

6LD2429

DOE/ET/27010-2

MC COY AREA, NEVADA
Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case History
Northern Basin and Range

ANNUAL REPORT
1 January 1980 - 31 December 1980

H. D. PILKINGTON
August 1981

WORK PERFORMED UNDER CONTRACT
DE AC 08-79 ET 27010

AMAX EXPLORATION, INC.
7100 West 44th Ave.
Wheat Ridge, CO 80033

DOE/ET/27010-2
Distribution Category

MC COY AREA, NEVADA
Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case History
Northern Basin and Range

ANNUAL REPPORT

1 January 1980 - 31 December 1980

H. D. PILKINGTON

AMAX EXPLORATION, INC.
7100 W. 44th Ave.
Wheat Ridge, CO 80033

PREPARED FOR THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
DIVISION OF ENERGY TECHNOLOGY

Under Contract DE AC 08-79 ET 27010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION	2
EXPLORATION HISTORY	4
Geological Studies	4
Geochemical Studies	6
Geophysical Studies	10
Exploration Drilling	13

TABLE OF ILLUSTRATIONS

		Page
Figure 1.	Location map for the McCoy geothermal prospect	3
Figure 2.	Geologic map of the McCoy geothermal prospect, Nevada (after Adams, Moore and Struhsacker, 1980)	5
Figure 3	Geologic cross-sections through well 14-7 (top) and well 66-8 (bottom) after Pilkington, 1980.	7
Figure 4	Location map of drill holes used on geochemical study of drill cuttings.	9
Figure 5	Contour map of mercury in drill cuttings from 120-160 foot interval at McCoy (Pilkington, 1980)	11
Figure 6	Gravity profile with automatic interpretation for density (top) compared with geologic cross-section (bottom)	12
Figure 7	Resistivity at 5km depth from ID magnetotelluric inversion (Te mode) and location of survey lines and stations	14
Figure 8	MT section (Te mode, ID inversion) along line C-C' compared with geologic section (after Lange, 1980)	15
Figure 9	Survey location map of the McCoy prospect, after Wilt et al, 1980.	16
Figure 10	MT section (Te mode ID inversion) along line A-A" compared with EM data, after Lange, 1980	17

		Page
Table I	Chemical analyses of McCoy Mine well and Well 66-8 waters	8

ABSTRACT

The McCoy geothermal prospect is located at the junction of the Augusta Mountains, Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass Range. The prospect was discovered in 1977 and in 1978 was made a part of the Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case Study Program of the Department of Energy under contract DE-AC 08-79 ET 27010.

Geothermal exploration done during 1980 included geological and geochemical studies. Geophysical work included interpretation of gravity data, a tensor MT survey and an EM-60 survey by the Lawrence Berkley Laboratory. Two intermediate depth exploration wells were completed in 1980.

A shallow low-temperature geothermal reservoir was encountered in the Triassic rocks. The analysis of the exploration continued in an attempt to determine the source area for the thermal fluids.

INTRODUCTION

The McCoy geothermal prospect was discovered in 1977 during reconnaissance coverage of Nevada. The prospect was identified by thermal gradient measurements of existing holes and hydrogeochemical analysis of well water from the McCoy Mine water well. The prospect is located approximately 72 kilometers northwest of Austin, Nevada (Figure 1) and can be reached by means of a graded road which leads from U. S. Highway 50.

The McCoy prospect is located at the confluence of the Augusta and Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass Range. The prospect straddles the Churchill and Lander County borders.

In 1978 AMAX submitted a proposal in response to the Department of Energy's RFP No. ET-78-R-08-003, Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case Study, Northern Basin and Range and was awarded a contract providing partial funding for exploration at the property. Detailed results of the work funded through the DOE will be published by the DOE through the University of Utah Research Institute (UURI) under DOE contract DE-AC 08-79 ET 27010.




Figure 1. Location map for the McCoy geothermal project.

EXPLORATION HISTORY

The geothermal exploration partially funded under DOE contract DE AC08-79 ET 27010 was an integrated approach including geological, geochemical and geophysical studies as well as exploration drilling. For the purpose of this report the exploration will be discussed under exploration methods rather than a chronological description.

GEOLOGICAL STUDIES

The McCoy geothermal prospect is located at the junction of the Augusta Mountains, the Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass range. The area is underlain by Tertiary volcanics and associated sediments, Triassic sediments and Permo-Pennsylvanian eugeosynclinal sediments as shown on the county maps (Stewart, J. H. and McKee, E. H., 1977 and Wilden, R. and Speed, R. L., 1974). The county geologic maps are at a scale of 1:250,000 and, therefore, do not show much detail.

In the late fall of 1979 Joe Moore of the University of Utah Research Institute began a geologic mapping program under DOE contract DE-AC 07 - 80 ID 12079. Joe Moore and Eric Struhsacker established the mapping units in the Tertiary volcanics and then Mike Adams of UURI did most of the field mapping in 1980. A detailed geologic map at a scale of 1:24,000 has been completed (Figure 2).

The oldest rocks mapped in the McCoy area are the cherts, volcanics, siltstones, sandstones and minor limestones of the Havallah sequence of Permo-Pennsylvanian age. The rocks were deformed, uplifted and deeply eroded prior to the deposition of the basal Triassic sediments which

- 817 Qal Alluvium
- 867 QT1 Hot Springs deposits-
Travertine/some siliceo
- 832 Ts2 Tuffaceous sediments
- 854 Tad2 Andesite and dacite flows
and intrusives
- 846 Tbm 8c
8b
8a Bates Mountain Tuffs-crystal
poor rhyolite tuff with 3
cooling units. 23-24 m.y.
- 864 Tmm 7c
7b
7a McCoy Mine Tuffs-usually
has three cooling units.
26 m.y.
- 813 Tec 6g
6f
6e
6d
6c
6b
6a Edwards Creek Tuff-ash
flow tuff with up to 7
cooling units. Sometimes
contains sediments (Tsi)
between cooling units.
27 m.y.
- 853 Twt5 4c
Twt4 4b
4a Welded Tuffs-ash flow tuffs
with five recognizable
map units. Unit 4 may
contain 3 cooling units.
Often has sediments (Tsi)
in place of a particular
unit, and may sediment
separating Twt from Tec.
29-30 m.y.
- 893 Tvo Older volcanics
- 883 Tf Conglomerate
- 845 Kg Granodiorite to quartz
monzonite. 69-104 m.y.
- 844 Ja Gabbro of the Humboldt lopolith.
150-165 m.y.
- 848 Ro Osobb Formation-sandstone,
conglomerate, shale, minor
ls.
- 888 Rcs Cone Spring Formation-massive
limestone and dolomite;
minor shale and conglomerates.
- 868 Ra Augusta Mountain Formation-massive
limestone with minor dolomite,
shale and conglomerate.
- 858 Rf Favert Formation-thin bedded
ls/calcareous shale and
siltstone dark grey to black.
- 895 Ru
- 878 Rc Conglomerate with purple siltstone
and tuffs in lower part.
- 842 Rph

Tsi 862

Sandstone,
conglomerates
and tuffaceous
sediments.

Tr 866

Undifferentiated rhyolitic
rocks. 22-30 m.y.

Taa 844

Older andesite and
basalts.

Tu- undivided




Figure 2. Geologic map of the McCoy Geothermal Prospect Nevada (after Adams, Moore and Struhsaker, 1980).

consist of conglomerates, siltstones, sandstones and minor tuff. The basal member is overlain by several hundred feet of dominantly carbonate sediments of the Favret Formation, the Augusta Mountain Formation and the Cone Springs Formation. The uppermost Triassic unit is the detrital sediments of the Osobb Formation.

The Tertiary volcanic rocks in the McCoy area (Fig. 2) range in age from about 36 m.y. to 15 m.y. (McKee and Stewart, 1971). Sedimentary rocks are found at various horizons within the volcanic units. A considerable thickness of sediments overlies the volcanic rocks to the northwest of the McCoy Mine area. West of the McCoy Mine over 2km² of fossil Quaternary travertine is exposed. The travertine lies unconformably upon the eroded Triassic rocks. The travertine dips gently westward and is only slightly dissected.

Tectonically the area has had a long and complex history. The detailed mapping permitted the construction of detailed geologic cross section (Fig. 3). Reasonable approximations of the fault offsets could be made using the displacement of cooling units on Mike Adams geologic map.

Geochemical Studies

The hydrogeochemical analysis of a water sample from the McCoy Mine water well was one of the manifestations which attracted AMAX to the McCoy area. During the exploration drilling in 1980 water was encountered in well 66-8. Table I compares the chemical analyses from McCoy Mine well and exploration well 66-8.

GEOLOGIC CROSS-SECTIONS






Figure 3. Geologic cross-sections through well 14-7 (Top) and well 66-8 (bottom) after Pilkington, 1980.

Table I. Chemical analyses of McCoy Mine Well and Well 66-8

	W 10981 McCoy H. W. Sec.9T20NR40E	W13453 Well 66-8, 1630' NWSE 8,T22NR40E	W13454 Well 66-8, 2050' NWSE 8,T22NR20E	W13456 Well 66-8,2410' NWSE 8, T22NR40E
Temp ^o C	39	+100	-	-
Flow (gpm)	-	25	-	-
pH	7.05	9.4	9.1	9.0
Cl	22.0	38.0	31.0	31.0
F	4.4	5.6	3.0	4.1
SO ₄	54.0	100.0	100.0	80.0
HCO ₃	611.6	144.0	142.0	204.0
CO ₃	0.0	72.0	24.0	20.0
SiO ₂	44.0	120.0	75.0	62.0
Na	260.0	160.0	98.0	110.0
K	15.0	21.0	14.0	14.0
Ca	43.0	6.6	9.6	6.0
Mg	9.0	2.6	16.0	18.0
Li	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.5
B	1.3	-	-	-
NH ₃	0.74	-	-	-
TDS	1065.3	670.0	513.0	550.0
Tq SiO ₂	98	148	120	112
Te SiO ₂	66	122	94	83
T Na-K	174	242	250	239
T Na-K-Ca(1/3)	153	206	197	197
T Na-K-Ca(4/3)	-	-	-	-
T Na-K-Ca/ Mg. corr.	75	95	-	-



McCOY GEOTHERMAL

DRILL HOLE MAP


Figure 4. Location map of drill holes used in geochemical study of drill cuttings.

Chemically the waters from Well 66-8 and the McCoy Mine well are sodium bicarbonate waters with projected subsurface temperatures of 98-148⁰C based upon the conductive quartz geothermometer and 153⁰-206⁰ using the N-K-Ca geothermometer.

In 1979 Joe Moore of the University of Utah Research Institute proposed to undertake a geochemical study of the drill cuttings from McCoy. AMAX agreed to provide Joe with a split of our samples. UURI prepared composite samples for the intervals of 0-40, 40-80, 80-120 and 120-160 feet for each shallow thermal gradient hole (Figure 4). The geochemical study was done under DOE contract DE-AC 07-80 ID 12079. Multielement geochemical analyses using Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (Moore 1980) were performed on each composite sample. Preliminary analysis of the data from Joe Moore indicates that Zn, As, Pb, F and Hg show some correlation with the thermal anomaly and also with the known areas of hydrothermal alteration and mineralization. Figure 5 shows the contour map for mercury for the interval 120-160 feet. The contour pattern appears to emphasize certain structural directions and the linear trends become more pronounced with depth (Pilkington, 1980).

Geophysical Studies

A gravity survey of 340 stations was conducted by AMAX and Microgeophysics in 1979 and Fred Berkman has been involved in the analysis of the data. Berkman prepared a residual gravity profile (Complete Bouguer) and depth analysis along an east-west line through well 66-8 (Figure 6) as reported by Lange, 1980. The gravity interpretation (top) is compared with the geologic cross-section by Pilkington (1980) on the bottom part of Figure 6.



