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## ABSTRACT

The MINE SHAFT Series is a program of high-explosive tests primarily concerned with ground-shock and cratering effects from explosions at or near the surface of a rock medium. The series is sponsored by the Defense Atomic Support Agency (DASA) as a follow-on to similar tests in soil (SNOW BALL, DISTANT PIAAIN, PRAIRIE FLAT). The two major events of MINE SHAFT during 1968 were MINE UNDER and MINE ORE; both were explosions of lOO-ton TNT spheres detonated in nearsurface geometries and in/over a granite medium.

Studies of the crater ejecta were conducted on MINE ORE (buried one-tenth of the charge radius) with the objectives of determining the spoil density and distribution from this event, examining the role of the ejection mechanism in crater formation for this medium, and obtaining additional information on natural missile trajectories. MINE UNDER, an above-surface event, produced a spalled rubble mound and a small field of debris; this was also recorded as part of the study.

MINE ORE produced a low, irregular crater lip which extended to an average range of 47 feet from ground zero (GZ) with a maximum of roughly 90 feet. Beyond this, discrete ejecta particle size and distribution frequency decreased with increasing distance from GZ. The maximum observed range was 2,120 feet for a l-pound natural missile
with smaller fragments found out to about 2,300 feet from GZ. Maximum ejecta ranges were observed downhill from and parallel to the main joints.

Missile ranges scaled approximately as $W^{0.3}$. The jointing system of the rock appeared to be the single most influential element in concentrating debris along certain radials, as well as in the overall distribution of debris.

The MINE SHAFT Series includes participation by a number of agencies under the technical direction and support of the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES). Details of the organization for Events MINE UNDER and MINE ORE are contained in a Technical, Administrative, and Operational Plan. ${ }^{1}$ Mr. L. F. Ingram of the Nuclear Weapons Effects Division (NWED), WES, is serving as Technical Director for the MINE SHAFT Series. The Director of Program 1 (Cratering and Ejecta Studies) is Mr. J. N. Strange, also of NWED.

Subtask N122, General Ejecta Studies, was prepared and executed during the period August-November 1968 as a part of Program l by Messrs. A. D. Rooke, Jr., Project Officer, and J. W. Meyer, the authors of this report. Assistance in the field was provided by Messrs. J. W. Scanlan of the WES and D. E. Stroberger of the Boeing Company. During this time Mr. G. L. Arbuthnot, Jr., was Chief of the NWED, COL Levi A. Brown was Director of the WES, and Messrs. J. B. Tiffany and F. R. Brown were Technical Directors of the WES.

1 DASIAC Special Report 77-1, I October 1968, DASA Information and Analysis Center, General Electric Co., TEMPO, 816 State Street; Santa Barbara, California.
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CONVERSION FACTORS, BRITISH TO METRIC UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

British units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric units as follows.

| Multiply | By | To Obtain |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| inches | 2.54 | centimeters |
| feet | 0.3048 | meters |
| miles | 1.609344 | kilometers |
| feet per second | 0.3048 | meters per second |
| miles per hour | 1.609344 | kilometers per hour |
| square feet | 0.092903 | square meters |
| cubic yards | 0.764555 | cubic meters |
| pounds | 0.45359237 | kilograms |
| tons (2, 000 pounds) | 907.185 | kilograms |
| pounds per square | 0.070307 | kilograms per square centimeter |
| inch |  | kilograms per square meter |
| pounds per square | 4.88243 | kilograms per cubic meter |
| foot |  |  |
| pounds per cubic foot | 16.0185 | a |
| Fahrenheit degrees | - |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ To obtain Centigrade (C) temperature readings from Fahrenheit ( $F$ ) readings, use the following formula: $C=(5 / 9$ ) ( $F-3$ ) . To obtain Kelvin (K) readings, use: $K=(5 / 9)(F-32)+273.15$.

## CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

The past 15 years or so have seen a great increase in interest and research effort on the subject of cratering. The military importance of cratering, particularly by nuclear energy, stems from its damaging capability against hardened underground facilities, from damage associated with ejected material (either in the form of impact damage or from the depth of deposition), and from the creation of tactical obstacles. Proper usage of cratering as a military tool and appreciation of its hazards require detailed knowledge of the mechanics of crater formation.

An obvious mechanism is that of ejection of material from the crater void. The early cratering tests, largely buried explosions in the arid soil of the western U. S. A., indicated that this ejection (or throwout) manifested itself primarily as an overburden problem, with areal density decreasing exponentially with increasing distance from ground zero (GZ). The small-grain material of the cratered medium posed no particular threat to nearby structures other than to render them ineffective by covering them with a blanket of soil; further, the average particle reached terminal velocity early in the ejection process, and therefore had a relatively short range.

In recent years, interest in hardened militaryl facilities built in rock has required that additional emphasis be placed upon the study of crater ejecta in this medium. Here the possibility of long-range damage by discrete ejecta particles is as much a concern as depth-of-ejecta considerations. Further, important changes have occurred in research concepts relating to the shot geometry itself. The surface or near-surface burst, such as might be expected from an incoming warhead, has received special attention. The hemispherical charge, which sought to model the blast effects of a true surface shot (half above, half below ground) twice its actual size, has given way to the spherical charge, which more realistically represents the "point source" of energy that a nuclear burst would provide. The use of chemical explosives has, of course, been made necessary by the requirement to substitute high explosives (HE) for nuclear devices, in keeping with requirements of the Nuclear Test-Ban Treaty. ${ }^{1}$ In addition, means have been sought to more closely reproduce the crater of a nuclear weapon, recognizing that unless this aspect of energy expenditure can be modeled it is unlikely that other aspects will be properly modeled. This has been attempted by offsetting the charge

[^0]center of gravity above that of the nuclear burst which is being modeled. Thus, in earlier tests in soil, it appeared that a spherical HE charge resting on (tangent to) the ground surface might provide a better representation of a nuclear surface-burst crater than one in which the center of gravity was actually at the ground surface.

It was this last consideration that dictated the choice of charge geometry for Event MINE ORE. This shot, together with the above-surface shot MINE UNDER, was a major event of the MINE SHAFT Series, a program of $H E$ tests in rock sponsored by the Defense Atomic Support Agency. A small-scale (calibration) series of shots pointed to a burial depth of one-tenth the charge radius as most representative of a nuclear surface burst. This was generally in keeping with theoretical considerations and small-scale laboratory experiments conducted by Physics International, Incorporated.

### 1.2 OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of the study reported herein was to determine the ejecta mass density and azimuthal distribution associated with the MINE ORE Event. Secondary objectives were to:

1. Obtain information on the mechanics of crater formation for the MINE ORE test geometry by locating the original and final positions of ejected material.
2. Obtain information from which quantitative estimates of ejecta trajectories might be made.
3. Evaluate the hazards of natural missiles resulting from such explosions.

### 1.3 THEORY

The crater, lip, and surrounding regions of deformation or damage resulting from a surface or near-surface explosion are illustrated in Figure 1.1.

Preshot predictions of ejecta parameters (e.g., maximum missile range) followed two general approaches, viz, a consideration of initial particle velocities based upon shock conditions, and scaling of other experimental results to the yield for MINE ORE. The limitations on both approaches are well recognized. In the case of the former, the behavior of the shock front in the region where ejection originates is argumentative and to some extent a matter for conjecture. On the other hand, scaling of any phenomenon requires a knowledge of the mechanics involved, and these, too, are not completely understood. Thus, it is not known whether refraction of the compressive stress wave in the rock or stress relief (rebound) following the passage of the compressive stress wave predominates in the ejection mechanism. Equally uncertain is the degree to which scouring action by the explosion gases influences debris ejection. It is
likely that all of these mechanisms, among others perhaps, play a part.

Observations of near-surface explosions show an early, fastmoving "ring" of material ejected from a position near the charge and at an angle nearly normal to the ground surface, perhaps the result of a spalling action. The ejection process is, however, known to take place over a longer period of time and to include lower exit angles. This suggests that material fractured by the compressive stress wave may be dislodged and ejected by the explosion gases. In order to obtain some appreciation of the probable hazard from ejected rock, both shock conditions and scaling parameters were examined.

For the former approach, an expression for particle velocity just behind the shock front may be obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\rho c u \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$p=$ shock front pressure in terms of force per unit area
$\rho=$ medium mass density ( $\mathrm{Mr}^{2} \mathrm{~L}^{-4}$ in units of mass-length-time)
$c=$ sonic velocity in the medium
$u=$ particle velocity of the medium
Certain assumptions are immediately necessary, the major one being the value to be assigned to p. A thorough study of ejecta from a $20-$ ton $^{2}$ surface burst in soil (Reference l) has shown that the
longest range particles originate near the ground surface and within a distance equal to about one charge radius from the surface of the explosive. The rock in intimate contact with the charge is probably pulverized. Material adjacent to the charge achieves large initial velocities; however, the material ejected from this region is thought to reach an early terminal velocity and experience a short trajectory, due to its highly comminuted condition. Photographic analysis indicates that this is the case (Reference 2). At a distance of around two charge radii from GZ, larger particles should remain intact. At this range, the shock wave in rock, assuming the pressure at the charge-medium interface is between 100 to 150 kilobars and decreases inversely as the square of radial distance, should be on the order of 25 to 38 kilobars. As would be expected, this is appreciably higher than comparable shock-wave pressures of about 20 kilobars observed in soil (Reference 3). Although the ground may be moving downward due to airblast loading, it is assumed that this has no significant effect on the directly coupled shock in the region of interest.

Rounding off all values to the greatest accuracy justified and solving for $u$,

A table of factors for converting British units of measurement to metric units is presented on page 9.

