

AREA NMSW

Duck

5 CC/4 Ct

·. CUCK

CHEMISTRY, ORIGIN, AND POTENTIAL OF GEOTHERMAL RESOURCES IN SOUTHWEST NEW MEXICO AND SOUTHEAST ARIZONA

Ъy

Chandler A. Swanberg

July 2, 1978

UNIVERSITY OF UTAN RESEARCH INSTITUTE EARTH SCIENCE LAD.

10m 17 recall

Mars

700

her

1 could

Submitted to: New Mexico Geological Society 29th Annual Guidebook-Southwestern New Mexico-Southeastern Arizona

ABSTRACT

On the basis of chemical geothermometry, there would appear to be 10-20 geothermal prospect areas in southwest New Mexico and southeast Arizona whose reservoir base temperature approaches the 150°C minimum for economic generation of electricity. The most promising of these prospect areas are either associated with Quaternary volcanics or are located along the margins of the deepest sedimentary basins. The thermal waters tend to be slightly saline (1000-3000 mg/k) and enriched in silica and fluoride. The numerous hot spring areas in the Gila National Forest of southwest New Mexico do not appear to have a sufficiently high reservoir base temperature for electricity generation. However, the low salinity (<500 mg/k) and high surface discharge temperature (up to 75°C) of these hot springs make them ideal for non-electric applications.

INTRODUCTION

To date, we have visited nearly all hot springs in Arizona and New Mexico, recorded the temperature and collect samples for chemical analysis. In addition, we have examined the chemistry of several thousand non thermal ground waters to establish background chemistry for comparison against thermal water chemistry. Standard methods of quantitative and qualitative geothermometry (see Truesdell, 1975 for a summary of techniques) have been applied to all waters and the resulting geotemperatures used to predict the subsurface temperature anticipated for each geothermal prospect area. The most promising geothermal areas are designated in Figure 1. Table 1 contains the chemistry of selected thermal waters from southwest New Mexico and southeast Arizona.

Geothermometry Techniques

The concept of chemical geothermometry is that the chemistry of geothermal fluids is controlled by temperature dependent waterrock reactions within the geothermal reservoir and that the water chemistry does not change appreciably as the water migrates from the geothermal reservoir to the surface sampling point. The validity of these assumptions is examined by Truesdell (1975).

The silica geothermometer of Fournier and Rowe (1966) can be quantatively expressed according to the equation of Truesdell (1975)

$$T SiO_2 = \frac{1315}{5.205 - \log_{10}SiO_2} - 273.15$$
 (1)

where T SiO₂ is the silica geotemperature in degrees Celcius, and SiO₂ is expressed in parts per million. The NaKCa geothermometer of Fournier and Truesdell (1973) can be quantatively expressed according to the equation of Truesdell (1975)

$$T_{NaKCa} = \frac{1647}{\log_{10}(Na/K) + \beta \log_{10}(\sqrt{Ca}/Na) + 2.24} - 273.15$$
(2)

where T NaKCa is the NaKCa geotemperature in degrees Celcius, Na, K, and Ca are expressed in molal concentrations, and the value of β is determined by the following tests: $\beta = 4/3$ for $\sqrt{Ca}/Na > 1$ and T NaKCa($\beta = 4/3$) < 100°C $\beta = 1/3$ for $\sqrt{Ca}/Na < 1$ or T NaKCa($\beta = 4/3$) > 100°C.

The normal distribution of geotemperatures calculated by applying equations 1 and 2 to groundwaters of the Basin and Range province is given in Figures 2,3. The geotemperatures obtained by applying equations 1 and 2 to selected thermal waters from Arizona and New Mexico are given in Table 1.

Discussion

The most promising geothermal prospect areas on the basis of chemical geothermometry are shown in Figure 1. Many of these prospects are located along the flanks of the deep sedimentary basins of the Rio Grande Rift, an association which implies a tectonic origin for these thermal waters. These waters have apparently originated deep within the basin where they have been heated by the normal geothermal gradient, and then migrated to the surface along the basin bounding faults. If this is the case, the waters may have traveled a considerable lateral distance enroute to the surface so that the locations given in Table 1 and shown in Figure 1 may not accurately represent the location of the subsurface geothermal area.