RESIDUAL GRAVITY
PROFILE
(COMPLETE BOUGUER)
AND DEPTH ANALYSIS




Figure 6. Gravity profile with automatic interpretation for densities (top) compared with geologic cross-section (bottom).

A tensor MT survey was run by Terraphysics in February 1980 (Lange, 1980) at the McCoy property (Fig. 7). The location of the lines along which the MT survey was done are shown on Figure 7. The resistivity as deduced by Lange (1980) at a depth of 5 km from the ID inversion of the MT (Te mode) is also shown on Figure 7. The MT section along line C-C' (Figure 8) illustrates the correlation with geology. Lange (1980) believes the MT sees a deep reservoir, (three or more kilometers) along line C-C' which is leaking fluids up the faults bounding the horst block east of well 66-8.

As a part of the Department of Energy's program to stimulate the development of geothermal resources Lawrence Berkley Laboratory (LBL) conducted a survey with the EM-60 frequency domain system over the McCoy prospect (Wilt, M. et al, 1980). The stations for the LBL survey are shown in Figure 9. The survey consisted of 19 frequency-domain electromagnetic soundings from three transmitter loops. A comparison between the EM data from LBL and the AMAX MT data is shown in Figure 10. Wilt et al (1980) conclude that the EM results agree well with the data gathered from well 66-8. A conductor was found at the approximate depths that boiling water was found in the well. The EM does give information on the shallow depths where MT does not give reliable results.

Exploration Drilling

Two intermediate depth exploration wells were completed in 1980. Well 66-8 located in the NWSE Sec. 8 T22N R40E had a TD of 765 meters (2510') and well 14-7 located in the SE NW Sec. 7 T23N R40E had a TD of 613m (2010').







Figure 7. Resistivity at 5km depth from 1D magnetotelluric inversion (T mode). Conductive zones stippled; resistive striped



C-C'

MAGNETOTELLURIC 1-D INVERSION WITH GEOLOGIC PROFILE

Figure 8. MT section (T_e mode, 1D inversion) along Line C, compared with geologic section



XBL 6010-2861

Figure 9. Survey location map of the McCoy prospect. after Wilt et al, 1980.

MAGNETOTELLURIC 1-D INVERSION WITH EM PROFILE A-A'-A''




Figure 10. MT section (T mode, 1D inversion) along Line A compared with available EM data, after Lange, 1980.

Both wells were drilled as unit wells for the McCoy Federal Geothermal Unit under a Plan of Operation approved by the U.S. Geological Survey. The generalized drilling plan for the holes was:

1. Move on. Rig up.
2. Drill 17-1/2" hole to +20 ft.
3. Run 20 ft of 13-3/8 conductor pipe, cement.
4. Drill 12-1/4 hole to +505 ft.
5. Run 500 ft of 8-5/8" casing, cement.
6. Install BOP equipment, test.
7. Drill 6-1/4-6-3/4 hole to TD.
8. Run electric logs.
9. Equip hole for flow test if appropriate
10. Equip hole for temperature observation if no suitable production encountered - 3" Black iron pipe installed capped top & bottom - filled/water.

Well 66-8 encountered a low temperature geothermal reservoir between 1630 feet and TD. Numerous hot water entries were recorded; with first entry at 1630 feet being the hottest at about 100⁰C, or just slightly above boiling for the elevation. The chemistry of the fluids was discussed in the section on geochemical studies. Lost circulation was encountered at several depths below the upper water zone. After completing the well as a thermal observation well it was discovered that drilling mud left in the hole had flowed out into the formation, and the 100⁰C water flows into the hole and out one of the lost circulation zones near bottom of hole. Therefore, no valid temperature gradients can be measured. It is proposed to back fill the annulus in 1981 to try and obtain reliable bottom hole temperature data.

Well 14-7 encountered lost circulation in the Triassic carbonates at a depth of 409 feet. With considerable difficulty the 8-5/8" casing was set and cemented at a depth of 495 feet. A flow of warm water $\pm 50^{\circ}\text{C}$ was encountered just below the casing. Below 800 feet drill blind with no returns to TD. The well was completed as a temperature observation well, but the $\pm 50^{\circ}\text{C}$ water at 500 feet is going out into formation somewhere near the bottom of hole so that hole is isothermal below 500 feet.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

- Adams, Mike, Moore, J. N. , Struhsacker, Eric, 1980, Preliminary geologic map McCoy area, Nevada: Unpublished UURI map.
- Dellechaie, F., 1977, Hydrogeochemistry McCoy geothermal prospect: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Lange, A. L., 1980, The McCoy, Nevada geothermal prprospect: Paper presented at Soc. Exploration Geophysicist Ann. Mtg.
- McKee, E. H. and Steward, J. H., 1971, Stratigraphy and K-AR ages of some Tertiary tuffs from Lander and Churchill Counties, Nevada: U. S. Geological Survey Bull 1311-B.
- Olson, H. J., Dellechaie, F., Pilkington, H. D. and Lange, A. L., 1979, the McCoy geothermal prpspect a status report of a possible new discovery in Churchill and Lander Counties, Nevada: Geothermal Resources Council Transactions Vol. 3.
- Pilkington, H. D., 1980, Geochemical study drill cuttings, McCoy: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Shenker, A. E., 1980, Summary hydrogeochemistry from McCoy Well 66-8: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Stewart, J. H. and McKee, E. H., 1977, Geology and mineral deposits of Lander County, Nevada: Nevada Bur. Mines and Geology, Bull. 88.
- Terraphysics, 1980, Telluric-Magnetotelluric survey at McCoy prospect, Churchill County, Nevada: AMAX Exploration, Inc., Report

Wilden, R. and Speed, R. C., 1974, Geology and Mineral deposits of Churchill County, Nevada: Nevada Bur. Mines and Geology, Bull. 83.

Wilt, M., Haught, R. and Goldstein, N. E., 1980, An electromagnetic (EM-60) survey of the McCoy geothermal prospect, Nevada: Lawrence Berkley Laboratory, Univ. of California, Berkley Rept. 66a.

MC COY AREA, NEVADA
Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case History
Northern Basin and Range

ANNUAL REPORT
1 January 1980 - 31 December 1980

H. D. PILKINGTON
August 1981

WORK PERFORMED UNDER CONTRACT
DE AC 08-79 ET 27010

AMAX EXPLORATION, INC.
7100 West 44th Ave.
Wheat Ridge, CO 80033

DOE/ET/27010-2
Distribution Category

MC COY AREA, NEVADA
Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case History
Northern Basin and Range

ANNUAL REPPORT

1 January 1980 - 31 December 1980

H. D. PILKINGTON

AMAX EXPLORATION, INC.
7100 W. 44th Ave.
Wheat Ridge, CO 80033

PREPARED FOR THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
DIVISION OF ENERGY TECHNOLOGY

Under Contract DE AC 08-79 ET 27010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION	2
EXPLORATION HISTORY	4
Geological Studies	4
Geochemical Studies	6
Geophysical Studies	10
Exploration Drilling	13

TABLE OF ILLUSTRATIONS

		Page
Figure 1.	Location map for the McCoy geothermal prospect	3
Figure 2.	Geologic map of the McCoy geothermal prospect, Nevada (after Adams, Moore and Struhsacker, 1980)	5
Figure 3	Geologic cross-sections through well 14-7 (top) and well 66-8 (bottom) after Pilkington, 1980.	7
Figure 4	Location map of drill holes used on geochemical study of drill cuttings.	9
Figure 5	Contour map of mercury in drill cuttings from 120-160 foot interval at McCoy (Pilkington, 1980)	11
Figure 6	Gravity profile with automatic interpretation for density (top) compared with geologic cross-section (bottom)	12
Figure 7	Resistivity at 5km depth from ID magnetotelluric inversion (Te mode) and location of survey lines and stations	14
Figure 8	MT section (Te mode, ID inversion) along line C-C' compared with geologic section (after Lange, 1980)	15
Figure 9	Survey location map of the McCoy prospect, after Wilt et al, 1980.	16
Figure 10	MT section (Te mode ID inversion) along line A-A" compared with EM data, after Lange, 1980	17

		Page
Table I	Chemical analyses of McCoy Mine well and Well 66-8 waters	8

ABSTRACT

The McCoy geothermal prospect is located at the junction of the Augusta Mountains, Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass Range. The prospect was discovered in 1977 and in 1978 was made a part of the Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case Study Program of the Department of Energy under contract DE-AC 08-79 ET 27010.

Geothermal exploration done during 1980 included geological and geochemical studies. Geophysical work included interpretation of gravity data, a tensor MT survey and an EM-60 survey by the Lawrence Berkley Laboratory. Two intermediate depth exploration wells were completed in 1980.

A shallow low-temperature geothermal reservoir was encountered in the Triassic rocks. The analysis of the exploration continued in an attempt to determine the source area for the thermal fluids.

INTRODUCTION

The McCoy geothermal prospect was discovered in 1977 during reconnaissance coverage of Nevada. The prospect was identified by thermal gradient measurements of existing holes and hydrogeochemical analysis of well water from the McCoy Mine water well. The prospect is located approximately 72 kilometers northwest of Austin, Nevada (Figure 1) and can be reached by means of a graded road which leads from U. S. Highway 50.

The McCoy prospect is located at the confluence of the Augusta and Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass Range. The prospect straddles the Churchill and Lander County borders.

In 1978 AMAX submitted a proposal in response to the Department of Energy's RFP No. ET-78-R-08-003, Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case Study, Northern Basin and Range and was awarded a contract providing partial funding for exploration at the property. Detailed results of the work funded through the DOE will be published by the DOE through the University of Utah Research Institute (UURI) under DOE contract DE-AC 08-79 ET 27010.




Figure 1. Location map for the McCoy geothermal project.

EXPLORATION HISTORY

The geothermal exploration partially funded under DOE contract DE AC08-79 ET 27010 was an integrated approach including geological, geochemical and geophysical studies as well as exploration drilling. For the purpose of this report the exploration will be discussed under exploration methods rather than a chronological description.

GEOLOGICAL STUDIES

The McCoy geothermal prospect is located at the junction of the Augusta Mountains, the Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass range. The area is underlain by Tertiary volcanics and associated sediments, Triassic sediments and Permo-Pennsylvanian eugeosynclinal sediments as shown on the county maps (Stewart, J. H. and McKee, E. H., 1977 and Wilden, R. and Speed, R. L., 1974). The county geologic maps are at a scale of 1:250,000 and, therefore, do not show much detail.

In the late fall of 1979 Joe Moore of the University of Utah Research Institute began a geologic mapping program under DOE contract DE-AC 07 - 80 ID 12079. Joe Moore and Eric Struhsacker established the mapping units in the Tertiary volcanics and then Mike Adams of UURI did most of the field mapping in 1980. A detailed geologic map at a scale of 1:24,000 has been completed (Figure 2).

The oldest rocks mapped in the McCoy area are the cherts, volcanics, siltstones, sandstones and minor limestones of the Havallah sequence of Permo-Pennsylvanian age. The rocks were deformed, uplifted and deeply eroded prior to the deposition of the basal Triassic sediments which

- 817 **Qal** Alluvium
- 867 **QT1** Hot Springs deposits -
Traverline/some silica
- 832 **Ts2** Tuffaceous sediments
- 854 **Tad2** Andesite and dacite flows
and intrusives
- 846 **Tbm**

Bc
Bb
8a

 Bates Mountain Tuffs - crystal
poor rhyolite tuff with 3
cooling units. 23-24 m.y.
- 864 **Tmm**

7c
7b
7a

 McCoy Mine Tuffs - usually
has three cooling units.
26 m.y.
- 813 **Tec**

6g
6f
6e
6d
6c
6b
6a

 Edwards Creek Tuff - ash
flow tuff with up to 7
cooling units. Sometimes
contains sediments (Tsi)
between cooling units.
27 m.y.
- 853 **Twt5**

4c
Twt4 4b
4a
Twt3
Twt2
Twt1

 Welded Tuffs - ash flow tuffs
with five recognizable
map units. Unit 4 may
contain 3 cooling units.
Often has sediments (Tsi)
in place of a particular
unit, and may sediment
separating Twt from Tec.
29-30 m.y.
- 893 **Tvo** Older volcanics
- 883 **Tf** Fanglomerate
- 845 **Kg** Granodiorite to quartz
monzonite. 69-104 m.y.
- 844 **Ja** Gabbro of the Humbolt lopolith.
150-165 m.y.
- 848 **Ro** Osabb Formation - sandstone,
conglomerate, shale, minor
ls
- 888 **Tcs** Cane Spring Formation - massive
limestone and dolomite,
minor shale and conglomerates.
- 868 **Ro** Augusta Mountain Formation - massive
limestone with minor dolomite,
shale and conglomerate.
- 858 **Rf**

Ru


 Favert Formation - thin bedded
ls/calcareous shale and
siltstone dark grey to black.
- 895 **Ru**
- 878 **Rc** Conglomerate with purple siltstone
and tuffs in lower part.
- 811 **Rp**

Ts1 862 Sandstone,
conglomerates
and tuffaceous
sediments.