$\approx 900 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$
based upon a charge-medium interface pressure of 100 kilobars. Since there is some inertia associated with all fragments, this value is actually too high. It provides, however, a point of departure for calculations of ballistic trajectory, and, further, agrees fairly well with values calculated in Reference $l$ for an explosion in soil. The equation for ballistic trajectory is well known. It states

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=C\left(\frac{v_{0}^{2} \sin 2 \alpha}{g}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R=\text { range }
$$

$V_{0}=\underset{(\text { initial velocity }}{\text { (or particle) }}$ (speed and direction) of a projectile
$\alpha=$ initial angle (with a horizontal plane) of the particle $\mathrm{g}=$ gravitational acceleration
$C=$ a constant which compensates for the effects of air drag. Reference 4, which includes some observations of ejecta particles, expresses this constant as a ratio of the. observed range to the range in a vacuum.

The concept of the retarding force on an ejected particle being constant is a rather gross simplification of the actual problem, which includes such variables as particle size, shape, and velocity,
as well as density and viscosity of the air (References 4 and 5). The airblast also influences ejecta motion (Reference 6).

Substituting the calculated particle velocity for $V_{o}$ in Equation 1.2, and applying certain data from Reference l,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & \approx 0.05\left[\frac{(900 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec})^{2} \sin 2(25 \text { degrees })}{32.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}}\right] \\
& \approx 960 \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

If spall is considered the predominant means of particle ejection, it may be noted that the spall velocity is twice that of the particle velocity given in Equation 1.1 , or approximately $1,800 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$. Substituting this value in the ballistic trajectory equation provides a range of approximately 3,860 feet. Greater ranges would result from an increase in the angle of ejection (up to 45 degrees), as might be expected for a spalled particle.

Since the results of a 1,000 -pound calibration series ${ }^{3}$ (Reference 7) were available, the selection of a suitable scaling exponent was required in order to predict certain debris parameters
$\overline{3}$ Conducted to assist in selection of a shot geometry, and also to permit the trial of certain instrumentation techniques. Charges were fired which modeled both MINE ORE and MINE UNDER, as well as other geometries in the near-surface regime.
by scaling the observed data to the yield of the MINE ORE Event. The most logical exponent appeared to be that derived from the expression for particle velocity for a buried explosion (Reference 8). With this selection, it remained to be determined which scaling rule is most applicable. Clearly, gravity must be included, which narrows the choice to mass-gravity or energy-gravity rules, as defined and developed in Reference 8. Since the former does not permit realistic scaling of linear dimensions of the charge and crater, mass-gravity scaling was tentatively chosen.

From the dimensional analysis of Reference 8, the following mass-gravity relation can readily be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho_{1} u_{1}^{2}}{\rho_{2} u_{2}^{2}}=\frac{g_{1}}{g_{2}}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}\right)^{2 / 3}\left(\frac{w_{1}}{w_{2}}\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is the charge weight and the subscripts 1 and 2 refer to different experiments. When $\rho$ and $g$ are held constant between two experiments, Equation 1.3 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{1}}{u_{2}}=\left(\frac{w_{1}}{W_{2}}\right)^{1 / 6} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided all other conditions for similarity are met. This, of course, was not the case. Yield strength, viscosity, and sonic velocity of the medium were also constant (or approximately so) in the MINE SHAFT experiments, as was hydrostatic (atmospheric) pressure. Scaling of these variables is necessary for similarity, and
failure to meet this requirement can be expected to cause deviations in what might otherwise appear to be theoretically correct scaling. relations. As is pointed out in Reference 8, the cumulative result of these dissimilarities is to enlarge the scaled dimension of the larger explosion. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}}{\mathrm{R}_{2}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{w}_{1}}{\mathrm{w}_{2}}\right)^{1 / 6(+)} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the MINE SHAPT Series. If the maximum observed missile range (450 feet) for the calibration test which modeled MIVE ORE is substituted in Equation 1.5 , the maximum range for ejecta on NINE ORE is found to be equal to or greater than 1,200 feet.

Some experimental corroboration of the $(W)^{1 / 6}$ scaling rule is desirable, and this is found in Reference 9, in which it was concluded that the available maximum-range data for buried charges did indeed scale most closely to the sixth root of the charge yield. However, special attention was given to surface-burst data (taken to include hemispherical shots), for which four-tenths scaling was indicated. The latter rule provides an ejecta-range prediction on the order of 3,700 feet when applied to MINE ORE, using the calibration data as a model.

Summarizing the theoretical approaches to the determination of debris range, it appears that predictions which assume a scouring action by the shock wave are unreasonably low. Similarly,
predictions based upon sixth-root scaling are lower than would be dictated by experience. Empirically derived scaling exponents indicate a radical change in scaling associated with a surface-burst geometry. This, in turn, indicates that the sixth-root rule may become invalid as the charge position is moved upward through the earth-air interface. Why this should be is not immediately clear, since the parameters included in the dimensional analysis of Reference 8 appear equally valid for the near-surface case. Obviously, more information is needed to correlate theoretical calculations with observed results. It is particularly important that ejecta origins be determined, along with the trajectory time-histories resulting from use of various missile shapes and sizes.

### 1.4 PRESHOT PREDICTIONS

Based on the preceding discussion, the following preshot estimates of natural missile ranges were made for Event MINE ORE (Reference 10):

Maximum range $=3,000$ feet
Range within which 90 percent of the ejecta will fall $=1,300$ feet .


Figure 1.1 Typical half-crater profile and nomenclature for surface or near-surface burst. Profiles and dimensions are symmetrical about the centerline. Various radial and depth dimensions are indicated by $r$ and $d$, respectively. Crater lip and upthrust heights are shown by $h$ and $u$, while the width of the lip is noted as $w_{\ell} \cdot$ The radius of ejected debris is indicated by $r_{e}$.

## CHAPTER 2

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

### 2.1 TEST SITE

The MINE SHAFT test site was located on a granite laccolith in the Iron Mountains of southwest Utah, about 8 miles northwest of Cedar City, Utah. Figure 2.1 is a map of the test area. The site has a semidesert envircnment with juniper trees, sage, and cactus as the predominant vegetation. It is characterized by a thin layer of sandy silt soil (desert alluvium) with intermittent, smoothly rounded rock outcrops. The elevation of the site is approximately 5,900 feet msl , and the area slopes gently toward the east at about two degrees. A steep-sided, 500 -foot peak is located 1,800 feet southwest of the site. The area within approximately 100 feet of both GZ's was cleared of soil and weathered rock. The trees and brush on the south and southwest sides of the area were removed for a distance of 1,000 feet from MINE ORE GZ.

The events reported herein were fired at the following geographical coordinates:

| Event | Latitude | Longitude |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MINE UNDER | $37^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 10.050^{\prime \prime}$ | $113^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 48.494^{\prime \prime \prime}$ |
| MINE ORE | $37^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 10.247^{\prime \prime}$ | $113^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 49.976^{\prime \prime}$ |

### 2.2 TEST SCHEDULE AND GEOMETRY

This phase of the MINE SHAFT Series consisted of two 100-ton HE charges: MINE UNDER, detonated on 22 October 1968, and MINE ORE, detonated on 13 November 1968. Each charge was formed of 32.6 -pound blocks of trinitrotoluene (TNT) stacked to approximate a sphere. The charge radius was approximately 8 feet. MINE UNDER was designed as an above-surface burst with a height of burst (HOB) of 2.0 charge radii ( 15.70 feet). MINE ORE was a near-surface burst with an HOB of 0.9 charge radius ( 7.07 feet). Figure 2.2 shows the charge geometries for MINE UNDER and MINE ORE.

### 2.3 WEATHER CONDITIONS

Pertinent surface-weather data for MINE UNDER and MINE ORE shot days are given below:

|  | MTNE UNDER | MINE ORE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Temperature | 65.4 F | 38.5 F |
| Barometric pressure | 820 mb | 808 mb |
| Relative humidity | 18 pct | 50 pct |
| Wind direction/velocity | 300 degrees $/ 2.3 \mathrm{mph}$ | 10 degrees $/ 13.8 \mathrm{mph}$ |

These data were furnished by Program 5, Airblast.

### 2.4 EJECTA MASS DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION SAMPLING

This section deals with the procedures for sampling the ejecta from Event MINE ORE. Since no ejecta was expected from Event MINE UNDER, the procedures discussed in the remainder of this chapter were prepared only for MINE ORE.

Measurements of ejecta mass density (pounds per square foot) were divided into three categories: (1) ejecta within the crater lip, (2) natural missiles falling beyond the crater lip, and (3) fine-grain ejecta dust falling beyond the crater lip. Primary sampling sectors extended south and west, or approximately parallel and perpendicular to what appeared to be the main jointing system of the rock.
2.4.1 Ejecta Within the Crater Lip. The mass density of the ejected material in the crater lip was determined by excavation, sieving, and weighing. Excavation was carried out in coordination with Subtask N 121 , Crater Investigations. Five trenches were excavated through the crater lip as shown in Figure 2.3. The trenches to the south, west, and northwest were 20 -degree sectors, while those to the east and north were 6-foot-wide corridors. Each trench was divided into three sections so that mass density as a function of radial distance could be determined. The limits of these sections were spaced at one, two, and three apparent crater radii. The ejected material from each section was picked up with a front-end
loader and placed in a dump truck. The total sample was weighed, then hauled to a rock-crushing plant for sieving (Figure 2.4). There it was separated into four size groups: 12 -inch plus, 6 to 12 inches, 3 to 6 inches, and 3-inch minus. Each size group was then weighed in order to provide a size-distribution curve for the specified area.
2.4.2 Missiles Beyond the Crater Lip. The number of natural rock missiles that fell beyond the crater lip were sampled three ways: (1) by photography, (2) by counting and weighing in surveyed sectors, and (3) by plane-table survey. Each method was used in a different region as shown in Figure 2.5. The objectives of this sampling were to determine mass density and a particle count per unit area of the ejecta as functions of radial distance and to examine the relation between missile size and range.

