10



THOUSANDS

HUNDREDS


TENS

km

Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station A10



Proj. McCoy, NV

Station B10


5m Resistivity vs Depth



Proj. McCoy, NV

Station M11


Ωm Resistivity vs Depth



$\Omega\cdot m$ Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. MCCOY


Station AI1



Proj. McCoy, NV

Station M12


Ωm Resistivity vs Depth



Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station A12



Ωm Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station B12



Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station M13



Ωm Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station A13



Resistivity vs Depth

Proj. McCoy, NV


Station B13



Ω.m Resistivity vs Depth


Proj. MCCOY, NV

Station M14



Proj. McCOY, NV Station A14


$\Omega \cdot m$ Resistivity vs Depth



52.m Resistivity vs Depth



Proj. McCoy, NV

Station B14




PROSPECT McCOY, NEVADA

STATION MI




McCOY, NEVADA
STATION M1




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION A1

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA


STATION Al



ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
 X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)





ROTATION ANGLE
 STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA

STATION B1







McCOY, NEVADA
STATION M2




ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
 X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)



ROTATION ANGLE
 STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION A2




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION B2

45

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)





ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA



STATION B2






McCOY, NEVADA
STATION M3





McCOY, NEVADA


STATION A3




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION B3

51

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA

STATION B3




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION M4


ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)



ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)





MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION M4




PROSPECT McCOY, NEVADA

STATION A4





MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION A4




PROSPECT McCOY, NEVADA


STATION M5



McCOY, NEVADA
 STATION M5




PROSPECT McCoy, NEVADA
STATION AS




ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)



ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




PERIOD (SECONDS)

MCCOY, NEVADA

STATION A5





MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION B5




PROSPECT McCOY, NEVADA

STATION M6




McCOY, NEVADA
STATION M6




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
 STATION A6

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
 X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)






ROTATION ANGLE
 STRIKE (S); AXES (X)



PERIOD (SECONDS)


MCCOY, NEVADA

STATION A6




MCCOY, NEVADA

STATION B6




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION M7


ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA
STATION M7




ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
 X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)



ROTATION ANGLE
 STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA


STATION A7

PROSPECT McCoy, Nevada
STATION B7


ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)






McCOY, NEVADA

STATION B7




MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION M8







McCOY, NEVADA
 STATION A8




ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)



ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA
 STATION B8




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
 STATION M9


ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
 X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)



ROTATION ANGLE
 STRIKE (S); AXES (X)



MCCOY, NEVADA


STATION M9

PROSPECT McCoy, NEVADA
STATION A9


Y

83

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)



McCOY, NEVADA


STATION A9




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
 STATION B9

85

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
 X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
 STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA


STATION B9




ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
 X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)



ROTATION ANGLE
 STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA
 STATION M10




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION A10

89

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA


STATION A10



ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




MCCOY, NEVADA

STATION B10




PROSPECT McCOY, NEVADA
 STATION M11


ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
 X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)



ROTATION ANGLE
 STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA
STATION M11




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION ALL

95

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)



McCOY, NEVADA


STATION All




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION M12

97

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)



McCOY, NEVADA


STATION M12




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION A12

99

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA


STATION A12



ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
 X AXIS (X) : Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
 STRIKE (S) : AXES (X)





PERIOD (SECONDS)

McCOY, NEVADA
STATION B12






PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION M13

103




McCOY, NEVADA
STATION M13





McCOY, NEVADA


STATION A13




PROSPECT McCOY, NEVADA
STATION B13

107


ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)



ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA
STATION B13




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION M14


ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)



ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA
 STATION M14




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
 STATION A14


ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
 X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)



ROTATION ANGLE
 STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




McCOY, NEVADA
STATION A14




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
 STATION B14

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
 X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
 STRIKE (S); AXES (X)





PERIOD (SECONDS)

MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION B14




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
 STATION A1 X, Y
A2 ⊗, ⊙



McCOY, NEVADA


STATION A1 X,Y
A2 ⊗ ⊙



PROSPECT McCOY, NEVADA

117


STATION M3 X, Y
A4 ⊗, ⊙



McCOY, NEVADA


STATION M3, X, Y

A4 ⊗, ⊙




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
STATION B3 X, Y
M4 ⊗, ⊙

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)




ROTATION ANGLE
STRIKE (S); AXES (X)




PROSPECT MCCOY, NEVADA
 STATION A3 X Y
A5 ⊗ ⊗

ROTATED APPARENT RESISTIVITY (OHM-METERS)
 X AXIS (X); Y AXIS (Y)



ROTATION ANGLE
 STRIKE (S); AXES (X)



McCOY, NEVADA

STATION A3, X, Y

A5 ⊗, ⊙