Tr 866 Undifferentiated rhyolitic
rocks. 22-30 m.y.

Tad 844 Older andesite and
basalts.

Tu - undivided



NORTH



SCALE 1:62,500

Figure 2. Geologic map of the McCoy Geothermal Prospect, Nevada (after Adams, Moore and Struhsaker, 1980).

consist of conglomerates, siltstones, sandstones and minor tuff. The basal member is overlain by several hundred feet of dominantly carbonate sediments of the Favret Formation, the Augusta Mountain Formation and the Cone Springs Formation. The uppermost Triassic unit is the detrital sediments of the Osobb Formation.

The Tertiary volcanic rocks in the McCoy area (Fig. 2) range in age from about 36 m.y. to 15 m.y. (McKee and Stewart, 1971). Sedimentary rocks are found at various horizons within the volcanic units. A considerable thickness of sediments overlies the volcanic rocks to the northwest of the McCoy Mine area. West of the McCoy Mine over 2km² of fossil Quaternary travertine is exposed. The travertine lies unconformably upon the eroded Triassic rocks. The travertine dips gently westward and is only slightly dissected.

Tectonically the area has had a long and complex history. The detailed mapping permitted the construction of detailed geologic cross section (Fig. 3). Reasonable approximations of the fault offsets could be made using the displacement of cooling units on Mike Adams geologic map.

Geochemical Studies

The hydrogeochemical analysis of a water sample from the McCoy Mine water well was one of the manifestations which attracted AMAX to the McCoy area. During the exploration drilling in 1980 water was encountered in well 66-8. Table I compares the chemical analyses from McCoy Mine well and exploration well 66-8.

GEOLOGIC CROSS-SECTIONS






Figure 3. Geologic cross-sections through well 14-7 (Top) and well 66-8 (bottom) after Pilkington, 1980.

Table I. Chemical analyses of McCoy Mine Well and Well 66-8

	W 10981 McCoy H. W. Sec.9T20NR40E	W13453 Well 66-8, 1630' NWSE 8,T22NR40E	W13454 Well 66-8, 2050' NWSE 8,T22NR20E	W13456 Well 66-8,2410' NWSE 8, T22NR40E
Temp ^o C	39	+100	-	-
Flow (gpm)	-	25	-	-
pH	7.05	9.4	9.1	9.0
Cl	22.0	38.0	31.0	31.0
F	4.4	5.6	3.0	4.1
SO ₄	54.0	100.0	100.0	80.0
HCO ₃	611.6	144.0	142.0	204.0
CO ₃	0.0	72.0	24.0	20.0
SiO ₂	44.0	120.0	75.0	62.0
Na	260.0	160.0	98.0	110.0
K	15.0	21.0	14.0	14.0
Ca	43.0	6.6	9.6	6.0
Mg	9.0	2.6	16.0	18.0
Li	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.5
B	1.3	-	-	-
NH ₃	0.74	-	-	-
TDS	1065.3	670.0	513.0	550.0
Tq SiO ₂	98	148	120	112
Te SiO ₂	66	122	94	83
T Na-K	174	242	250	239
T Na-K-Ca(1/3)	153	206	197	197
T Na-K-Ca(4/3)	-	-	-	-
T Na-K-Ca/ Mg corr.	75	95	-	-



McCOY GEOTHERMAL

DRILL HOLE MAP


Figure 4. Location map of drill holes used in geochemical study of drill cuttings.

Chemically the waters from Well 66-8 and the McCoy Mine well are sodium bicarbonate waters with projected subsurface temperatures of 98-148⁰C based upon the conductive quartz geothermometer and 153⁰-206⁰ using the N-K-Ca geothermometer.

In 1979 Joe Moore of the University of Utah Research Institute proposed to undertake a geochemical study of the drill cuttings from McCoy. AMAX agreed to provide Joe with a split of our samples. UURI prepared composite samples for the intervals of 0-40, 40-80, 80-120 and 120-160 feet for each shallow thermal gradient hole (Figure 4). The geochemical study was done under DOE contract DE-AC 07-80 ID 12079. Multielement geochemical analyses using Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (Moore 1980) were performed on each composite sample. Preliminary analysis of the data from Joe Moore indicates that Zn, As, Pb, F and Hg show some correlation with the thermal anomaly and also with the known areas of hydrothermal alteration and mineralization. Figure 5 shows the contour map for mercury for the interval 120-160 feet. The contour pattern appears to emphasize certain structural directions and the linear trends become more pronounced with depth (Pilkington, 1980).

Geophysical Studies


A gravity survey of 340 stations was conducted by AMAX and Microgeophysics in 1979 and Fred Berkman has been involved in the analysis of the data. Berkman prepared a residual gravity profile (Complete Bouguer) and depth analysis along an east-west line through well 66-8 (Figure 6) as reported by Lange, 1980. The gravity interpretation (top) is compared with the geologic cross-section by Pilkington (1980) on the bottom part of Figure 6.



MCCOY GEOTHERMAL AREA (D)
LANDER & CHURCHILL, NV

FIGURE:
MERCURY (PPB) 120-160 ft.
SAMPLE TYPE:
ANALYTICAL METHOD: GOLD FILM

Figure 5. Contour map of mercury in drill cuttings from 120-160 ft interval at McCoy (Pilkington, 1980).



RESIDUAL GRAVITY
PROFILE
(COMPLETE BOUGUER)
AND DEPTH ANALYSIS




Figure 6. Gravity profile with automatic interpretation for densities (top) compared with geologic cross-section (bottom).

A tensor MT survey was run by Terraphysics in February 1980 (Lange, 1980) at the McCoy property (Fig. 7). The location of the lines along which the MT survey was done are shown on Figure 7. The resistivity as deduced by Lange (1980) at a depth of 5 km from the ID inversion of the MT (Te mode) is also shown on Figure 7. The MT section along line C-C' (Figure 8) illustrates the correlation with geology. Lange (1980) believes the MT sees a deep reservoir, (three or more kilometers) along line C-C' which is leaking fluids up the faults bounding the horst block east of well 66-8.

As a part of the Department of Energy's program to stimulate the development of geothermal resources Lawrence Berkley Laboratory (LBL) conducted a survey with the EM-60 frequency domain system over the McCoy prospect (Wilt, M. et al, 1980). The stations for the LBL survey are shown in Figure 9. The survey consisted of 19 frequency-domain electromagnetic soundings from three transmitter loops. A comparison between the EM data from LBL and the AMAX MT data is shown in Figure 10. Wilt et al (1980) conclude that the EM results agree well with the data gathered from well 66-8. A conductor was found at the approximate depths that boiling water was found in the well. The EM does give information on the shallow depths where MT does not give reliable results.

Exploration Drilling

Two intermediate depth exploration wells were completed in 1980. Well 66-8 located in the NWSE Sec. 8 T22N R40E had a TD of 765 meters (2510') and well 14-7 located in the SE NW Sec. 7 T23N R40E had a TD of 613m (2010').




Figure 7. Resistivity at 5km depth from 1D magnetotelluric inversion (T mode). Conductive zones stippled; resistive striped





Figure 8. MT section (T_e mode, 1D inversion) along Line C, compared with geologic section



x81.5C10-2861

Figure 9. Survey location map of the McCoy prospect. after Wilt et al, 1980.

MAGNETOTELLURIC 1-D INVERSION WITH EM PROFILE A-A'-A''




Figure 10. MT section (T mode, 1D inversion) along Line A compared with available EM data, after Lange, 1980.

Both wells were drilled as unit wells for the McCoy Federal Geothermal Unit under a Plan of Operation approved by the U.S. Geological Survey. The generalized drilling plan for the holes was:

1. Move on. Rig up.
2. Drill 17-1/2" hole to +20 ft.
3. Run 20 ft of 13-3/8 conductor pipe, cement.
4. Drill 12-1/4 hole to +505 ft.
5. Run 500 ft of 8-5/8" casing, cement.
6. Install BOP equipment, test.
7. Drill 6-1/4-6-3/4 hole to TD.
8. Run electric logs.
9. Equip hole for flow test if appropriate
10. Equip hole for temperature observation if no suitable production encountered - 3" Black iron pipe installed capped top & bottom - filled/water.

Well 66-8 encountered a low temperature geothermal reservoir between 1630 feet and TD. Numerous hot water entries were recorded; with first entry at 1630 feet being the hottest at about 100°C, or just slightly above boiling for the elevation. The chemistry of the fluids was discussed in the section on geochemical studies. Lost circulation was encountered at several depths below the upper water zone. After completing the well as a thermal observation well it was discovered that drilling mud left in the hole had flowed out into the formation, and the 100°C water flows into the hole and out one of the lost circulation zones near bottom of hole. Therefore, no valid temperature gradients can be measured. It is proposed to back fill the annulus in 1981 to try and obtain reliable bottom hole temperature data.

Well 14-7 encountered lost circulation in the Triassic carbonates at a depth of 409 feet. With considerable difficulty the 8-5/8" casing was set and cemented at a depth of 495 feet. A flow of warm water $+50^{\circ}\text{C}$ was encountered just below the casing. Below 800 feet drill blind with no returns to TD. The well was completed as a temperature observation well, but the $+50^{\circ}\text{C}$ water at 500 feet is going out into formation somewhere near the bottom of hole so that hole is isothermal below 500 feet.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Adams, Mike, Moore, J. N. , Struhsacker, Eric, 1980, Preliminary geologic map McCoy area, Nevada: Unpublished UURI map.
- Dellechaie, F., 1977, Hydrogeochemistry McCoy geothermal prospect: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Lange, A. L., 1980, The McCoy, Nevada geothermal prprospect: Paper presented at Soc. Exploration Geophysicist Ann. Mtg.
- McKee, E. H. and Steward, J. H., 1971, Stratigraphy and K-AR ages of some Tertiary tuffs from Lander and Churchill Counties, Nevada: U. S. Geological Survey Bull 1311-B.
- Olson, H. J., Dellechaie, F., Pilkington, H. D. and Lange, A. L., 1979, the McCoy geothermal prpspect a status report of a possible new discovery in Churchill and Lander Counties, Nevada: Geothermal Resources Council Transactions Vol. 3.
- Pilkington, H. D., 1980, Geochemical study drill cuttings, McCoy: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Shenker, A. E., 1980, Summary hydrogeochemistry from McCoy Well 66-8: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Stewart, J. H. and McKee, E. H., 1977, Geology and mineral deposits of Lander County, Nevada: Nevada Bur. Mines and Geology, Bull. 88.
- Terraphysics, 1980, Telluric-Magnetotelluric survey at McCoy prospect, Churchill County, Nevada: AMAX Exploration, Inc., Report

Wilden, R. and Speed, R. C., 1974, Geology and Mineral deposits of Churchill County, Nevada: Nevada Bur. Mines and Geology, Bull. 83.