Photography was used in the region extending from 100 feet, assumed as the approximate edge of the crater lip, to 1,000 feet from GZ. Twenty photography stations, spaced to match a geometric progression, were used along both the south and west radials. The camera grid and mount used are shown in Figure 2.6. A Kodak $35-\mathrm{mm}$ Reflex camera was used with Kodak Panatomic X film. The grid area covered by a single photograph was $25 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. The grid pattern consisted of 6 -inch squares. For those stations between 100 and 250 feet from GZ, a single photograph was taken. Between 250 and

500 feet, four photographs were taken, giving a sample area of 100 $f t^{2}$. Sixteen photographs for a sample area of $400 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ were taken between 500 and l,000 feet from GZ.

Beyond 1,000 feet from GZ, the missile population was so sparse that photography was no longer effective. Here, 20-degree counting and weighing sectors were laid out on the south and west radials as show in Figure 2.5. Each sector was 100 feet deep. All missiles greater than $I$ pound in weight in a sector were located, their positions recorded using coordinates based on the near centerline corner of the sector, and their weights estimated. Larger ejecta were weighed in a sling-and-spring-scale device. Figure 2.7 shows this procedure.

The outer fringes of the ejecta distribution were sampled by means of a plane-table survey in order to determine maximum missile range. The area surveyed was a llo-degree arc southwest of GZ as shown in Figure 2.5. As in the counting sectors, the survey was restricted to missiles at least 1 pound in weight. The remainder of the ejecta-distribution periphery was inspected visually to insure that the maximum-range missile was found.
2.4.3 Ejecta-Dust Sampling. Fine-grain ejecta dust (sand size and smaller) falling beyond the crater lip was sampled with metal collector pads like that shown in Figure 2.8. Larger particles which were found on the pads were included in the sampling; these
were found mostly on the close-in rings. Primarily, however, photography was relied upon for obtaining data on the larger particles, While the pads provided data on the fine material. The pads were arranged in a circular pattern around GZ as shown in Figure 2.9. This pattern consisted of concentric rings in a geometric progression from $G Z$ with stations at $100,140,190,270,370,520,720$, and 1,000 feet. The inner five rings contained 16 pads each spaced every 22.5 degrees, while the outer three rings had 32 pads each spaced every 11.25 degrees. The array contained 176 pads, of which 174 were actually placed before shot MINE ORE (satisfactory locations for two pads could not be found). Each pad was a 3- by 2.5foot sheet of No. 20 gauge steel. The leading edge of each pad was turned down in order to anchor it more firmly into the soil overburden. Mosi pads were held down by 8 -inch gutter spikes driven into the overburden. Some pads, located on bare rock, were held down with rock studs. The pads on the inner three rings had their leading edges anchored in grout to prevent displacement by the airblast. After the detonation, the deposited material was collected from the pads with whisk brooms and dust pans and sealed in paint cans (Figure 2.10). After an initial weighing of each sample, they were sent to the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station for sieve analysis.

### 2.5 MISSILE-TRAJECTORY EXPERIMENTS

This section describes the experiments performed to obtain data on missile-trajectory parameters such as ejection angle, initial velocity, impact angle, impact velocity, and range. Three experiments were performed involving: (1) colored-grout columns, (2) artificial missiles, and (3) styrofoam missile traps.
2.5.1 Colored-Grout Columns. An array of 8 -inch boreholes was drilled in the vicinity of the MINE ORE GZ as part of the Crater Investigations Subtask (Reference ll) to aid in defining the true crater boundary and to measure residual ground displacement. One borehole was drilled at GZ, and others were drilled along radials to the south and west at 5 -foot intervals. These were filled with colored grout designed to match as closely as possible the density of the granite at the test site. Portions of the columns falling within the expected area of the true crater were also divided into l-foot sections by the addition of colored plastic beads to the grout mixture. Table 2.1 gives the coding for the colored-grout columns.

After the detonation, a search was made for ejected grout particles; those located provided initial and final positions for individual pieces of crater ejecta. This provided information necessary for studying the mechanics of crater formation as well as missile trajectory.
2.5.2 Artificial Missiles. A second missile study involved
placing a large number of artificial missiles near the MINE ORE GZ. As with the grout columns, initial and final positions of the ejected material were known; however, with the artificial missiles, shape and weight were also known. This information provides a basis for 'studying drag forces and ballistic coefficients of discrete ejecta particles.

Two types of artificial missiles were used--cylinders and spheres. Table 2.2 lists the number of missiles placed along with their physical properties. The cylinders were made of aluminum with a 2.5-inch diameter (Figure 2.11). Each cylinder was subdivided into a 4-inch cylinder, a 2-inch cylinder, a l-inch cylinder, and a l-inch cylinder divided into half and quarter wedges (see Table 2.2). The cylinders were emplaced in NX holes (3-inch diameter) at 2.5 and 7.5 feet from GZ along the south and west radials. The spherical missiles were made of three metals: aluminum, steel, and lead (Figure 2.12). They varied from 1 to 6 inches in diameter. The missiles were number-coded and placed in the two NX holes and in the top 3 feet of the first five grout holes (excluding GZ) along the south radial. Figure 2.13 shows the preshot positions of the artificial missiles. Density-matching grout was used to backfill around the missiles.

Seven large aluminum missiles were also used in an attempt to evaluate initial trajectory conditions of ejecta. It was planned
that with their brightly polished or painted surfaces they would be detected by the test photography, giving early velocity data (ejection angle and speed).
2.5.3 Styrofoam Missile Traps. Styrofoam missile traps were used to evaluate terminal trajectory parameters. The traps were 8by 4 -foot styrofoam pads (125-psi compressive strength), 4 inches thick. Six such pads were used along a radial to the south of GZ. (Figure 2.9) at distances of $400,500,600,700,800$, and 900 feet. Each pad was positioned so that its top surface was flush with the ground surface. After the detonation, the pads were examined for missile nits from which impact angles and depths of penetration could be measured. From these values it is anticipated that the impact velocities of the missile can be inferred by calibration experiments relating penetration and impact velocity.

：aterial al cue dashed line ：as discociated ard／or ejected．Holes R1－1 throuth R1－5 also contained artificial uissiles（see Figure 2．17．Key to bead colors：$R$ ，red；Y，yellow： $T$ ，turcuicise：$h$ ，anber：$E 1$, blact：$C$ ：crante：$C$ ，green．

| Depth | Colum $\rightarrow$ <br> Grout Color－， <br> Range，feet $\rightarrow$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GZ } \\ \text { Red } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R1-1 } \\ & \text { Erom? } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}  & \text { Pl-2 } \\ \text { n. } & \text { Green } \\ & 10 \end{array}$ | R1－3 <br> Black： <br> 15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { R1-4 } \\ \text { Yellow } \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | R1－5 <br> Orange 25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R1- } 6 \\ & \text { Brown } \end{aligned}$ $30$ | R1－7 <br> Green 35 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { El- } 8 \\ & \text { Red } \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R1-9 } \\ \text { Yellow } \\ 45 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bead Color（s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Redial（Azimuth $=195$ degrees）： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0-1$ |  |  | T | $\because$ | $\stackrel{R}{1}$ | R，${ }^{\text {V }}$ | 0, | R | T | T | T |
| 1－2 |  |  | $\because$ | $\therefore$ | 0 | 6 | －1 | $\because$ | Y | $\because$ | G |
| －－5 |  |  | 0 | C | 0 | こ， |  | $c$ | 6 | 0 | A |
| －$-\frac{4}{4}$ |  |  | 31 | 1三－ | － | － | ； | $\equiv 1$ | c | 32 | R |
| － 5 |  |  | $\therefore 1$ | $1 \div \because$ | A | 0 | $\cdots$ | T | 5 | －－ | B1 |
| 5－6 |  |  | E．S1 | 1 170 | 31．5 | A | 2．T | 6 | A | －－ | －－ |
| －7 |  | ミ， | 3，${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 1 | 3.6 | R．G | T，A | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ |
| －－6 |  |  | 里 | －－ | E，A | 3，${ }^{\text {P }}$ | E．${ }^{\text {P T }}$ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ |
| 2－3 |  | B：－ | － | －－ | ， | R | ， | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ |
| 9－10 |  | R，$\because$ |  | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ |
| 10－11 |  | R，C | P，A | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ |
| 11－12 |  | R， 31 | ， | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －． | －－ |
| 12－13 |  | P， 4 | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ |
|  | Cciumn | 5 |  | たj－2 | F－5 | R3，${ }^{1}$ | 2ミ－5 | R3－5 | 2．3－7 | R3－6 | R3－9 |
|  | Grcut Colcr－ |  |  | Crarge | －elle： | Green | Elack | Erown | Red | そellow | Blach |
|  | Eases， Cect |  |  | $\therefore 3$ | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 5 | 40 | 45 |
| Depth | \＃eed $\operatorname{color}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

feet
Biest Radial（Asimuth $=279$ degrees）：

| 0－1 | T | A | T | $\stackrel{\text { F }}{ }$ | $\square 1$ | F | A． | T | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1－2 | R | R | － | G | G | G | R | $\underline{\square}$ | R |
| 2－3 | G | T | $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{A}$ | T | $\because$ \％ | u | T | c | 1 |
| 3－4 | $Y$ | G | 31 | $\because-$ | － | $\stackrel{5}{3}$ | G | G | G |
| 4－5 | B1 | $\because$ |  | 0 | E1 | B． 1 | －－ | －－ | －－ |
| 5－6 | 0 | Er | A | E1 | A | A | －－ | －－ | －－ |
| 6－7 | A |  | G | A | G | －－ | －－ | －－ |  |
| 7－8 | T，R | R，A | R | R，G | R | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ |
| $8-9$ | T．G＇ | R，0 | R，A | R， $\mathrm{T}^{\text {P }}$ | T，\％ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ |
| 9－10 | T | R， $\mathrm{Bl}_{1}$ | T，A | －－ | 0，31 | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ |
| 10－11 | T，El | R．Y | Bl，A | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ |
| 11－12 | T，0 | R，G | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ |
| 12－13 | $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{A}$ | R，T | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ | －－ |