There are at least ten separate localities in the Rio Grande Rift of southern New Mexico where geothermal waters leak to the surface, most of which are not designated individually in Figure 1.

An additional four areas in west Texas are also shown to emphasize the association with deep sedimentary basins and active faults but are not treated further. These waters are characterized by NaKCa geotemperatures in the 150-200°C range and silica geotemperatures in the 80-120°C. The silica data do not appear to reveal much geothermal potential until it is realized that these waters have low silica because they have ascended through a very thick pile (see Figure 1) of sediments saturated by the silica deficient waters of the Rio Grande River. Application of mixing models to the Radium Springs data brings the silica geotemperature into agreement with the NaKCa geotemperatures. Thus most of the Rio Grande Rift thermal areas are likely to have a reservoir base temperature near or in excess of the 150°C minimum for economic generation of electricity.

A second group of thermal waters worth special mention are those located in the Gila area just west of the Rio Grande Rift in southwest New Mexico. Chemical analyses for several of the hottest springs are present in Table 1 but are omitted from Figure 1 as their NaKCa and silica geotemperatures are not sufficiently above regional background (Figures 2,3; see also Swanberg and Alexander, in press) to suggest the presence of a buried geothermal resource. However, these springs have a high surface discharge temperature (up to 75°C), very low amounts of dissolved solids (<500 mg/L) and are quite numerous so that the Gila area is ideal for non electric applications of geothermal energy.

Two exceptions to the above generalizations are the Lower Frisco hot springs and the Clifton Known Geothermal Resource Areas (KGRA), located on either side of the New Mexico-Arizona border at about

parallel 33°N. Both areas appear to have subsurface temperatures of about 150°C (Table 1).

A final feature of geothermal resources in southwest New Mexico and southeast Arizona is the presence of very promising geothermal prospects associated with Quaternary volcanic centers (Figure 1). Examples include Kilbourne Hole, New Mexico, east of Douglas, Arizona, and although it is not included in Figure 1, the Springerville area of Arizona. All of these areas are characterized by sodium bicarbonate water, and Na K Ca and silica geotemperatures near 150°C.

REFERENCES

- Fournier, R.O., and Rowe, J.J., 1966, Estimation of underground temperatures from the silica content of water from hot springs and wet steam wells: Am. Jour. Sci., Vol. 264, p. 685-697.
- Fournier, R.O., and Truesdell, A.H., 1973, An empirical Na-K-Ca geothermometer for natural waters: Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta., Vol. 37, 5, p. 1255-1275.
- Swanberg, C.A. and Alexander, S., in press, The use of the water quality file, WATSTORE in geothermal exploration: An example from the Imperial Valley, California: Submitted to Geology.
- Truesdell, A.H., 1975, Summary of section III: Geochemical techniques in Exploration: Proc. 2nd U.N. Symp. on the Development and Use of Geothermal Resources, San Francisco, Calif., USA, 20-29, May, 1975, U.S. Govt. Printing Office, p. LIII-LXXIX.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Association among high temperature geothermal resources (>150°C), active faults, deep sedimentary basins, and Quaternary basaltic volcanoes. All data except the geothermal areas are from a forthcoming paper by W. Seager and P. Morgan on the Rio Grande Rift (J.G.R. in press) and is reproduced with the kind permission of the authors.

Figure 2. Histogram of T NaKCa obtained by applying equation 2 to groundwaters of the Basin and Range province.

Figure 3. Histogram of T SiO₂ obtained by applying equation 1 to groundwaters of the Basin and Range province and the Imperial Valley. After Swanberg and Alexander (in press).