Wilt, M., Haught, R. and Goldstein, N. E., 1980, An electromagnetic (EM-60) survey of the McCoy geothermal prospect, Nevada: Lawrence Berkley Laboratory, Univ. of California, Berkley Rept. 66a.

DOE/ET/27010-3

MC COY AREA, NEVADA
Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case History
Northern Basin and Range

ANNUAL REPORT

1 January 1981 - 31 December 1981

H. D. PILKINGTON
January 1982

WORK PERFORMED UNDER CONTRACT
DE AC 08-79 ET 27010

AMAX EXPLORATION, INC.
1707 Cole Blvd.
Golden, Colorado 80401

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DOE/ET/27010-3
Distribution Category

MC COY AREA, NEVADA
Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case History
Northern Basin and Range

ANNUAL REPORT

1 January 1981 - 31 December 1981

H. D. PILKINGTON

AMAX EXPLORATION, INC.
1707 Cole Blvd.
Golden, Colorado 80401

PREPARED FOR THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
DIVISION OF ENERGY TECHNOLOGY

Under Contract DE AC 08-79 ET 27010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	2
EXPLORATION HISTORY.....	4
Geological Studies.....	4
Geochemical Studies.....	6
Geophysical Studies.....	17
Exploration Drilling.....	21

TABLE OF ILLUSTRATIONS

	Page
Figure 1.	Location map for the McCoy geothermal prospect.....3
Figure 2.	Geologic map of the McCoy geothermal prospect,.....5 Nevada (after Adams, Moore and Struhsacker, 1980)
Figure 3	Na versus K in waters of the McCoy area, Nevada.....15
Figure 4	Location of resistivity profile lines.....18
Figure 5	Resistivity survey McCoy Project, Nevada Line.....19 B and C
Figure 6	Resistivity survey McCoy Project, Nevada Line D.....20
Figure 7	Generalized stratagraphic sections for well 25-9.....22 and 38-9

Tables

Page

Table I	Chemical analyses of McCoy area waters	7
Table II	C1/HCO ₃ and C1/SO ₄ mole ratio comparisons for McCoy waters	16

ABSTRACT

The McCoy geothermal prospect is located at the junction of the Augusta Mountains, Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass Range. The prospect was discovered in 1977, and in 1978 was made a part of the Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case Study Program of the Department of Energy under contract DE-AC 08-79 ET 27010.

Geothermal exploration done during 1981 included additional hydrogeochemical studies and some additional geologic mapping in the southern part of the area. Geophysical work consisted of a dipole-dipole resistivity survey and continued interpretation and analysis of previous data. Exploration drilling included ten shallow thermal gradient holes and two intermediate depth exploration holes. A third hole was started and drilled to a depth of 403 feet and surface casing was run and cemented.

A shallow low-temperature geothermal reservoir was encountered in Permo-Pennsylvanian rocks north of McCoy Mine. The analysis of the exploration continued in an attempt to determine the source area for the thermal fluids.

INTRODUCTION

The McCoy geothermal prospect was discovered in 1977 during reconnaissance coverage of Nevada. The prospect was identified by thermal gradient measurements of existing holes and hydrogeochemical analysis of well water from the McCoy Mine water well. The prospect is located approximately 72 kilometers northwest of Austin, Nevada (Figure 1) and can be reached by means of a graded road which leads from U. S. Highway 50.

The McCoy prospect is located at the confluence of the Augusta and Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass Range. The prospect straddles the Churchill and Lander County borders.

In 1978 AMAX submitted a proposal in response to the Department of Energy's RFP No. ET-78-R-08-003, Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case Study, Northern Basin and Range and was awarded a contract providing partial funding for exploration at the property. Detailed results of the work funded through the DOE will be published by the DOE through the University of Utah Research Institute (UURI) under DOE contract DE-AC 08-79 ET 27010.




Figure 1. Location map for the McCoy geothermal project.

EXPLORATION HISTORY


The geothermal exploration partially funded under DOE contract DE AC08-79 ET 27010 was an integrated approach including geological, geochemical and geophysical studies as well as exploration drilling. For the purpose of this report the exploration will be discussed under exploration methods rather than a chronological description.

GEOLOGICAL STUDIES

The McCoy geothermal prospect is located at the junction of the Augusta Mountains, the Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass range. The area is underlain by Tertiary volcanics and associated sediments, Triassic sediments and Permo-Pennsylvanian eugeosynclinal sediments as shown on the county maps (Stewart, J. H. and McKee, E. H., 1977 and Wilden, R. and Speed, R. L., 1974). The county geologic maps are at a scale of 1:250,000 and, therefore, do not show much detail.

In the late fall of 1979 Joe Moore of the University of Utah Research Institute began a geologic mapping program under DOE contract DEAC 07 - 80 ID 12079. Joe Moore and Eric Struhsacker established the mapping units in the Tertiary volcanics and then Mike Adams of UURI did most of the field mapping in 1980. A detailed geologic map at a scale of 1:24,000 was completed (Fig. 2) in 1980 (Pilkington, 1981). During 1981 the geologic mapping was extended to the south in order to establish a better understanding of the relationship between the Tertiary, Triassic and Permo-Pennsylvanian rocks.

The oldest rocks mapped in the McCoy area are the cherts, volcanics, siltstones, sandstones and minor limestones of the Havallah sequence of Permo-Pennsylvanian age.



NORTH



SCALE 1:62,500

Figure 2. Geologic map of the McCoy Geothermal Project, Nevada (after Adams, Moore and Struhsaker, 1980).

- 817 **Qal** Alluvium
- 867 **QT1** Hot Springs deposits-
Travertine/some silica
- 832 **Ts2** Tuffaceous sediments
- 854 **Tad2** Andesite and dacite flows
and intrusives
- 846 **Tbm**

Bc
Bb
Ba

 Bates Mountain Tuffs-crystal
poor rhyolite tuff with 3
cooling units. 23-24 m.y.
- 864 **Tmm**

7c
7b
7a

 McCoy Mine Tuffs-usually
has three cooling units.
26 m. y.
- 813 **Tec**

6g
6f
6e
6d
6c
6b
6a

 Edwards Creek Tuff-ash
flow tuff with up to 7
cooling units. Sometimes
contains sediments (Tsi)
between cooling units.
27 m.y.
- 853 **Twt**

Twt5
4c
4b
4a
Twt3
Twt2
Twt1

 Welded Tuffs-ash flow tuffs
with five recognizable
map units. Unit 4 may
contain 3 cooling units.
Often has sediments (Tsi)
in place of a particular
unit, and may sediment
separating Twt from Tec.
29-30 m.y.
- 853 **Tvo** Older volcanics
- 883 **Tf** Conglomerate
- 845 **Kg** Granodiorite to quartz
monzonite. 69-104 m.y.
- 844 **Jo** Gabbro of the Humbolt lopolith.
150-165 m.y.
- 848 **Ro** Osabb Formation-sandstone,
conglomerate, shale, minor
ls
- 888 **RCS** Cone Spring Formation-massive
limestone and dolomite,
minor shale and conglomerates.
- 868 **Ro** Augusta Mountain Formation-massive
limestone with minor dolomite,
shale and conglomerate.
- 858 **Rf** Favaret Formation-thin bedded
ls/calcareous shale and
siltstone dark grey to black.
- 895 **Ru**
- 879 **Rc** Conglomerate with purple siltstone
and tuffs in lower part.
- 844 **Rpr**
- Ts1** 862 Sandstone,
conglomerates
and tuffaceous
sediments.
- Tr** 866 Undifferentiated rhyolitic
rocks. 22-30 m.y.
- Tad** 844 Older andesite and
basalts.
- Tu-undivided

The rocks were deformed, uplifted and deeply eroded prior to the deposition of the basal Triassic sediments which consist of conglomerates, siltstones, sandstones and minor tuff. The basal member is overlain by several hundred feet of dominantly carbonate sediments of the Favret Formation, the Augusta Mountain Formation and the Cone Springs Formation. The uppermost Triassic unit is the detrital sediments of the Osobb Formation.

The Tertiary volcanic rocks in the McCoy area (Fig. 2) range in age from about 36 m.y. to 15 m.y. (McKee and Stewart, 1971). Sedimentary rocks are found at various horizons within the volcanic units. A considerable thickness of sediments overlie the volcanic rocks to the northwest of the McCoy Mine area. West of the McCoy Mine over 2km² of fossil Quaternary travertine is exposed. The travertine lies unconformably upon the eroded Triassic rocks. The travertine dips gently westward and is only slightly dissected.

Tectonically the area has had a long and complex history. The detailed mapping permitted the construction of detailed geologic cross section (Fig. 3). Reasonable approximations of the fault offsets could be made using the displacement of cooling units on Mike Adams geologic map.

Geochemical Studies

The hydrogeochemical analysis of a water sample from the McCoy Mine water well was one of the manifestations which attracted AMAX to the area. During the exploration drilling in 1980 water was encountered in well 66-8. Table I compares the chemical analyses of the various water samples collected to date.

Table I. Chemical Analyses of McCoy Area Waters

	<u>W10981</u> McCoy HW Sec. 9T20NR40E	<u>W13453</u> Well 66-8, 1630' NWSE8T22NR40E	<u>W13454</u> Well 66-8, 2050' NWSE8T22NR20E	<u>W13456</u> Well 66-8, 2410' NWSE8T22NR40E
Temp ^o C	39	+100	--	--
Flow (gpm)	-	25	--	--
pH	7.05	9.4	9.1	9.0
Cl	22.0	38.0	31.0	31.0
F	4.4	5.6	3.0	4.1
SO ₄	54.0	100.0	100.0	80.0
HCO ₃	611.6	144.0	142.0	204.0
CO ₃	0.0	72.0	24.0	20.0
SiO ₂	44.0	120.0	75.0	62.0
Na	260.0	160.0	98.0	110.0
K	15.0	21.0	14.0	14.0
Ca	43.0	6.6	9.6	6.0
Mg	9.0	2.6	16.0	18.0
Li	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.5
B	1.3	--	-	-
NH ₃	0.74	--	-	-
TDS	1065.3	670.0	513.0	550.0
Tq SiO ₂	98	148	120	112
Tc SiO ₂	66	122	94	83
T Na-K	174	242	250	239
T Na-K-Ca	153	206	197	197

Table I. Continued

	<u>W14377</u> Gilbert Spr. SE34T21NR40E	<u>W14378</u> Spring NE2T20NR40E	<u>W14379</u> Spring NWSW9T22NR38E	<u>W14380</u> Shoshone Spring SE2T22NR38E
Temp ^o C	10	17	18	25
Flow (gpm)	12.0	25.0	2.0	1.0
pH				
Cl				
F				
SO ₄				
HCO ₃				
CO ₃				
SiO ₂	15.0	20.0	37.0	38.0
Na	22.0	17.0	170.0	130.0
K	0.6	0.9	3.3	1.8
Ca	43.0	37.0	4.0	3.0
Mg	7.0	7.0	1.0	1.0
Li				
B				
Ec(K)				
TDS				
Tq SiO ₂	53	63	88	89
Tc SiO ₂	21	31	57	59
T Na-K	126	168	108	91
T Na-K-Ca	-2	6	116	87

Table I. Continued

	<u>W14381</u> Spring <u>SWSW18T21NR39E</u>	<u>W14382</u> Smooth Canyon Spr. <u>NW10T21NR38E</u>	<u>W14383</u> Spring <u>SE16T25NR38E</u>	<u>W14384</u> Spring <u>NW1T25NR39E</u>
Temp ^o C	14	15	14	15
Flow (gpm)	5.0	--	20	1.0
pH				
Cl				
F				
SO ₄				
HCO ₃				
CO ₃				
SiO ₂	91.0	38.0	15.0	23.0
Na	52.0	88.0	57.0	170.0
K	8.4	4.5	3.0	24.0
Ca	35.0	62.0	190.0	82.0
Mg	2.0	12.0	71.0	58.0
Li				
B				
Ec(K)				
TDS				
Tq SiO ₂	132	89	53	69
Tc SiO ₂	105	59	21	37
T Na-K	262	166	168	248
T Na-K-Ca	74	49	17	187