TABLE 2.2 ARTIFICIAL MISSILES, EVENT MINE ORE

| Missile Type | Material | Size |  | Weight | Number Emplaced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length | Diameter |  |  |
|  |  | inches | inches | pounds |  |
| Cylinder | Aluminum | 4 | 2.5 | 1.82 | 39 |
| Cylinder | Aluminum | 2 | 2.5 | 0.91 | 39 |
| Cylinder | Aluminum | 1 | 2.5 | 0.46 | 39 |
| Cylinder | Aluminum | $1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | -- | 0.23 | 39 |
| Cylinder | Aluminum | $1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | -- | 0.12 | 78 |
| Sphere | Aluminum | -- | 6.0 | 10.50 | 5 |
| Sphere | Aluminum | -- | 5.5 | 8.10 | 2 |
| Sphere | Aluminum | -- | 2.5 | 0.76 | 26 |
| Sphere | Aluminum | -- | 1.0 | 0.05 | 114 |
| Sphere | Lead | -- | 2.5 | 3.37 | 24 |
| Sphere | Steel | -- | 2.0 | 1.17 | 8 |
| Sphere | Steel | -- | 1.0 | 0.15 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 423 |

[^1]

Figure 2.1 Location and vicinity maps for MINE SHAFT. Contours are in feet above mean sea level (msl).
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Figure 2.2 Charge geometries for Events MINE UNDER and MINE ORE.


Figure 2.3 Excavation trenches through the crater lip, MINE ORE. Radial numbering system corresponds with that of Crater Investigations Study (Subtask N12l). Arrow indicates true north.


Figure 2.4 Sieving of ejecta from the crater lip of MINE ORE at rock-crushing plant (Western Rock Products Corp., Cedar City, Utah).


Figure 2.5 Ejecta sampling zones in areas beyond the crater lip, Event MINE ORE.


Figure 2.6 Camera grid and mount for photographing ejecta beyond the crater lip, Event MINE ORE.


Figure 2.7 Sampling ejecta in the counting and weighing sectors, Event MINE ORE. GZ is in the background at left. Spring scale can be seen near truck.


Figure 2.8 Ejecta dust-collector pad.


Figure 2.9 Ejecta dust-collector pad layout for MINE ORE, showing sampling stations and ring designations.


Figure 2.10 Recovery of samples from ejecta dust-collector pad.


Figure 2.11 Cylindrical artificial missile used in MINE ORE.


Figure 2.12 Spherical artificial missiles used in MINE ORE. Missile at right is encased in plaster of paris.

WEST RADIAL
NX HOLES (2)



SOUTH RADIAL


NX HOLES (2)
(3-1.0-1NCH STEEL
2-2.0-1NCH STEEL
$5-1.0-1$ NCH ALUMINUM $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 1-2.5-INCH ALUMINUM }\end{array}\right.$

GROUT COLUMNS (5)


2-2.5-1NCH LEAD
5.5-1NCH ALUMINUM
6.O-1NCH ALUMINUM
$\int 10-1.0-1 \mathrm{NCH}$ ALUMINUM
$4-2.5-1 \mathrm{NCH}$ ALUMINUM
2-2.5-1NCH LEAD


Figure 2.13 Preshot positions of artificial missiles, Event MINE ORE.

## CHAPTER

## PRESENTATION OF RESULIS

### 3.1 EJECTA DISTRIBUTION

Figure 3.1 is a postshot aerial photograph of the MINE ORE site showing the ejecta distribution pattern. Several distinct ejecta rays are visible, extending northwest, south-southwest, south, and east. The formation of these rays appeared to be controlled by the vertical joint faces found in the rock mass in the vicinity of GZ (Reference ll), the joint faces tending to deflect and channel a large portion of the ejecta along these rays. Between rays, distribution of discrete ejecta appeared to be random and less dense. The northwest ejecta ray was taken as typical and examined in some detail. It was found to be approximately 950 feet long. The numerical density of the missiles remained fairly constant at 10 to 12 missiles per square foot. However, the average size of the missiles (and thus the mass density) decreased with increasing distance from GZ. A few large missiles, greater than 1 foot in diameter, were found in the ray. Again, the frequency decreased with increased distance from GZ.

Although the jointing pattern of the rock was probably the single most important factor in the ejecta distribution (apart from test conditions of yield, medium, and geometry), other topographical and
vegetal features of the test site were also influential. The site sloped gently to the south and east at about 2 degrees, with missile ranges being greater in the dowhill direction. A large hill was Located approximately 1,800 feet west-southwest of $G Z$, restricting somewhat missile ranges in that direction, To the south of $G Z$, juniper and pine trees were removed to a distance of only 1,000 feet from GZ. Since missile ejection angles were small due to the high center of gravity of the charge geometry and there was evidence of considerable bounce and roll with aceompanying comminution, these trees had. a screening effect which reduced the range of missiles beceuse of their flat trajectories.

Ambient wind conditions had no visible effect on the distribution of the discrete ejecta. The only part of the experiment subject to weather disturbance wäs the dust samples on the metal collector pads. However, the majority of these samples were collected immediately after the shot (within 6 hours), before they could be disturbed significantiy by wind. The weather in the 2-week data collection period following the shot was cool but fair.

Maximum significant missile range for MINE ORE was 2,120 feet for a l-pound missile lying south-southeast of GZ. A few smaller particles were noted 100 to 200 feet farther from GZ, giving a maximum range of about 2,300 feet. Figure 3.2 is a plane-table map of the long-range natural missiles in the southwest quadrant. The
maximum-range missiles were found downslope of GZ and roughly parallel to the main north-south jointing pattern of the rock. In other directions, the approximate periphery of missile distribution was as follows: north--1,600 feet; east-1, 800 feet.

### 3.2 EJECTA MASS DEISSITY, MINE ORE

3.2.1 Within the Crater Iip. Table 3.1 gives the size distribution and mass densities for the ejected material excavated from the MDNE ORE crater lip. Examination of these data and Figure 2.3 shows that the ejecta was not evenly distributed around the crater, but was thickest to the east, south, and northwest. As with the missile-ejecta distribution, this distribution was probably controiled by the rock jointing system near GZ.
3.2.2 Beyond the Crater Lip. Tables 3.2 through 3.4 give the ejecta mass density beyond the crater lip. Table 3.2 contains the data from the photography stations which were used between the edge of the crater $\operatorname{lip}(100$ feet from $G Z$ ) and 1,000 feet from $G Z$. The data include sample area, number of missiles, total ejecta weight in the area, and mass density. Table 3.3 gives the same information for the counting and weighing sectors used beyond l,000 feet. Finally, Table 3.4 suminarizes ejecta mass density, exclusive of pad samples, as a function of radial distance for the south and west radials.

The ejecta-dust density data from the metal collector pads are given in Tables 3.5 and 3.6. Samples were recovered from 152 (87 percent) of the pads. In Table 3.5, the average mass density for each ring is shown. Table 3.6 gives the average grain-size distribution for the ejecta on each ring, wile Figure 3.3 presents grain-size distribution curves. The plots show that the material was mostly a uniformly graded, sand-sized alluvium. The curves for Rings $A, B$, and $F$ show the influence of ejected rock missiles which landed randomly on pads in these rings.

### 3.3 MISSILE-TRAJECTORY EXPERTMENIS, MINE ORE

The results of the experiments designed to evaluate missiletrajectory parameters are discussed below. The tests included the artificial missilès, colored-grout column ejecta, and styrofoam missile traps.
3.3.1 Artificial Missiles. Table 3.7 presents the results of the artificial missile experiment, including only those missiles located and identified postshot. A total of 423 artificial missiles (cylinders and spheres) were emplaced preshot. Eighty-one, or 19 percent, were recovered, identified, and mapped postshot. Several other missiles were found, but they were badly deformed or too scarred to be identifiable. In Table 3.7 , the identification number of each missile gives its initial position. For the cylinders, the
first digit identifies the radial on which the missile was located, the second digit gives the borehole number, and the third digit, on the right of the decimal, denotes the relative depth of that missile in the borehole. For example, Cylinder No. 31.5 was originally placed on Radial 3 ( 279 degrees azimuth); Borehole No. 1 ( 2.5 feet from GZ), and was the fifth missile down from the ground surface. Since all spheres were placed along the south radial, their identification numbers give only hole number (first digit) and nominal depth in feet (second digit). Thus, Sphere 43 was originally emplaced in Hole 4 (20 feet from GZ) at a depth of approximately 3 feet. The seven large spheres were identified by color or by a single number indicating borehole location. Figure 3.4 is a planetable map of the postshot positions of the artificial missiles.