Temp. Temp. 510₂ Commer so4 Region Sample Location Latitude Longitude Temp. Na-Ca-K S10, HCO2 C1 F TDS Na Fe Mg C02 pН Κ Ca Rio Grande Rift Columbus 31° 47.7 107° 34.3 26.0 191.8⁰ 136.4⁰ 2748 7.36 881.0 75.4 65.2 1565.7 338.9 423.6 3.99 1.78 98.5 256 Area .82 19.8 0 KGRA .86 69.9 82 Radium Springs 32 30.1 106 55.7 53.0 223.4 378.3 1593.6 263.2 4.44 118.1 3532 8.16 1135.9 167.0 118.6 .49 15.2 13.2 .34 31.3 B5 Derry Springs 32 47.7 107 16.6 ---366.1 151.0 376.6 5.90 156.2 81.2 1240 8.23 323.9 18.8 47.1 <.10 15.8 0 B10 Truth or .35 44.3 Consequences 169.1 3.10 33 8.1 107 14.9 41.0 178.1 96.2 2688 7.80 791.5 63.0 143.9 <.10 17.9 0 162.3 1353.6 813 Sedillo KGRA .09 25.3 50.0 2.02 Springs 34 2.2 106 56.2 34.0 58.5 72.5 284 8.48 56.1 3.1 17.2 <.10 4.3 0 162.3 10.3 San Diego 1.0 53.0 675 880 1900 17.0 Nountain 37.0 106 58.0 232.5 105 4970 7.9 150.0 210 65 .04 5.3 0 32 ---58.0 S. Tularosa 8.0 106 8740 ---. _ <u>`</u>_ 542 108 6590 820 32 8.0 71.1 109 . . . ---------- - -49 Chamberino 1400 7.5 45 110 .70 43 466 410 210 .3 ---32 5.0 106 37.0 20 198 101 300 0 Gila Area 72.0 6.10 .01 45.2 29 Faywood Spring 32 33.3 107 59.7 58.8 °97.2 492 7.74 90.8 8.2 35.6 .12 7.6 0 283.0 . 14.2 78.4 55.6 C 84.0 16.0 31 Mimbres Spring 32 44.9 107 50.1 58.2 106.8 320 8.97 91.7 1.2 2.4 <.10 0 20.4 67.1 14.5 74.5 67.2 8.60 .02 73.3 KGRA 100.1 33 Gila Spring 33 12.0 108 12.6 66.3 77.3 120.5 416 8.15 129.7 3.1 10.4 <.10 .2 0 115.9 64.8 9.45 .12 67.7 94.0 4.2 150 Turkey Creek 33 6.5 108 24.0 74.6 56.2 116.5 236 8.66 61.1 1.5 6.8 .31 1.6 0 Quaternary Basalts YGRA .49 93.5 3.30 29.4 101.8 174.7 9.42 233.3 16.8 10.0 <.15 2.1 69.6 371.0 ₩33 Kilbourne Hole 31 47.3 107 1.7 ---133.5 720 .11 86.5 588.2 20.9 64.4 2.46 200.0 37.9 9.8 4.53 45.9 70.8 Douglas 31 21.1 109 229 129 784 9.06 338 10.9 25.5 5.8 2.46 .10 ---.30 5.1 0 107.4 81.2 Springerville 34 22.1 109 23.0 18 192 300 8.92 79.3 10.2 6.0 112 - - -Basin and Range 12.6 .48 147.5 KGRA 88.3 497.1 1116 7.71 333.6 23.5 22.0 .20 0.5 0 106.8 8.7 108 P2 Lightning Dock 32 49.9 85.0 172.9 160.1 1.80.38 90.4 KGRA 1280 7.79 406.0 18.8 54.3 <.10 6.9 0 107.4 574.3 90.3 108 52.8 48.9 147.9 131.9 J5 Lower Frisco 33 14.5 3.501.51 131.4 KGRA 150.0 7484.5 0 7.86 3585.9 243.9 925.8 .72 22.9 0 4.8 109 17.8 48.0 180 153 14548 5 Clifton H.S. 33 219.6 463.6 174.7 10.60 .40 97.9 KGRA 8.04 410.8 13.2 20.0 <.10 .7 0 1244 32 58.4 104 20.9 82.0 139 136 7 Gillard H.S. 3.80.70 43.5 101.2 1382 361.0 92.8 <.10 10.3 0 3004 7.88 1022.6 12.9 0.2 109 54.0 46.5 100 95 11 Indian H.S. 33 10.6 .43 66.9 .10 1.3 13.2 233.0 203.0 295.8 7.4 4.3 106 116 1076 8.54 330.7 Safford 32 50.7 109 33.6 43.5 .15 6.90.46 39.6 47.5 233.8 816 7.86 216.1 11.7 28.0 .15 2.7 0 314.8 32 13.7 108 30.7 33.0 150.6 91.2 Lordsburg -133

TABLE 1: Chemistry of Selective Geothermal Waters from Southwest New Mexico and Southeast Arizona.