Table I. Continued

	W14385 Thompson WW <u>Sec10T25NR41E</u>	W14386 Hess Spr. <u>Sec29T26NR41E</u>	W14387 Red Butte WW <u>SE26T25NR41E</u>	W14750 Shoshone Pass WW <u>NW32T22NR39E</u>
Temp ^o C	10	15	10	26.5
Flow (gpm)	500.0	2.0	1000	65.0
pH				7.6
Cl				31.0
F				1.1
SO ₄				98.0
HCO ₃				206.0
CO ₃				0.0
SiO ₂	35.0	51.0	69.0	85.0
Na	39.0	62.0	59.0	77.0
K	2.6	2.6	9.8	18.0
Ca	19.0	40.0	33.0	40.0
Mg	5.0	7.0	3.0	10.0
Li				0.1
B				0.4
Ec(K)				689.0
TDS				566.6
Tq SiO ₂	86	103	117	128
Tc SiO ₂	55	73	89	101
T Na-K	185	152	265	302
T Na-K-Ca	48	39	81	208

Table I. Continued

	<u>W14991</u> <u>Hole-in-Wall</u> <u>SENE2T23NR39E</u>	<u>W14992</u> <u>McCoy Mine WW</u> <u>Sec9T20NR40E</u>	<u>W14993</u> <u>Edwards Cr.WW</u> <u>NWNW3T21NR39E</u>	<u>W14994</u> <u>Water Well</u> <u>Sec2T21NR39E</u>
Temp ^o C	20.5	42.5	14.5	15.5
Flow (gpm)	3.0	25.0	5.0	5.0
pH	7.5	7.2	8.0	7.6
Cl	78.1	24.0	39.0	26.0
F	0.5	4.2	1.3	0.8
SO ₄	87.0	47.0	80.0	42.0
HCO ₃	120.0	580.0	178.0	130.0
CO ₃	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SiO ₂	48.0	40.0	80.0	61.0
Na	78.0	230.0	110.0	71.0
K	7.7	15.0	9.4	4.8
Ca	50.0	43.0	23.0	14.0
Mg	7.8	8.7	2.1	2.7
Li	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1
B	0.4	1.3	0.6	0.3
Ec(K)				
TDS	477.5	993.5	523.5	352.7
Tq SiO ₂	101	94	122	111
Tc SiO ₂	70	61	97	82
T Na-K	216	183	204	186
T Na-K-Ca	68	157	95	79

Table I. Continued

	W14995 Well 25-9, 1640' <u>NWSW9T22NR40E</u>	W14996 Well 25-9, 1840' <u>NWSW9T22NR40E</u>	W14997 Well 25-9, 2000' <u>NWSW9T22NR40E</u>
Temp ^o C	44.4	48.	54.
Flow (gpm)	25.	30.	30.
pH	8.7	8.2	8.2
Cl	29.0	34.0	45.0
F	2.9	1.7	1.0
SO ₄	64.0	75.0	86.0
HCO ₃	182.0	158.0	156.0
CO ₃	12.0	0.0	0.0
SiO ₂	17.0	25.0	35.0
Na	85.0	69.0	58.0
K	14.0	11.0	12.0
Ca	8.4	19.0	34.0
Mg	20.0	16.0	29.0
Li	0.3	0.2	0.1
B	0.8	0.5	0.4
Ec(K)	624.0	570.0	655.0
TDS	435.4	409.4	456.5
T _q SiO ₂	64	77	89
T _c SiO ₂	25	40	55
T Na-K	264	261	288
T Na-K-Ca	69	53	50

Table I. Continued

	W14998 Well 38-9, 550' SESW9T23NR40E	W14999 Well 38-9, 1200' SESW9T23NR40E	W15000 Well 38-9, 1300' SESW9T23NR40E
Temp ^o C	34	47	47
Flow (gpm)	25	115	125
pH	8.4	7.9	7.8
Cl	22.0	23.0	23.0
F	4.2	4.2	4.2
SO ₄	58.0	53.0	57.0
HCO ₃	472.0	538.0	530.0
CO ₃	28.0	0.0	0.0
SiO ₂	44.0	35.0	35.0
Na	230.0	230.0	230.0
K	18.0	16.0	16.0
Ca	24.0	33.0	35.0
Mg	13.0	11.0	12.0
Li	0.3	0.3	0.3
B	1.2	1.2	1.2
Ec(K)	1128.0	1190.0	1201.0
TDS	914.7	944.7	963.7
Tq SiO ₂	97	89	89
Tc SiO ₂	66	55	55
T Na-K	197	188	188
T Na-K-Ca	171	163	162

Chemically the waters appear to fall into three distinct groups (Fig. 3 and Table II). The majority of non-thermal ground waters are mixed cation-anion waters of low salinity and low SiO_2 content (Type I). The thermal waters in the McCoy areas fall into two groups (Fig. 3 and Table II). The thermal waters from the McCoy Mine area (Type III) are characterized by a higher sodium content (Fig. 3) and a low Cl/HCO_3 (Mole) ratio (Table II).

The second groups of thermal waters (Type II) are low to intermediate in sodium content and intermediate to high in potassium content (Fig. 3). Several spring samples fall within the boundary of the Type II waters suggesting a mixing of thermal and meteoric waters. Type II waters tend to have a high Cl/SO_4 (Mole) ratio (Table II); however, the waters from well 66-8 have Cl/SO_4 mole ratio values in the same ranges as those from the McCoy Mine area (Table II).

The hydrological regime in the McCoy area appears to be quite complicated. Chemically, two distinct parent fluids are suggested. One parent fluid diluted with meteoric water gives rise to Type II waters and the second gives rise to the Type III waters.




Figure 3. Na versus K in waters of the McCoy area, Nevada

Table II. Cl/HCO_3 and Cl/SO_4 Mole Ratio Comparison for McCoy Waters

<u>Sample #</u>	<u>Area of Well #</u>	<u>Cl/HCO_3 Mole Ratio</u>	<u>Cl/SO_4 Mole Ratio</u>
W10981	McCoy Mine Well	0.06	1.10
14992	" "	0.07	1.38
14998	38-9	0.08	1.03
14999	38-9	0.07	1.18
1500	38-9	0.07	1.09
13453	66-8	0.45	1.03
13454	66-8	0.38	0.84
13456	66-8	0.26	1.05
14995	25-9	0.27	1.23
14996	25-9	0.37	1.23
14997	25-9	0.50	1.42
14750	Shoshone Pass Well	0.26	0.86
14991	Hole in the Wall Well	1.12	2.43
14993	Edwards Creek Well	0.38	2.25
14994	Edward Creek Valley Well	0.34	1.68

Geophysical Studies

A resistivity survey was run by Mining Geophysical Survey, Inc. during the period February 2 to March 3, 1981. Two profiles were run along lines B-B' and C-C' of the MT survey (Pilkington, 1981). The third profile was done along an east-west line to the south (Figure 4).

The low conductivity zone seen spread 2 line C (Fig. 5) may represent the same zone of low conductivity seen in the MT and the EM-60 survey (Pilkington, 1981). The more resistive zones between the center of spread 2 and spread 1 are over the horst block of pre-Tertiary rocks. On line B the near surface resistivity responses are characteristic of inclined blocks of varying resistivity and probably relate to the block faulting. On line D the area of low resistivity on the west end of the line coincides with an increasing thickness of Tertiary volcanic rocks. The deeper zones of conductive material are probably the same as seen on the MT.

The low resistivity zones may represent conduits for the thermal waters; however, interpretation is complicated by lateral and apparent resistivity effects. Model studies are planned for early 1982.

Exploration Drilling

Two intermediate depth exploration wells were completed in 1981, and a third well was spudded, drilled to 403 feet, cased, and suspended. Well 25-9 located in the NWSW Sec. 9 T22N R40E had a TD of 610 meters (2000') and well 38-9 located in the SESW Sec. 9 T23N R40E had a TD of 620m (2040'). and well 28-18 located in the SWSW Sec. 18 T22NR40E was drilled to 403', cased and suspended.

The exploration wells were drilled as unit wells for the McCoy




Figure 4. Location of resistivity profile lines.

Figure 5

RESISTIVITY SURVEY

McCoy Project, Lander and Churchill Counties, Nevada

for

AMAX Exploration Inc., Geothermal Branch




Figure 5. Resistivity survey McCoy Project, Nevada Line B and C

Figure 6

RESISTIVITY SURVEY

Mc Coy Project, Lander and Churchill Counties, Nevada
for
AMAX Exploration Inc., Geothermal Branch




Figure 6. Resistivity survey McCoy Project, Nevada Line D

Federal Geothermal Unit under a Plan of Exploration approved by the U.S. Geological Survey. The generalized drilling plan for the holes was:

1. Move on and rig up.
2. Drill 17-1/2" hole to 20 ft.
3. Run 20 ft. surface conductor.
4. Drill 12-1/2" hole to 10% of TD.
5. Run 9 5/8" casing, cement.
6. Install BOP test.
7. Drill 8-5/8" hole to TD.
8. Run electric logs.
9. Run 2 3/8" tubing, fill with water.
10. Cement top 10 feet of annulus.
11. Install gate valve on top of tubing.

Generalized stratigraphic sections for wells 25-9 and 38-9 are given in Figure 7. Well 25-9 encountered low temperature geothermal fluids at 1640 feet, 1840 feet and 2000 feet. The maximum temperature fluids were at 2000 feet where temperatures are estimated to be 54⁰C. Chemically the waters are thought to be meteoric waters which circulated to depths sufficient to heat them to the observed temperatures.

Well 38-9 encountered fluids at depths of 550 feet, 1200 feet and 1300 feet. Chemically the geothermal fluids are comparable with those from the McCoy Mine water well.

Well 28-18 will be completed to at least 3000 feet during 1982 in order to effectively test the geophysical anomalies.




Figure 7. Generalized stratigraphic sections for well 25-9 and 38-9

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Adams, Mike, Moore, J. N. , Struhsacker, Eric, 1980, Preliminary geologic map McCoy area, Nevada: Unpublished UURI map.
- Dellechaie, F., 1977, Hydrogeochemistry McCoy geothermal prospect: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Lange, A. L., 1980, The McCoy, Nevada geothermal prospect: Paper presented at Soc. Exploration Geophysicist Ann. Mtg.
- McKee, E. H. and Steward, J. H., 1971, Stratigraphy and K-AR ages of some Tertiary tuffs from Lander and Churchill Counties, Nevada: U. S. Geological Survey Bull 1311-B.
- Mining Geophysical Surveys, Inc., 1981, Resistivity survey McCoy project Churchill and Lander counties, Nevada; AMAX Exploration, Inc. report.
- Olson, H. J., Dellechaie, F., Pilkington, H. D. and Lange, A. L., 1979, the McCoy geothermal prpspect a status report of a possible new discovery in Churchill and Lander Counties, Nevada: Geothermal Resources Council Transactions Vol. 3.
- Pilkington, H. D., 1980, Geochemical study drill cuttings, McCoy: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Pilkington, H. D., 1981, McCoy area: Nevada, Geothermal reservoir assessment case history, Northern Basin and Range, annual report 1 January 1980-31 December 1980 to DOE under Contract DE AC 08-79ET27010.
- Shenker, A. E., 1980, Summary hydrogeochemistry from McCoy Well 66-8: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Stewart, J. H. and McKee, E. H., 1977, Geology and mineral deposits of Lander County, Nevada: Nevada Bur. Mines and Geology, Bull. 88.
- Terraphysics, 1980, Telluric-Magnetotelluric survey at McCoy prospect, Churchill County, Nevada: AMAX Exploration, Inc., Report
- Wilden, R. and Speed, R.C., 1974, Geology and Mineral deposits of Churchill County, Nevada: Nevada Bur. Mines and Geology, Bull. 83.
- Wilt, M., Haught, R. and Goldstein, N. E., 1980, An electromagnetic (EM-60) survey of the McCoy geothermal prospect, Nevada: Lawrence Berkely Laboratory, Univ. of California, Berkeley Rpt. 66a.