Most artificial missiles found had traveled less than 200 feet. Onily three long-range artificial missiles were located: a 2 -inch cylinder (32.2) located 1,662 feet along the west radial, an unidentified 1 -inch querter cylinder at 1,412 feet along the west radial, and $a$ - inch cylinder (12.4) at 1,051 feet along the south radial. The maximum range for a sphere was 209 feet for a l-inch aluminum sphere (41) originally located 20 feet from GZ at a depth of 0.98 foot. The large polished or painted aluminum spheres which were located traveled less than 210 feet and were not detected by the test photography.
3.3.2 Colored-Grout Ejecta. Figure 3.5 shows the postishot positions of the colored-grout ejecta. The maximum range for a piece of grout ejecta was 1,250 feet to the west of GZ. Average weight of the grout missiles was about 2 pounds. The majority of the colored-grout ejecta followed two of the ejecta rays., to the northwest and south-southwest. An analysis of initial position and range of the colored-grout ejecta in the study of crater formation is found in Reference 11.
3.3.3 Styrofoam Missile Traps. The measurements of terminal trajectory parameters obtained from the styrofoamissile traps are given in Táble 3.8. The missile traps were placed too far from GZ to obtain a good sample of natural missiles. Those collected were very small, most of them being approximately 1 cm in diameter and weighing less than 1 gram. It was also noted that most had impact angles greater than 90 degrees, indicating that at the time of impact they were traveling toward GZ, evidently as a result of the negative-pressure phase and accompanying afterwinds.

### 3.4 EJECTA TRAP

An ejecta collector trap, installed at the request of the Boeing Company, was located about 75 feet northeast of GZ. This consisted of a 4-foot-square wooden box, placed in a natural depression in the overall rock formation. Although the depth varied
slightly across the bottom of the cavity, the average depth was about 38 inches. Sufficient grout was poured outside the box to bring the surrounding surface up to the top of the box.

The cavity was severely deformed by the blast, with only the west corner remaining intact. The east and west corners were filled with ejecta to ground level, while the north and south corners were filled to within 1.80 and 1.20 feet of ground level, respectively. The average thickness of deposition in the cavity was 2.5 feet. Since the trap was located beyond the edge of the crater lip in an area occupied only by discrete ejecta particles, this deposition indicates that a considerable amount of the material deposited had arrived by the process of rolling and bouncing along the ground surface rather than by direct missile trajectory.

### 3.5 MINE UNDER EJECTA

Although no crater or ejecta was expected from Event MINE UNDER, a rubble mound and some ejected material were observed after the blast. Presumably, this was the result of shallow spallation of the rock surface (possibly enhanced by pile-driving action by the wooden legs of the charge support platform) and elastic rebound. The ejecta field consisted of rock fragments thrown out in an irregular pattern around GZ. A plane-table map (Figure 3.6) was made of the larger ejecta missiles and the outer fringes of the ejecta distribution,
where the survey was restricted to missiles at least 1 pound in weight. The maximum missile range observed was 695 feet for a lpound missile lying northwest of GZ. The major concentration of ejecta, an oval-shaped cluster, lay approximately 250 feet southwest of GZ. Smaller concentrations were found to the southeast and north. The southeast and southwest concentrations were roughly perpendicular to a main north-south joint in the rock on the west side of GZ. These concentrations followed smaller east-west joints. The small northern concentration paralleled the main joint. However, the effect of the rock jointing on the ejecta distribution was not as evident here as it was for MINE ORE. This is presumably due to the fact that the spallation process involved only the top few inches of the rock surface and the ejecta was not subject to deflection and channeling by vertical joints as was the ejecta from MINE ORE.

TABLE 3.1 EJECTA SIZE DISTRIBUTION AND MASS DENSIIY WITHIN AND ADJACENT TO THE CRATER LIP, MINE ORE

| Radial | Section | Weight of Ejecta of Indicated Sizes, inches |  |  |  | Total <br> Weight <br> of Ejecta | Areal Mass Density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $>12$ | 6-12 | 3-6 | $<3$ |  |  |
| 1 |  | pounds | pounds | pounds | pounds | pounds | $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
|  | 1 | 16;970 | 4,960 | 7,460 | 7,040 | 36,430 | 132 |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 3\end{array}\right\}$ | 4,788 | 1,310 | 4,330 | 4,670 | 15,098 | 14 |
| 3 | 1 | - |  | - | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 2 | 488 | 587 | 268 | 487 | 1,830 | 4 |
|  | 3 | 105 | 205 | 318 | 546 | 1,174 | 2 |
| 4 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 3\end{array}\right\}$ | 25,360 | 5,300 | 9,190 | 16,220 | 56,070 | 52 |
| 5 | 1 | 5,980 | 1,370 | 2,720 | 3,060 | 13,130 | 95 |
|  | 2 | 630 | 660 | 180 | 1,260 | 2,703 | 20 |
|  | 3 | 150 | 125 | 109 | 75 | 459 | 3 |
| 7 | $\left.\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 2 \\ 3\end{array}\right\}$ | 36,470 | 5,710 | 9,620 | 17,860 | 69,660 | 168 |



| Distitas ${ }^{\text {E/4 }}$ | Starion | $\cdots{ }^{-4}$ | Gay mlased E'seciua | Arral Mass Densi\%y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| frin 6\% | Arga |  | Weiraid |  |
| fect | ¢t |  | mauns | . $1 \mathrm{id} / \mathrm{Ti}^{2}$ |

West Fatial

| 100 | 20 | 4, | 2 coll | 1.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 115 | 20 | 1.7 | 31.15 | 1.56 |
| $\underline{50}$ | 20 | 3 | 1. 26 | $\times 28 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 14 | 20 | ? | 17.30 | $3.15 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 16 | 20 | - | '. 77 | $\therefore \mathrm{So} 10^{-1}$ |
| 185 | 20 | 33 | $\therefore .13$ | $457 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 210 | 20 | 12 | 1.05 | 3.8, $\times 10^{-6}$ |
| E5 | 20 | 10 | $1 \cdots 1$ | $7.05 \times 1.0^{-2}$ |
| 3 | TC | 14 | $\pm \times$ | $\therefore 11 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\cdots$ | $7 \%$ | : | $\because \because$ |  |
| $\therefore$ | 7 |  | $\therefore \because$ | 7.9ㅈำ ${ }^{-1}$ |
| :90] | 72 | r | .. | $1.17: 10^{-1}$ |
| 05 | 72 | - | - | $\bigcirc \therefore 1 \times 1 \times$ |
|  | $27 \%$ | 21 | -i' | $\therefore$ - $\therefore$ 1- -2 |
| 43 | CTe | - | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\therefore$ 可 $<1{ }^{-2}$ |
| 112 | $\cdots$ | 11 | 16. 18 | - $\times 1 \times 2$ |
| Es | 20 | 11 | 7.6 | $\because \times 15$ |
| 905 | $2 \pi$ | 3 | 1.89 | arex $\mathrm{LS}^{-2}$ |
| 1,000 | Ere | 5 | 7.09) | $7.63 \times 10^{-2}$ |

South Madjec:

| 100 | 20 | $2 \stackrel{1}{4}$ | 187.20 | 6.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 115 | 50 | 49 | 131.6: | 6.58 |
| 130 | $\because$ | 3 | 23.6. | 6.78 |
| 14, | 50 | -6 | 12 j . 52 | 6.19 |
| 165 | 20 | :9 | 145.35 | \%.8\% |
| 185 | 20 | 43 | 49.55 | 2. ${ }^{1} 8$ |
| 210 | 20 | 36 | 131.62 | 6.58 |
| 235 | 20 | 22 | 16.63 | $8.32 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 270 | 72 | 15 | 23.27 | $3.63 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 385 | 76 | 6 | 13.72 | $1.91 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 435 | $\underline{7}$ | 6 | 8.14 | $1.13 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 490 | TE | 2 | 10.35 | $1.44 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 55 | 272 | 16 | 8.83 | $3.25 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 630 | 272 | 24 | 12.12 | $4.46 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 710 | 272 | 21 | 25.50 | $9.38 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 805 | 27.2 | 16 | 9.64 | $3.54 \times 10^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ |
| 905 | 278 | 9 | 6.51 | $2.39 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 1,000 | 272 | 23 | 23.65 | $8.69 \times 10^{-2}$ |

a suations 300 and 340 on the south radial and. 340 on the sest radial fell rithiri aceess
b road: $\quad$ station areas reduced Fron actual srid size due io triming and overlapping of photogrephis.

TABLE 3.3 EJECTA MASS DENSITH IN COUNITNG AND WEIGHING SECTORS, MINE ORE

| , Sector | Distance <br> from $\mathrm{Gz}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Sector <br> Area | No. of Missiles | Ejecta Weight | Areal Mass Density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | feet | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  | pourids | $2 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ |
| S-1 | 1,050 | 18,300 | 13 | 18 | $9.84 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| S-2 | 1,050 | 18,300 | 70 | 162 | $9.40 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| S-3 | 1, 150 | 20,000 | 13 | 65 | $3.25 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| S-4 | 1,150 | 20,000 | 29 | 49 | $2.45 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| S-5 | 1,250 | 21,850 | 1 | 1 | $4.58 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| S-6 | 1,250 | 21,850 | 6 | 12 | $5.49 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| W-1 | 1,050 | 18,300 | 44 | 96 | $5.25 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| W-2 | 1,050 | 15,600 | 6 | 7 | $4.49 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| W-3 | 2,150 | 20,000 | 24 | 37 | $1.85 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| W-4 | 1,150 | 17,450 | 6 | 7 | $4.01 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| W-5 W-6 | 1,250 | 21,850 | 16 | 17 | $7.78 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $W-6$ $\mathrm{~W}-7$ | 1,250 1,350 | 19,150 | 11 | 5 12 | $2.61 \times 10^{-4}$ $5.12 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| W-8. | 1,350 | 23,450 20,850 | $\underline{6}$ | 12 7 | $5.12 \times 10^{-4}$ $3.36 \times 10^{5}$ |
| W-9 | 1,450 | 25,250 | 3 | 3 | $1.19 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| W-10 | 1,450 | 22,700 | 5 | 6 | $2.64 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| W-11 | 1,550 | 26,450 | 9 | 15 | $5.67 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| W-12 | 1,550 | 25,550. | 4 | 6 | $2.35 \times 10^{-4}$ |

[^2]TABLE 3.4 EJECTA MASS DENSITY AS A FUNCTION OF RADIAL DISTANCE FROM GZ, MDNE ORE

| Distance <br> from: GZ | Areal Mass Density |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | West Radial | South Radial |
| feet | $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}$ | $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| 100 | 1.11 | 6.36 |
| 115 | 1.56 | 6.58 |
| 130 | $9.28 \times 10^{-1}$ | 6.78 |
| 145 | $3.15 \times 10^{-1}$ | 6.19 |
| 165 | $2.39 \times 10^{-1}$ | 7.28 |
| 185 | $4.57 \times 10^{-1}$ | 2.48 |
| 210 | $5.25 \times 10^{-2} .2$ | 6.58 |
| 235 | $7.05 \times 10^{-2}$ | $8.32 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 270 | $5.11 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.93 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 300 | $7.22 \times 10^{-1}$ | -- |
| 340 | -- | -- |
| 385 | $7.31 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.91 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 435 | $1.17 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.13 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 490 | $7.01 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.44 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 555 | $5.44 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.25 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 630 | $9.40 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.46 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 710 | $5.95 \times 10^{-2}$ | $9.38 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 805 | $2.90 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.54 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 905 | $6.95 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.39 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 1,000 | $7.68 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.69 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 1,050 | $2.85 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.19 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 1,150 | $1.13 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.85 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 1,250 | $5.20 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.97 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 1,350 | $4.24 \times 10^{-4}$ | - |
| 1,450 | $1.92 \times 10^{-4}$ | -- |
| 1,550 | $4.01 \times 10^{-4}$ | -- |

TABLE 3.5 AVERAGE EJECTA-DUST DENSITY

| Ring | Distance <br> from GZ | Average Weight of <br> Ejecta per Pad | Areal Mass <br> Density |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | feet | pounds | $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| A | 100 | 15.92 | 2.27 |
| B | 140 | 31.02 | 4.42 |
| C | 190 | 18.29 | 2.61 |
| D | 270 | 5.93 | 0.84 |
| E | 370 | 2.32 | 0.33 |
| F | 520 | 2.40 | 0.34 |
| G | 720 | 0.72 | 0.10 |
| H | 1,000 | 0.11 | 0.02 |

TABLE 3.6 AVERAGE GRAIN-STRE DISTEIBUTION FOR EJEGTA DUST

| Ring | 1-inch |  | I/2-inch |  | No. 4 |  | No. 16 |  | No. 200 |  | Pan. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retained | Passing | Retainied | Passing | Retained | , Passing | Retwained | Passing | Retained | Passing. | Retainned |
|  | pounds | percent. | pounds | percent | pounds, | percent. | pounds | percent | pounds | pericent | pounds |
| A | 5.76 | 63 | $0.58{ }^{\circ}$ | 59 | 0.67 | 55 | 4.09 | 29 | 3.04 | $10^{\circ}$ | 1.60 |
| B. | 8.02 | 73 | 1.19 | 69 | 1.50 | 64 | 3.08 | 54. | 11.39 | 15 | 4.30 |
| 0 | 2.29 | 87 | 0.30 | 85 | 0.49 | 82 | 1.84 | 72 | 9.13 | 21 | 3.79 |
| D | 0.77 | 88. | 0.15 | 86 | 0.23 | 82 | 0.81 | 69 | 3.33 | 16 | 1.05 |
| E | 0.27 | 88 | 0.05 | 86 | 0.06 | 83 | 0.27 | 71 | 1.31 | 15 | 10.37 |
| F | 1.05 | 52 | 0.02 | 51 | 0.04 | 4.9 | 0.19 | 40 | 0.63 | 11. | 0.27 |
| G | 0.15 | 80 | 0.01 | 79 | $0.02{ }^{\circ}$ | 76 | 0.09 | 64 | 0.35 | 17 | 0.12 |
| H | 0.02 | 80. | Negligible | 80 | 0.01 | 70. | 0.01 | 60. | 0.04 | 20. | 0.02 |

TABLE 3.7 ABTIFICLAD MISSLEABATA

| Missile lio. | CyTinder lemgth or Sphere: Diameter | Inititul Posibion |  |  | Final Position |  | Dietience <br> Traveled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Radial <br> Azimuth | Distance <br> fro: G | Depth from Surfaçe | Madial Azimuth | Distance <br> from GZ |  |
|  | inches | degrees | fieet | feet | degrees | reet | feet |

Aluminuw Cylinclers, 2.5 -inch Dianeter:

| 11.5 | $1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 195 : | 2.5 | 0.60 | 211 | 19 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.5 | 1. | 195 | 25 | 0.60 | 273 | 53 | 53 |
| 11.5 | 1. | -195. | 2.5 | 0.69 | 249 | 11 | 11 |
| 11.11 | 2 | 195 | \% | 6.69 | 195 | 3 | 1 |
| 11.11 | 4 | 295 | 2.5 | 6.94 | 195 | 3 | 1 |
| 12.4 | 1 | 195 | 7.5 | 0.27 | 200 | '1: 051 | 1,043 |
| 12.5 | $1^{\text {B }}$ | 195 | 7.5 | 0.21 | 213 | 22 E | 215 |
| 12.5 | 1 | 195 | 7.5 | 1.00 | 205 | 257 | 249 |
| 12.5 | 2 | 195 | 7.5 | 1.12 | 104 | 195 | 237 |
| 12.5 | 4 | 195 | 7.5 | 1.57 | 211 | 57 | 19 |
| 12.6 | 1 | 195 | 7.5 | 2.23 | 215 | 72 | 65 |
| 12.5 | 2 | 195 | 7.5 | 2.35 | 23 | 74 | 66 |
| 12.6 | 4 | 195 | 7.5 | 2.60 | 203 | 58 | 45 |
| 31.2 | $i$ | 279 | 2.5 | 0.78 | 163 | 42 | 4.4 |
| 31.5 | 4 | 279 | 2.5 | 2.64 | 208 | 16 | 16 |
| 32.2 | 2 | 279 | 7.5 | 0.39 | 284 | 12.662 | 1,654 |
| 32.6 | 2 | 279 | 7.5 | 3.15 | 269 | 67 | 59 |
| 32.6 | 4 | 279 | 7.5 | 3.40 | 255 | 90 | 83 |
| 32.7 | 1 | 279 | 7.5 | 3.79 | 256; | 50 | 43 |
| 32.8 (2) | $\mathrm{r}_{\text {a }}$ | 379 | 7.5 | 4.34 | 279 | 12 | 5 |
| 32.8 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 279 | 7.5 | 4.3 | 279 | 12 | 5 |
| 32.8 | 1 | 279 | 7.5 | 4.43 | 279 | 12 | 5 |
| 32:9. | $\underline{1}$ | 279 | 7.5 | J.96 | 254 | 10 | 2 |

Spheres:

| 1 | $6 \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{C}}$ | 195 | 5.0 | 2.13 | 179 | 33 | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 6 A | 195 | 15.0 | 1.66 | 164 | 216 | 201 |
| 4 | 64 | 195 | 20.0 | 1.24 | 203 | 132 | 112 |
| 5 | 6 A | 195 | 25.0 | 1.62 | 192 | 1.47 | 122 |
| Oraige | $5-1 /{ }^{\text {c A }}$ | . 195. | -15.0 | 2.06 | 193 | 200 | 185 |
| Freai | 5-1/2, A | 195. | 25.0 | 2.12 | 200 | 35 | 10 |
| 23 | 2-1/2 A | 195 | 10.0 | 3.42 | 192 | 135 | 125 |
| 33 | $2-1 / 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 195 | 10.0 | 3.42 | 192 | 135 | 125 |
| 23 | 2-1/2 ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 195 | 10.0 | 3.42 | 205 | 145 | 135 |
| 33 | 2-1/2 A | 195: | 15.0. | 2.70 | 199 | 195 | 180 |
| 33 | 2-1/2 A | 195 | 25.0 | 2.70 | 196 | 174 | 159 |
| 33 | 2-1/2 A | 195. | 15.0 | 2.70 | 195 | 159 | 244 |
| 33 | $2-1 / 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 195; | (Continued) | 2.70 | 198 | 156 | 141 |

[^3]a denotes aluminum; 1, denotes lead.