DOE/ET/27010-3

MC COY AREA, NEVADA
Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case History
Northern Basin and Range

ANNUAL REPORT

1 January 1981 - 31 December 1981

H. D. PILKINGTON
January 1982

WORK PERFORMED UNDER CONTRACT
DE AC 08-79 ET 27010

AMAX EXPLORATION, INC.
1707 Cole Blvd.
Golden, Colorado 80401

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DOE/ET/27010-3
Distribution Category

MC COY AREA, NEVADA
Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case History
Northern Basin and Range

ANNUAL REPORT

1 January 1981 - 31 December 1981

H. D. PILKINGTON

AMAX EXPLORATION, INC.
1707 Cole Blvd.
Golden, Colorado 80401

PREPARED FOR THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
DIVISION OF ENERGY TECHNOLOGY

Under Contract DE AC 08-79 ET 27010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	2
EXPLORATION HISTORY.....	4
Geological Studies.....	4
Geochemical Studies.....	6
Geophysical Studies.....	17
Exploration Drilling.....	21

TABLE OF ILLUSTRATIONS

	Page
Figure 1.	Location map for the McCoy geothermal prospect.....3
Figure 2.	Geologic map of the McCoy geothermal prospect,.....5 Nevada (after Adams, Moore and Struhsacker, 1980)
Figure 3	Na versus K in waters of the McCoy area, Nevada.....15
Figure 4	Location of resistivity profile lines.....18
Figure 5	Resistivity survey McCoy Project, Nevada Line.....19 B and C
Figure 6	Resistivity survey McCoy Project, Nevada Line D.....20
Figure 7	Generalized stratigraphic sections for well 25-9.....22 and 38-9

Tables

		Page
Table I	Chemical analyses of McCoy area waters	7
Table II	Cl/HCO ₃ and Cl/SO ₄ mole ratio comparisons for McCoy waters	16

ABSTRACT

The McCoy geothermal prospect is located at the junction of the Augusta Mountains, Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass Range. The prospect was discovered in 1977, and in 1978 was made a part of the Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case Study Program of the Department of Energy under contract DE-AC 08-79 ET 27010.

Geothermal exploration done during 1981 included additional hydrogeochemical studies and some additional geologic mapping in the southern part of the area. Geophysical work consisted of a dipole-dipole resistivity survey and continued interpretation and analysis of previous data. Exploration drilling included ten shallow thermal gradient holes and two intermediate depth exploration holes. A third hole was started and drilled to a depth of 403 feet and surface casing was run and cemented.

A shallow low-temperature geothermal reservoir was encountered in Permo-Pennsylvanian rocks north of McCoy Mine. The analysis of the exploration continued in an attempt to determine the source area for the thermal fluids.

INTRODUCTION

The McCoy geothermal prospect was discovered in 1977 during reconnaissance coverage of Nevada. The prospect was identified by thermal gradient measurements of existing holes and hydrogeochemical analysis of well water from the McCoy Mine water well. The prospect is located approximately 72 kilometers northwest of Austin, Nevada (Figure 1) and can be reached by means of a graded road which leads from U. S. Highway 50.

The McCoy prospect is located at the confluence of the Augusta and Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass Range. The prospect straddles the Churchill and Lander County borders.

In 1978 AMAX submitted a proposal in response to the Department of Energy's RFP No. ET-78-R-08-003, Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case Study, Northern Basin and Range and was awarded a contract providing partial funding for exploration at the property. Detailed results of the work funded through the DOE will be published by the DOE through the University of Utah Research Institute (UURI) under DOE contract DE-AC 08-79 ET 27010.




Figure 1. Location map for the McCoy geothermal project.

EXPLORATION HISTORY

The geothermal exploration partially funded under DOE contract DE AC08-79 ET 27010 was an integrated approach including geological, geochemical and geophysical studies as well as exploration drilling. For the purpose of this report the exploration will be discussed under exploration methods rather than a chronological description.

GEOLOGICAL STUDIES

The McCoy geothermal prospect is located at the junction of the Augusta Mountains, the Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass range. The area is underlain by Tertiary volcanics and associated sediments, Triassic sediments and Permo-Pennsylvanian eugeosynclinal sediments as shown on the county maps (Stewart, J. H. and McKee, E. H., 1977 and Wilden, R. and Speed, R. L., 1974). The county geologic maps are at a scale of 1:250,000 and, therefore, do not show much detail.

In the late fall of 1979 Joe Moore of the University of Utah Research Institute began a geologic mapping program under DOE contract DEAC 07 - 80 ID 12079. Joe Moore and Eric Struhsacker established the mapping units in the Tertiary volcanics and then Mike Adams of UURI did most of the field mapping in 1980. A detailed geologic map at a scale of 1:24,000 was completed (Fig. 2) in 1980 (Pilkington, 1981). During 1981 the geologic mapping was extended to the south in order to establish a better understanding of the relationship between the Tertiary, Triassic and Permo-Pennsylvanian rocks.

The oldest rocks mapped in the McCoy area are the cherts, volcanics, siltstones, sandstones and minor limestones of the Havallah sequence of Permo-Pennsylvanian age.

- 817 **Qol** Alluvium.
- 867 **QT1** Hot Springs deposits-
Travertine/some silico
- 832 **Ts2** Tuffaceous sediments
- 854 **Tod2** Andesite and dacite flows
and intrusives
- 846 **Tbm**

Bc
Bb
8a

 Bates Mountain Tuffs-crystal
poor rhyolite tuff with 3
cooling units. 23-24 m.y.
- 864 **Tmm**

7c
7b
7a

 McCoy Mine Tuffs-usually
has three cooling units.
26 m.y.
- 813 **Tec**

6g
6f
6e
6d
6c
6b
6a

 Edwards Creek Tuff-ash
flow tuff with up to 7
cooling units. Sometimes
contains sediments (Ts1)
between cooling units.
27 m.y.
- 853 **Twt5**

Twt5
4c
Twt4
4b
4a
Twt3
Twt2
Twt1

 Welded Tuffs-ash flow tuffs
with five recognizable
map units. Unit 4 may
contain 3 cooling units.
Often has sediments (Ts1)
in place of a particular
unit, and may sediment
separating Twt from Tec.
29-30 m.y.
- 893 **Tvo** Older volcanics
- 883 **Tf** Conglomerate
- 845 **Kg** Granodiorite to quartz
monzonite. 69-104 m.y.
- 844 **Ja** Gabbro of the Humbolt lopolith.
150-165 m.y.
- 848 **Tc** Osabb Formation-sandstone,
conglomerate, shale, minor
ls.
- 888 **Tcs** Cane Spring Formation-massive
limestone and dolomite;
minor shale and conglomerates.
- 868 **Ta** Augusta Mountain Formation-massive
limestone with minor dolomite,
shale and conglomerate.
- 858 **Rf**

Rf
Ru

 Favaret Formation-thin bedded
ls/calcareous shale and
siltstone dark grey to black.
- 879 **Rc** Conglomerate with purple siltstone
and tuffs in lower part.
- 84 **Rr**
- Ts1** 862 Sandstone,
conglomerates
and tuffaceous
sediments.
- Tf** 866 Undifferentiated rhyolitic
rocks. 22-30 m.y.
- Tad** 844 Older andesite and
basalts.
- Tu-undivided

The rocks were deformed, uplifted and deeply eroded prior to the deposition of the basal Triassic sediments which consist of conglomerates, siltstones, sandstones and minor tuff. The basal member is overlain by several hundred feet of dominantly carbonate sediments of the Favret Formation, the Augusta Mountain Formation and the Cone Springs Formation. The uppermost Triassic unit is the detrital sediments of the Osobb Formation.

The Tertiary volcanic rocks in the McCoy area (Fig. 2) range in age from about 36 m.y. to 15 m.y. (McKee and Stewart, 1971). Sedimentary rocks are found at various horizons within the volcanic units. A considerable thickness of sediments overlie the volcanic rocks to the northwest of the McCoy Mine area. West of the McCoy Mine over 2km² of fossil Quaternary travertine is exposed. The travertine lies unconformably upon the eroded Triassic rocks. The travertine dips gently westward and is only slightly dissected.

Tectonically the area has had a long and complex history. The detailed mapping permitted the construction of detailed geologic cross section (Fig. 3). Reasonable approximations of the fault offsets could be made using the displacement of cooling units on Mike Adams geologic map.

Geochemical Studies

The hydrogeochemical analysis of a water sample from the McCoy Mine water well was one of the manifestations which attracted AMAX to the area. During the exploration drilling in 1980 water was encountered in well 66-8. Table I compares the chemical analyses of the various water samples collected to date.

Table I. Chemical Analyses of McCoy Area Waters

	<u>W10981</u> McCoy HW Sec.9T20NR40E	<u>W13453</u> Well 66-8, 1630' NWSE8T22NR40E	<u>W13454</u> Well 66-8, 2050' NWSE8T22NR20E	<u>W13456</u> Well 66-8,2410' NWSE8T22NR40E
Temp°C	39	+100	--	--
Flow (gpm)	-	25	--	--
pH	7.05	9.4	9.1	9.0
Cl	22.0	38.0	31.0	31.0
F	4.4	5.6	3.0	4.1
SO ₄	54.0	100.0	100.0	80.0
HCO ₃	611.6	144.0	142.0	204.0
CO ₃	0.0	72.0	24.0	20.0
SiO ₂	44.0	120.0	75.0	62.0
Na	260.0	160.0	98.0	110.0
K	15.0	21.0	14.0	14.0
Ca	43.0	6.6	9.6	6.0
Mg	9.0	2.6	16.0	18.0
Li	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.5
B	1.3	--	-	-
NH ₃	0.74	--	-	-
TDS	1065.3	670.0	513.0	550.0
Tq SiO ₂	98	148	120	112
Tc SiO ₂	66	122	94	83
T Na-K	174	242	250	239
T Na-K-Ca	153	206	197	197

Table I. Continued

	<u>W14377</u> <u>Gilbert Spr.</u> <u>SE34T21NR40E</u>	<u>W14378</u> <u>Spring</u> <u>NE2T20NR40E</u>	<u>W14379</u> <u>Spring</u> <u>NWSW9T22NR38E</u>	<u>W14380</u> <u>Shoshone Spring</u> <u>SE2T22NR38E</u>
Temp ^o C	10	17	18	25
Flow (gpm)	12.0	25.0	2.0	1.0
pH				
Cl				
F				
SO ₄				
HCO ₃				
CO ₃				
SiO ₂	15.0	20.0	37.0	38.0
Na	22.0	17.0	170.0	130.0
K	0.6	0.9	3.3	1.8
Ca	43.0	37.0	4.0	3.0
Mg	7.0	7.0	1.0	1.0
Li				
B				
Ec(K)				
TDS				
Tq SiO ₂	53	63	88	89
Tc SiO ₂	21	31	57	59
T Na-K	126	168	108	91
T Na-K-Ca	-2	6	116	87

Table I. Continued

	<u>W14381</u> Spring <u>SWSW18T21NR39E</u>	<u>W14382</u> Smooth Canyon Spr. <u>NW10T21NR38E</u>	<u>W14383</u> Spring <u>SE16T25NR38E</u>	<u>W14384</u> Spring <u>NW1T25NR39E</u>
Temp ^o C	14	15	14	15
Flow (gpm)	5.0	--	20	1.0
pH				
Cl				
F				
SO ₄				
HCO ₃				
CO ₃				
SiO ₂	91.0	38.0	15.0	23.0
Na	52.0	88.0	57.0	170.0
K	8.4	4.5	3.0	24.0
Ca	35.0	62.0	190.0	82.0
Mg	2.0	12.0	71.0	58.0
Li				
B				
Ec(K)				
TDS				
Tq SiO ₂	132	89	53	69
Tc SiO ₂	105	59	21	37
T Na-K	262	166	168	248
T Na-K-Ca	74	49	17	187