| Missile No. | Cylimer Lerted. or Epleipe. Dimmeter | Irritial Position |  |  | Final Fosition |  | Distance :Traveled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ligdial: <br> Azirauth | Distance <br> from GZ | Depth From Suriace | Heäial <br> Azimuth | Distance Tren GZ̈. |  |
|  | inches | degrees | Feet | reet | degrees | feet | feet |
| Spheres (Continued): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 2-1/2, A . | 195 | 20.0 | 1.61 | 202 | 134 | 2.14 |
| 43 | 2-1/2 A | 195 | 20.0 | 1.31 | 207 | 121 | 302 |
| 13 | $2-1 / 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 195 | 20.0 | 1.61 | 208 | 92 | 73 |
| 53 | 2-1/2 A | 195 | 25,0 | 4.87 | 292 | 31 | 6. |
| 53 | 2-1/2 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 195 | 25.0 | 4.87 | 202 | 31 | 6 |
| 53 | 2-1/2. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 195 | 25.0 | 4.87 | 202 | 31 | 6 |
| 53 | 2-1/2 A | 29 | 25.0 | 4.87 | 215 | 37 | 16 |
| E1. | $1 \%$ | 195 | 10,0 | 2.0\% | 193 | 197 | 18.7 |
| 23. | 1.4 | 195 | 30.0 | 5.15 | 193 | 15 | 123. |
| 23 | $1 \dot{4}$ | 195 | 30.0 | 3.42 | 1) | 17 | 96 |
| 23 | $1 . \mathrm{A}$ | 395 | 30.0 | $3 \times 42$ | 104 | 170 | 160 |
| 31 | $1 . \mathrm{A}$ | 195 | 15.0 | 1.29\% | 595 | 219 | 204 |
| 31 | 1. A, | 195 | 15.0 | 1.29 | 200 | S0\% | 192 |
| 31 | 14 | 195 | 15.0 | 1.29 | 193 | 195 | 182 |
| 31 | 1 A | 75 | 15.3 | 1. 39 | IS | 157 | 172 |
| 33 | 1 A | 195 | 15.0 | 2.79 | 199 | 191 | 176 |
| 33 | 1 A. | $195:$ | 15.0 | 2.70 | 198 | 173 | 163 |
| 33 | 1 A | 195 | 15.0 | 2.70 | 194 | 115 | 100 |
| 33 | I. A | 195 | 15.0 | 2.70 | 201 | 114 | 99 |
| 33 | 1 A | 195 | 15.6 | - 2.70 | 199 | 191 | 176 |
| $3{ }^{3}$ | 1 A | 195 | 15:0: | 2.70 | 200 | 152 | 137 |
| 4.1 | 1 A | 395 | 20.0 | 0.98 | 199 | 223 | 203 |
| 41 | 1 A | 195 | 20.0 | 0.98 | 197 | 229 | 209 |
| 41 | 1 A | 195 | 20.0 | 0.96 | 197 | 224 | 204 |
| 41. | 1.4 | 195 | 20.0 | 0.98 | 197 | 224 | 204 |
| 41 | 1 A | 195 | 20:0 | 0.98 | 201 | 161 | 161 |
| 41. | 1 A | 195 | 20.0 | 0.98 | 197 | 165 | 145 |
| 41 | 1 A | I95 | 20.0 | 0.98 | 197 | 173 | 153 |
| 43. | 1 A | 195 | 20.0 | 1.61 | 301 | 103 | 83 |
| 43 | 1 A | 195 | 20.0 | 1.61 | 268 | 110 | 90 |
| 43 | 1 A | 195 | 20.0 | 1.61 | -200 | 110 | 90 |
| 53. | 1 A | 195 | 25\% | 4.87 | 215 | 37 | 16 |
| 53 | 1 A | 195 | 25.0 | 4.87 | 215 | 37 | 16 |
| 53 | 7 A | 195 | 25.0 | 4.87 | 215 | 37 | 16 |
| 53 | 1 A | 195 | 25.0 | 4.87 | 215 | 37 | 16 |
| 53 | 1 A | 195 | 25.0 | 4.87 | 215 | 37 | 16 |
| 53 | 1 A | 195 | 25.0 | 4.67 | 215 | 37 | 16 |
| 53 | 1 A | 295 | 35.9 | 4.87 | 225 | 37 | 16 |
| 53 | $1 \cdot \mathrm{~A}$ | 99 | 25:0 | 4.87 | 21.5 | 37 | 16 |
| 31 | $2-1 / 2 L$ | 195 | 15.0 | 1.29 | 193 | 192 | 177 |
| 41 | 2-1/2 | 195 | 20.0 | 0.98 | 200 | 184 | 164 |
| 43 | 2-1/2 | 195 | 29.0 | 1.61 | 207 | 85 | 65 |
| 43 | $2-1 / 2{ }^{\text {L }}$ | 195 | 20.0 | 1.61 | 201 | 102 | 82 |
| 53 | 2-1/2 L | 195 | 25.0 | 4,87 | 24.5 | 37 | 16 |

TABLE 3.8 MISSILE IMPACT DATA FROM STYROFOAM MLSSEE TRAPS

| Missile <br> No. | Distance <br> from GZ | Missile <br> Weight | Impact Anglea | Depth of Penetration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | feet | grans | degrees | feet |
| 1 | 400, | 1.07 | 98.0 | 0.082 |
| 2 | 400 | 0.51 | 96.0 | 0.140 |
| 3 | 400 | 0.09 | 91.5 | 0.059 |
| 4 | 400 | 0.35 | 89.0 | 0.205 |
| 5 | 400 | 4.29 | 109.5 | 0.090 |
| 6 | 400 | 0.15 | 88.0 | 0.132 |
| 7 | 400 | 0.09 | 92.5 | 0.063 |
| 8 | 400 | 0.21 | 92.0 | 0.078 |
| 9 | 500 | 0.40 | i00. 5 | 0.165 |
| 10 | 500 | 0.35 | 108.5 | 0.100 |
| 11 | 500 | 0.30 | 99.0 | 0.067 |
| 12 | 500 | 0.09 | 87.5 | 0.081 |
| 13 | 500 | 0.92 | 112.0 | 0.036 |
| 14 | 500 | 0.21 | 78.0 | 0.036 |
| 15 | 600 | 0.10 | 92.0 | 0.045 |
| 16 | 600 | 2.80 | 90.5 | 0.069 |
| 17 | 800 | 2.96 | $\sim 100$ | 0.070 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Sketch illustrating impact angle: |  |  |  |  |



Figure 3.1 Ejecta distribution for the MINE ORE Event.


Figure 3.2 Outer limit of ejecta distribution, approximate southwest quadrant of MINE ORE.


Figure 3.3 Grain-size distribution of ejecta dust, Rings A-H, MINE ORE.


Figure 3.4 Postshot positions of artificial missiles, MİNE ORE.


Figure 3.5 Postshot positions of colored-grout ejecta, MINE ORE.


Figure 3.6 MINE UNDER ejecta distribution, showing a partial plane-table survey of the larger (diameter ミl foot) natural missiles and the outer periphery of missiles at least 1 pound in weight.

CHAPTER 4
DISCUSSION OF RESUTIS, MENE ORE EVENT
4.1 EJECTA MASS DENSITY, VOLUME', AND AZIMUTHAL DISTRTBUTION The primary objective of this study was to obtain data on ejecta mass density and azimathal distribution. The test procedures used to fulfill this: objective (collector pads; camera, mount, and grid; counting sectors) provided good znformation on the larger particles, but were of doubtful value with regard to the finer particles (say $1 / 2$ inch or less), especially beyond the $C$ or $D$ ringes. Figure 4.1 shows graphically the areal mass density plotted as a function of radial distance from GZ. The density data in this plot include those obtained from the lip excavation, photographic techniques, and the counting sectors. Data for the crater lig and south and wèst radials have been fitted to straight lines on logarithmic paper by the method of least squares. Additionally, an average, overall fit of the data is presented. It compares favorably with the distribution observed in other explosion tests in rock or cohesivetype materials (References 5, 12, and 13), especially when differences in shot geometry are taken into consideration. From the equation for average areal distribution, the total weight of ejected material $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}}$ can be calculated as fellows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{W}=2 \pi \int_{a}^{\infty} \delta R d R \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(for notation, see Figures 1.1 and 4.1). The lower limit is taken to correspond with the average crater tadius, although the distance $r_{h}$ is theoretically correct. For crater and lip shapes like those of the MLNE ORE Event, the error thus incurred is considered negligible. Similarly, experience has shown that the integration may be carried to infinity without significantly affecting resuits. Substituting,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{V} & =6.28 \int_{23}^{\infty}\left(3.90 \times 10^{6} R^{-2.95}\right) R \cdot d R \\
& =1.32 \times 10^{6} \text { pounds }
\end{aligned}
$$

The volume of ejecta, based upon the assumption of granitic density of 162 pef and making no allowances for bulking, is found to be approximately 300 yards $^{3}$, probably significant to only the first integer.

An examination of the ejecta data from the photographic stations and counting sectors showed no clean relation between mean ejecta size and radial distance. However, these covered a small fraction of the total area, and an overall, visual survey showed a decrease in both size and missile numbers with increasing radial distance. This was particularly evident in the ejecta rays. The mean equivalent diameter for a piece of ejecta beyond the crater lip was about 3 inches. The average size in the sampled area of the crater lip (figwre 2.3) was between 6 and 12 inches, based upon the sieve-andysis
data in Table 3.1. The maximum-size particle ejected beyond the crater lip had an equivalent diameter of about 3 feet. It was located approximately 260 feet northwest of GZ. The largest particle in the crater lip was about 5 by 4 by $2-1 / 2$ feet (about 3,100 pounds) located in Sector 3 of Radial 4 (Figure 2.3).

The most striking feature of the azimuthal distribution in this experiment was the pronounced ejecta rays, both in the crater lip and beyond (Figure 3.1). These rays indicate the strong dependence of ejecta distribution in rock on the site geology. The most influential factor in this distribution was the jointing pattern of the rock; comparison of ejecta-ray orientation and direction of major rock jointing in the crater (Figure 4.2 ) showed that the rays were very nearly parallel to major rock-joint faces. A specific example was the scarcity of ejecta directly north of $G Z$. A large vertical joint face north of GZ apparently deflected practically all of the ejecta originally traveling in a northerly direction into the northwest and east rays. All of the major ejecta rays can thus be traced to parallel joint faces within the crater area (Reference 11). Azimuthal distribution is illustrated graphically in Figure 4.3. For this purpose, data from close-in (Rings $A-D$ ) collector pads have been included with those from other sources.

### 4.2 EJECTA MISSILE RANGES

The range of maximum ejecta distances which might be expected
from the calculations in Chapter 1 is around 1,000 to 4,000 feet. This wide variation reflects the uncertainty concerning the origin of the ejection process. Calculations based upon a scouring action by the shock front provide the lower limit of maximum-distance predictions. The most promising approach to determination of ejecta ranges would appear to be by scaling according to charge yields, but here the choice of scaling exponents produces a wide variation of results. In addition to the factors discussed in Chapter l, there were a number of physical characteristics of the site which may have affected scaling relations between the calibration series and MINE ORE, such as joint pattern, rock competence, and the various topographical features. Further, more detailed data will probably be necessary to establish a workable scaling exponent for this particular geometry; based upon the MINE SHAFT data now available, it appears certainly to lie in the range of $W^{1 / 4}$ to $w^{1 / 3}$, and at this point conforms very well with $\mathrm{W}^{0.3}$.

The range within which 90 percent of ejected material falls is a useful figure, since it denotes the limit of an area within which the missile population is relatively dense. Based on weight, the 90 percent ejecta limit (or any desired limit) may be calculated from Equation 4.1. Again using the average curve from Figure 4.1,

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{W}_{90}}=6.28 \int_{23}^{\mathrm{R}_{90}}\left(3.90 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{R}^{-2.95}\right) \mathrm{R} d \mathrm{R}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{w}_{90}}=90 \text { percent of the total weight of ejecta and } \\
& \mathrm{R}_{90}=\text { the } 90 \text { percent limit of ejected material }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
(0.90)\left(1.32 \times 10^{6}\right)=2.45 \times 10^{7}\left[\frac{\mathrm{R}_{90}^{-0.95}}{-0.95}-\frac{(23)^{-0.95}}{-0.95}\right]
$$

and

$$
R_{90}=263 \text { feet }
$$

Similar calculations can be nade for debris falling beyond the crater lip. This was done for the south and west radials, using a lower limit of integration $=100$ feet, and the results indicate that, on the average, 90 percent of the ejected material falling beyond the crater lip is contained within a radius of 1,375 feet.
4.3 SECONDARY EXPERIMENTAL OBJECTIVES

Between the grout-column and artificial-missile data, sufficient information was obtained to permit certain conclusions on the mechanics of the MINE ORE crater formation. This analysis is contained in Reference ll.

The definition of ejecta trajectories is largely dependent upon correlation of artificial-missile data with test photography. Additional time will be required to accomplish this secondary objective. Analysis of the test photography (Reference 14) has, however, provided
valuable data for this purpose. Ejecta speeds on the order of $600 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ were observed upon emergence from the fireball. It is interesting to note that the velocity values reported in Reference 14 also indicate a scaling factor of approximately $W^{0.3}$. Ejection angles (with the horizontal) were very close to that assumed in Chapter l: Unfortunately, camera failure precluded observation of much of the ejecta after about 2 seconds following detonation. Projections of the observed portions of these trajectories (in Reference. 14) generally result in greater ranges than actualiy occurred, especially when the probable bounce and roll of the individual particles are considered. Although the middie and terminal portions of ejecta: trajectories are still incompletely understood, it appears that an analysis of the photography and artificial-missile data, taking into consideration such external forces as afterwinds, may contribute to a solution of the problem.

The evaluation of natural missile hazards will also require more time than has been available for preparation of this report. The establishment of a usable scaling exponent for ejecta range is an important step in the realization of this objective, which must also include a statistical study of areal distribution for yarious particle sizes at various ranges.


Figure 4.1 Areal mass density of ejecta as a function of distance from GZ, Event MINE ORE.


Figure 4.2 Rock-joint faces which affected MINE ORE ejecta distribution (Reference 11).


Figure 4.3 Isodensity contours, MINE ORE. Contours and data points represent areal densities of ejecta in pounds per square foot.

### 5.1 TENTATITVE CONCLUSIONS

In view of the possibility of a second experiment in the MINE SHAFT Series essentially duplicating the MINE ORE Event, ${ }^{1}$ firm conclusions regarding the ejecta studies should be delayed to include, if possible, considexations on the reproducibility of the data. Certain tentative conclusions ean, however, be drawn on the basis of MTNE ORE as follows:

1. Ejecta distribution fron a surface or very near-surface burst in rock is highly dependent upon the joint pattern of the medium. The average azimuthal distribution as a function of range, however, does not differ markedy from those observed for other large explosions in rock or cohesive material and at deeper depths of burial (DOB's). It does differ fron the distribution observed at or near optimum DOB (Reference 15).
2. Ejecta ranges for this experimental geometry scale according
${ }^{1}$ Memorandum from the Technical Director, NINE SHAFT Series, U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi; Subject: "Follow-On Events of the MDNE SHAFT Series"; 19 November 1968; Unclassified.
to an exponent which is significantly larger than that indicated by theory. Lacking better data, this exponent may be taken as approximately $\mathrm{w}^{0.3}$.
3. For the MINE ORE Shot, the missile bazarid to exposed personnel would have extended to a maximum distance of around 2,200 to 2,400 feet. At this range, the hazard would have consisted mostly of small (less than 1 pound) ejecta particles bouncing along the ground, and in most cases breaking up on impact. For 1-pound particles, capable of doing, considerable damage, the maximum danger range was slightly over 2,100 feet. Ejecta population was quite sparse at these ranges, however, and the hazard, in terms of the probability of a given spot being struck, was small.

### 5.2 RECOMVENDATIONS

5.2.1 Procedural Changes. The general approach to this experiment appears sound, and the authors reconmend that it be repeated in essentially the same form on futire events for which ejecta is expected. Several recommendations seem in order, however, as follows:

1. Increased "seeding" of the crater area with artificial missiles seems desirable, with the object of increasing the numbers of different sizes, shapes, and densities of those missiles which can be located postshot. Particular attention should be paid to the region
between the edge of the charge and two or three charge radii from GZ, as it appears that the greatest ranges may be realized by ejecta originating in this general area.
2. An increased effort to obtain early photography öf ejected material is highly desirable, although the technical problems which this imposes ave recognized. Further, it is recominended that an effort be made to photographically follow entire missille trajectories. There is evidence that debris which is ejected from a position near the charge has a high initial speed but slows to a mach lower speed early in its trajectory (Reference 1). Further, it appears that afterwinds exert a varying drag force upon the longer range particles. More complete information on typical trajectory histories will probably "be riecessary to an understanding of observed ranges and size distributions.
3. Photographic sampling of ejecta was quite successful, and this procedure should be expanded to include nore and larger samples.
4. The use of collector pads shoula be feduced or eliminated. This is one of the most time-consuming aspects of an experiment of this type, and one which yields probably the least usable data. In and near the crater lip; where deposition of fine particulate may be significant, prepared surfaces (e.g., asphalt) are recommended to replace the pads. Farther out, if dust-density data are required, a better sampling technique is needed. There appear to be two
possibilities--dust-cloud opacity measurements and aboveground vacuum samplers. It is recommended that both of these techniques be investigated for future experiments.
5.2.2 Postseries Analysis. Rigorous analysis of gathered ejecta data was not within the scope of the ejecta project as concerns the first two events of the MINE SHAFT Series. ${ }^{2}$ Time has not permitted such a detailed study. It might rather be appropriate for such an analysis to await the completion of all ejecta-producing MINE SHAFI events. It is most important, however, that the experimenter be afforded the opportunity to completely analyze, correlate, and document an experiment before it is considered closed. This will provide the maximum in descriptive detail to the later user of the experimental input, wi.th the least likelihood of misinterpretation or the necessity for laborious correlative effort in assessing the ejecta phenomena.
[^4]
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13. Abstact

The MINE SHAFT Series is a program of high-explosive tests primarily concerned with ground-shock and cratering effects from explosions at or near the surface of a rock medium. The series is sponsored by the Defense Atomic Support Agency (DASA) as a follow-on to similar tests in soil (SNOW BALL, DISTANT PLATN, PRAIRIE FLAT). The two major events of MINE SHAFT during 1968 were MINE UNDER and MINE ORE; both were explosions of 100 -ton TNT spheres detonated in near-surface geometries and in/over a granite medium. Studies of the crater ejecta were conducted on MINE ORE (buried onetenth of the charge radius) with the objectives of determining the spoil density and distribution from this event, examining the role of the ejection mechanism in crater formation for this medium, and obtaining additional information on natural missile trajectories. MINE UNDER, an above-surface event, produced a spalled rubble mound and a small field of debris; this was also recorded as part of the study. MINE ORE produced a low, irregular crater lip which extended to an average range of 47 feet from ground zero (GZ) with a maximum of roughly 90 feet. Beyond this, discrete ejecta particle size and distribution frequency decreased with increasing distance from GZ. The maximum observed range was 2,120 feet for a l-pound natural missile with smaller fragments found out to about 2,300 feet from GZ. Maximum ejecta ranges were observed downhill from and parallel to the main joints. Missile ranges scaled approximately as $W^{0.3}$. The jointing system of the rock appeared to be the single most influential element in concentrating debris along certain radials, as well as in the overall distribution of debris.
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[^0]:    1 Entered into by the USSR, Great Britain, and the U. S. A. in 1963. Radioactive contamination of the atmosphere beyond national borders is prohibited.

[^1]:    a Cylinder divided into half wedges.
    Cylinder divided into quarter wedges.

[^2]:    a To center of sector.

[^3]:    ${ }^{a}$ Cylinder divided firto quatier wedges.
    bcylinder drivided into heli wedges.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Paragraph 5.5, Reference 10.