Table I. Continued

	W14385 Thompson WW Sec10T25NR41E	W14386 Hess Spr. Sec29T26NR41E	W14387 Red Butte WW SE26T25NR41E	W14750 Shoshone Pass WW NW32T22NR39E
Temp ^{OC}	10	15	10	26.5
Flow (gpm)	500.0	2.0	1000	65.0
pH				7.6
Cl				31.0
F				1.1
SO ₄				98.0
HCO ₃				206.0
CO ₃				0.0
SiO ₂	35.0	51.0	69.0	85.0
Na	39.0	62.0	59.0	77.0
K	2.6	2.6	9.8	18.0
Ca	19.0	40.0	33.0	40.0
Mg	5.0	7.0	3.0	10.0
Li				0.1
B				0.4
Ec(K)				689.0
TDS				566.6
Tq SiO ₂	86	103	117	128
Tc SiO ₂	55	73	89	101
T Na-K	185	152	265	302
T Na-K-Ca	48	39	81	208

Table I. Continued

	<u>W14991</u> <u>Hole-in-Wall</u> <u>SENE2T23NR39E</u>	<u>W14992</u> <u>McCoy Mine WW</u> <u>Sec9T20NR40E</u>	<u>W14993</u> <u>Edwards Cr. WW</u> <u>NWNW3T21NR39E</u>	<u>W14994</u> <u>Water Well</u> <u>Sec2T21NR39E</u>
Temp ^{OC}	20.5	42.5	14.5	15.5
Flow (gpm)	3.0	25.0	5.0	5.0
pH	7.5	7.2	8.0	7.6
Cl	78.1	24.0	39.0	26.0
F	0.5	4.2	1.3	0.8
SO ₄	87.0	47.0	80.0	42.0
HCO ₃	120.0	580.0	178.0	130.0
CO ₃	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SiO ₂	48.0	40.0	80.0	61.0
Na	78.0	230.0	110.0	71.0
K	7.7	15.0	9.4	4.8
Ca	50.0	43.0	23.0	14.0
Mg	7.8	8.7	2.1	2.7
Li	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1
B	0.4	1.3	0.6	0.3
Ec(K)				
TDS	477.5	993.5	523.5	352.7
Tq SiO ₂	101	94	122	111
Tc SiO ₂	70	61	97	82
T Na-K	216	183	204	186
T Na-K-Ca	68	157	95	79

Table I. Continued

	W14995 Well 25-9, 1640' <u>NWSW9T22NR40E</u>	W14996 Well 25-9, 1840' <u>NWSW9T22NR40E</u>	W14997 Well 25-9, 2000' <u>NWSW9T22NR40E</u>
Temp ^o C	44.4	48.	54.
Flow (gpm)	25.	30.	30.
pH	8.7	8.2	8.2
Cl	29.0	34.0	45.0
F	2.9	1.7	1.0
SO ₄	64.0	75.0	86.0
HCO ₃	182.0	158.0	156.0
CO ₃	12.0	0.0	0.0
SiO ₂	17.0	25.0	35.0
Na	85.0	69.0	58.0
K	14.0	11.0	12.0
Ca	8.4	19.0	34.0
Mg	20.0	16.0	29.0
Li	0.3	0.2	0.1
B	0.8	0.5	0.4
Ec(K)	624.0	570.0	655.0
TDS	435.4	409.4	456.5
Tq SiO ₂	64	77	89
Tc SiO ₂	25	40	55
T Na-K	264	261	288
T Na-K-Ca	69	53	50

Table I. Continued

	W14998 Well 38-9, 550' <u>SESW9T23NR40E</u>	W14999 Well 38-9, 1200' <u>SESW9T23NR40E</u>	W15000 Well 38-9, 1300' <u>SESW9T23NR40E</u>
Temp ^o C	34	47	47
Flow (gpm)	25	115	125
pH	8.4	7.9	7.8
Cl	22.0	23.0	23.0
F	4.2	4.2	4.2
SO ₄	58.0	53.0	57.0
HCO ₃	472.0	538.0	530.0
CO ₃	28.0	0.0	0.0
SiO ₂	44.0	35.0	35.0
Na	230.0	230.0	230.0
K	18.0	16.0	16.0
Ca	24.0	33.0	35.0
Mg	13.0	11.0	12.0
Li	0.3	0.3	0.3
B	1.2	1.2	1.2
Ec(K)	1128.0	1190.0	1201.0
TDS	914.7	944.7	963.7
Tq SiO ₂	97	89	89
Tc SiO ₂	66	55	55
T Na-K	197	188	188
T Na-K-Ca	171	163	162

Chemically the waters appear to fall into three distinct groups (Fig. 3 and Table II). The majority of non-thermal ground waters are mixed cation-anion waters of low salinity and low SiO_2 content (Type I). The thermal waters in the McCoy areas fall into two groups (Fig. 3 and Table II). The thermal waters from the McCoy Mine area (Type III) are characterized by a higher sodium content (Fig. 3) and a low Cl/HCO_3 (Mole) ratio (Table II).

The second groups of thermal waters (Type II) are low to intermediate in sodium content and intermediate to high in potassium content (Fig. 3). Several spring samples fall within the boundary of the Type II waters suggesting a mixing of thermal and meteoric waters. Type II waters tend to have a high Cl/SO_4 (Mole) ratio (Table II); however, the waters from well 66-8 have Cl/SO_4 mole ratio values in the same ranges as those from the McCoy Mine area (Table II).

The hydrological regime in the McCoy area appears to be quite complicated. Chemically, two distinct parent fluids are suggested. One parent fluid diluted with meteoric water gives rise to Type II waters and the second gives rise to the Type III waters.




Figure 3. Na versus K in waters of the McCoy area, Nevada

Table II. Cl/HCO_3 and Cl/SO_4 Mole Ratio Comparison for McCoy Waters

<u>Sample #</u>	<u>Area of Well #</u>	<u>Cl/HCO_3 Mole Ratio</u>	<u>Cl/SO_4 Mole Ratio</u>
W10981	McCoy Mine Well	0.06	1.10
14992	" "	0.07	1.38
14998	38-9	0.08	1.03
14999	38-9	0.07	1.18
1500	38-9	0.07	1.09
13453	66-8	0.45	1.03
13454	66-8	0.38	0.84
13456	66-8	0.26	1.05
14995	25-9	0.27	1.23
14996	25-9	0.37	1.23
14997	25-9	0.50	1.42
14750	Shoshone Pass Well	0.26	0.86
14991	Hole in the Wall Well	1.12	2.43
14993	Edwards Creek Well	0.38	2.25
14994	Edward Creek Valley Well	0.34	1.68

Geophysical Studies

A resistivity survey was run by Mining Geophysical Survey, Inc. during the period February 2 to March 3, 1981. Two profiles were run along lines B-B' and C-C' of the MT survey (Pilkington, 1981). The third profile was done along an east-west line to the south (Figure 4).

The low conductivity zone seen spread 2 line C (Fig. 5) may represent the same zone of low conductivity seen in the MT and the EM-60 survey (Pilkington, 1981). The more resistive zones between the center of spread 2 and spread 1 are over the horst block of pre-Tertiary rocks. On line B the near surface resistivity responses are characteristic of inclined blocks of varying resistivity and probably relate to the block faulting. On line D the area of low resistivity on the west end of the line coincides with an increasing thickness of Tertiary volcanic rocks. The deeper zones of conductive material are probably the same as seen on the MT.

The low resistivity zones may represent conduits for the thermal waters; however, interpretation is complicated by lateral and apparent resistivity effects. Model studies are planned for early 1982.

Exploration Drilling

Two intermediate depth exploration wells were completed in 1981, and a third well was spudded, drilled to 403 feet, cased, and suspended. Well 25-9 located in the NWSW Sec. 9 T22N R40E had a TD of 610 meters (2000') and well 38-9 located in the SESW Sec. 9 T23N R40E had a TD of 620m (2040'). and well 28-18 located in the SWSW Sec. 18 T22NR40E was drilled to 403', cased and suspended.

The exploration wells were drilled as unit wells for the McCoy




Figure 4. Location of resistivity profile lines.

Figure 5

RESISTIVITY SURVEY

McCoy Project, Lander and Churchill Counties, Nevada

for

AMAX Exploration Inc., Geothermal Branch




Figure 5. Resistivity survey McCoy Project, Nevada Line B and C

Federal Geothermal Unit under a Plan of Exploration approved by the U.S. Geological Survey. The generalized drilling plan for the holes was:

1. Move on and rig up.
2. Drill 17-1/2" hole to 20 ft.
3. Run 20 ft. surface conductor.
4. Drill 12-1/2" hole to 10% of TD.
5. Run 9 5/8" casing, cement.
6. Install BOP test.
7. Drill 8-5/8" hole to TD.
8. Run electric logs.
9. Run 2 3/8" tubing, fill with water.
10. Cement top 10 feet of annulus.
11. Install gate valve on top of tubing.

Generalized stratigraphic sections for wells 25-9 and 38-9 are given in Figure 7. Well 25-9 encountered low temperature geothermal fluids at 1640 feet, 1840 feet and 2000 feet. The maximum temperature fluids were at 2000 feet where temperatures are estimated to be 54°C. Chemically the waters are thought to be meteoric waters which circulated to depths sufficient to heat them to the observed temperatures.

Well 38-9 encountered fluids at depths of 550 feet, 1200 feet and 1300 feet. Chemically the geothermal fluids are comparable with those from the McCoy Mine water well.

Well 28-18 will be completed to at least 3000 feet during 1982 in order to effectively test the geophysical anomalies.




Figure 7. Generalized stratigraphic sections for well 25-9 and 38-9

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Adams, Mike, Moore, J. N. , Struhsacker, Eric, 1980, Preliminary geologic map McCoy area, Nevada: Unpublished UURI map.
- Dellechaie, F., 1977, Hydrogeochemistry McCoy geothermal prospect: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Lange, A. L., 1980, The McCoy, Nevada geothermal prospect: Paper presented at Soc. Exploration Geophysicist Ann. Mtg.
- McKee, E. H. and Steward, J. H., 1971, Stratigraphy and K-AR ages of some Tertiary tuffs from Lander and Churchill Counties, Nevada: U. S. Geological Survey Bull 1311-B.
- Mining Geophysical Surveys, Inc., 1981, Resistivity survey McCoy project Churchill and Lander counties, Nevada; AMAX Exploration, Inc. report.
- Olson, H. J., Dellechaie, F., Pilkington, H. D. and Lange, A. L., 1979, the McCoy geothermal prpspect a status report of a possible new discovery in Churchill and Lander Counties, Nevada: Geothermal Resources Council Transactions Vol. 3.
- Pilkington, H. D., 1980, Geochemical study drill cuttings, McCoy: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Pilkington, H. D., 1981, McCoy area: Nevada, Geothermal reservoir assessment case history, Northern Basin and Range, annual report 1 January 1980-31 December 1980 to DOE under Contract DE AC 08-79ET27010.
- Shenker, A. E., 1980, Summary hydrogeochemistry from McCoy Well 66-8: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Stewart, J. H. and McKee, E. H., 1977, Geology and mineral deposits of Lander County, Nevada: Nevada Bur. Mines and Geology, Bull. 88.
- Terraphysics, 1980, Telluric-Magnetotelluric survey at McCoy prospect, Churchill County, Nevada: AMAX Exploration, Inc., Report
- Wilden, R. and Speed, R.C., 1974, Geology and Mineral deposits of Churchill County, Nevada: Nevada Bur. Mines and Geology, Bull. 83.
- Wilt, M., Hought, R. and Goldstein, N. E., 1980, An electromagnetic (EM-60) survey of the McCoy geothermal prospect, Nevada: Lawrence Berkely Laboratory, Univ. of California, Berkeley Rpt. 66a.

DOE/ET/27010-3

MC COY AREA, NEVADA
Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case History
Northern Basin and Range

ANNUAL REPORT

1 January 1981 - 31 December 1981

H. D. PILKINGTON
January 1982

WORK PERFORMED UNDER CONTRACT
DE AC 08-79 ET 27010

AMAX EXPLORATION, INC.
1707 Cole Blvd.
Golden, Colorado 80401

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DOE/ET/27010-3
Distribution Category

MC COY AREA, NEVADA
Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case History
Northern Basin and Range

ANNUAL REPORT

1 January 1981 - 31 December 1981

H. D. PILKINGTON

AMAX EXPLORATION, INC.
1707 Cole Blvd.
Golden, Colorado 80401

PREPARED FOR THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
DIVISION OF ENERGY TECHNOLOGY

Under Contract DE AC 08-79 ET 27010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	2
EXPLORATION HISTORY.....	4
Geological Studies.....	4
Geochemical Studies.....	6
Geophysical Studies.....	17
Exploration Drilling.....	21

TABLE OF ILLUSTRATIONS

	Page
Figure 1.	Location map for the McCoy geothermal prospect.....3
Figure 2.	Geologic map of the McCoy geothermal prospect,.....5 Nevada (after Adams, Moore and Struhsacker, 1980)
Figure 3	Na versus K in waters of the McCoy area, Nevada.....15
Figure 4	Location of resistivity profile lines.....18
Figure 5	Resistivity survey McCoy Project, Nevada Line....,.....19 B and C
Figure 6	Resistivity survey McCoy Project, Nevada Line D.....20
Figure 7	Generalized stratigraphic sections for well 25-9.....22 and 38-9

Tables

		Page
Table I	Chemical analyses of McCoy area waters	7
Table II	C1/HCO ₃ and C1/SO ₄ mole ratio comparisons for McCoy waters	16

ABSTRACT

The McCoy geothermal prospect is located at the junction of the Augusta Mountains, Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass Range. The prospect was discovered in 1977, and in 1978 was made a part of the Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case Study Program of the Department of Energy under contract DE-AC 08-79 ET 27010.

Geothermal exploration done during 1981 included additional hydrogeochemical studies and some additional geologic mapping in the southern part of the area. Geophysical work consisted of a dipole-dipole resistivity survey and continued interpretation and analysis of previous data. Exploration drilling included ten shallow thermal gradient holes and two intermediate depth exploration holes. A third hole was started and drilled to a depth of 403 feet and surface casing was run and cemented.

A shallow low-temperature geothermal reservoir was encountered in Permo-Pennsylvanian rocks north of McCoy Mine. The analysis of the exploration continued in an attempt to determine the source area for the thermal fluids.

INTRODUCTION

The McCoy geothermal prospect was discovered in 1977 during reconnaissance coverage of Nevada. The prospect was identified by thermal gradient measurements of existing holes and hydrogeochemical analysis of well water from the McCoy Mine water well. The prospect is located approximately 72 kilometers northwest of Austin, Nevada (Figure 1) and can be reached by means of a graded road which leads from U. S. Highway 50.

The McCoy prospect is located at the confluence of the Augusta and Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass Range. The prospect straddles the Churchill and Lander County borders.

In 1978 AMAX submitted a proposal in response to the Department of Energy's RFP No. ET-78-R-08-003, Geothermal Reservoir Assessment Case Study, Northern Basin and Range and was awarded a contract providing partial funding for exploration at the property. Detailed results of the work funded through the DOE will be published by the DOE through the University of Utah Research Institute (UURI) under DOE contract DE-AC 08-79 ET 27010.




Figure 1. Location map for the McCoy geothermal project.

EXPLORATION HISTORY

The geothermal exploration partially funded under DOE contract DE AC08-79 ET 27010 was an integrated approach including geological, geochemical and geophysical studies as well as exploration drilling. For the purpose of this report the exploration will be discussed under exploration methods rather than a chronological description.

GEOLOGICAL STUDIES

The McCoy geothermal prospect is located at the junction of the Augusta Mountains, the Clan Alpine Mountains and the New Pass range. The area is underlain by Tertiary volcanics and associated sediments, Triassic sediments and Permo-Pennsylvanian eugeosynclinal sediments as shown on the county maps (Stewart, J. H. and McKee, E. H., 1977 and Wilden, R. and Speed, R. L., 1974). The county geologic maps are at a scale of 1:250,000 and, therefore, do not show much detail.

In the late fall of 1979 Joe Moore of the University of Utah Research Institute began a geologic mapping program under DOE contract DEAC 07 - 80 ID 12079. Joe Moore and Eric Struhsacker established the mapping units in the Tertiary volcanics and then Mike Adams of UURI did most of the field mapping in 1980. A detailed geologic map at a scale of 1:24,000 was completed (Fig. 2) in 1980 (Pilkington, 1981). During 1981 the geologic mapping was extended to the south in order to establish a better understanding of the relationship between the Tertiary, Triassic and Permo-Pennsylvanian rocks.

The oldest rocks mapped in the McCoy area are the cherts, volcanics, siltstones, sandstones and minor limestones of the Havallah sequence of Permo-Pennsylvanian age.




Figure 2. Geologic map of the McCoy Geothermal Prospect, Nevada (after Adams, Moore and Struhsaker, 1980).

- 817 Qal Alluvium.
- 867 QT¹ Hot Springs deposits-
Travertine/some silica
- 832 Ts₂ Tuffaceous sediments
- 854 Tod₂ Andesite and dacite flows
and intrusives
- 846 Tbm 8c
8b
8a Bates Mountain Tuffs-crystal
poor rhyolite tuff with 3
cooling units. 23-24 m.y.
- 864 Tmm 7c
7b
7a McCoy Mine Tuffs-usually
has three cooling units.
26 m. y.
- 813 Tec 6g
6f
6e
6d
6c
6b
6a Edwards Creek Tuff-ash
flow tuff with up to 7
cooling units. Sometimes
contains sediments (Tsi)
between cooling units.
27 m.y.
- 853 Twt₅ Welded Tuffs-ash flow tuffs
with five recognizable
map units. Unit 4 may
contain 3 cooling units.
Often has sediments (Tsi)
in place of a particular
unit, and may sediment
separating Twt from Tec.
29-30 m.y.
Twt₄ 4c
4b
4a
Twt₃
Twt₂
Twt₁
- 893 Tvo Older volcanics
- 883 Tf Fanglomerate
- 845 Kg Granodiorite to quartz
monzonite. 69-104 m.y.
- 844 Ja Gabbro of the Humboldt lopolith.
150-165 m.y.
- 848 Fo Osabb Formation-sandstone,
conglomerate, shale, minor
ls.
- 888 FCS Cane Spring Formation-massive
limestone and dolomite;
minor shale and conglomerates.
- 868 Fo Augusta Mountain Formation-massive
limestone with minor dolomite,
shale and conglomerate.
- 858 Ff Faveret Formation-thin bedded
ls/calcareous shale and
siltstone dark grey to black.
- 895 Fu
- 878 Fc Conglomerate with purple siltstone
and tuffs in lower part.
- 844 FPr

Tsi 862

Sandstone,
conglomerates
and tuffaceous
sediments.

Tr 866

Undifferentiated rhyolitic
rocks. 22-30 m.y.

Tod 844

Older andesite and
basalts.

Tu- undivided

The rocks were deformed, uplifted and deeply eroded prior to the deposition of the basal Triassic sediments which consist of conglomerates, siltstones, sandstones and minor tuff. The basal member is overlain by several hundred feet of dominantly carbonate sediments of the Favret Formation, the Augusta Mountain Formation and the Cone Springs Formation. The uppermost Triassic unit is the detrital sediments of the Osobb Formation.

The Tertiary volcanic rocks in the McCoy area (Fig. 2) range in age from about 36 m.y. to 15 m.y. (McKee and Stewart, 1971). Sedimentary rocks are found at various horizons within the volcanic units. A considerable thickness of sediments overlie the volcanic rocks to the northwest of the McCoy Mine area. West of the McCoy Mine over 2km² of fossil Quaternary travertine is exposed. The travertine lies unconformably upon the eroded Triassic rocks. The travertine dips gently westward and is only slightly dissected.

Tectonically the area has had a long and complex history. The detailed mapping permitted the construction of detailed geologic cross section (Fig. 3). Reasonable approximations of the fault offsets could be made using the displacement of cooling units on Mike Adams geologic map.

Geochemical Studies

The hydrogeochemical analysis of a water sample from the McCoy Mine water well was one of the manifestations which attracted AMAX to the area. During the exploration drilling in 1980 water was encountered in well 66-8. Table I compares the chemical analyses of the various water samples collected to date.

Table I. Chemical Analyses of McCoy Area Waters

	<u>W10981</u> <u>McCoy HW</u> <u>Sec.9T20NR40E</u>	<u>W13453</u> <u>Well 66-8, 1630'</u> <u>NWSE8T22NR40E</u>	<u>W13454</u> <u>Well 66-8, 2050'</u> <u>NWSE8T22NR20E</u>	<u>W13456</u> <u>Well 66-8,2410'</u> <u>NWSE8T22NR40E</u>
Temp ^{OC}	39	+100	--	--
Flow (gpm)	-	25	--	--
pH	7.05	9.4	9.1	9.0
Cl	22.0	38.0	31.0	31.0
F	4.4	5.6	3.0	4.1
SO ₄	54.0	100.0	100.0	80.0
HCO ₃	611.6	144.0	142.0	204.0
CO ₃	0.0	72.0	24.0	20.0
SiO ₂	44.0	120.0	75.0	62.0
Na	260.0	160.0	98.0	110.0
K	15.0	21.0	14.0	14.0
Ca	43.0	6.6	9.6	6.0
Mg	9.0	2.6	16.0	18.0
Li	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.5
B	1.3	--	-	-
NH ₃	0.74	--	-	-
TDS	1065.3	670.0	513.0	550.0
Tq SiO ₂	98	148	120	112
Tc SiO ₂	66	122	94	83
T Na-K	174	242	250	239
T Na-K-Ca	153	206	197	197

Table I. Continued

	<u>W14377</u> Gilbert Spr. SE34T21NR40E	<u>W14378</u> Spring NE2T20NR40E	<u>W14379</u> Spring NWSW9T22NR38E	<u>W14380</u> Shoshone Spring SE2T22NR38E
Temp ^o C	10	17	18	25
Flow (gpm)	12.0	25.0	2.0	1.0
pH				
Cl				
F				
SO ₄				
HCO ₃				
CO ₃				
SiO ₂	15.0	20.0	37.0	38.0
Na	22.0	17.0	170.0	130.0
K	0.6	0.9	3.3	1.8
Ca	43.0	37.0	4.0	3.0
Mg	7.0	7.0	1.0	1.0
Li				
B				
Ec(K)				
TDS				
Tq SiO ₂	53	63	88	89
Tc SiO ₂	21	31	57	59
T Na-K	126	168	108	91
T Na-K-Ca	-2	6	116	87

Table I. Continued

	<u>W14381</u> <u>Spring</u> <u>SWSW18T21NR39E</u>	<u>W14382</u> <u>Smooth Canyon Spr.</u> <u>NW10T21NR38E</u>	<u>W14383</u> <u>Spring</u> <u>SE16T25NR38E</u>	<u>W14384</u> <u>Spring</u> <u>NW1T25NR39E</u>
Temp ^{OC}	14	15	14	15
Flow (gpm)	5.0	--	20	1.0
pH				
Cl				
F				
SO ₄				
HCO ₃				
CO ₃				
SiO ₂	91.0	38.0	15.0	23.0
Na	52.0	88.0	57.0	170.0
K	8.4	4.5	3.0	24.0
Ca	35.0	62.0	190.0	82.0
Mg	2.0	12.0	71.0	58.0
Li				
B				
Ec(K)				
TDS				
Tq SiO ₂	132	89	53	69
Tc SiO ₂	105	59	21	37
T Na-K	262	166	168	248
T Na-K-Ca	74	49	17	187

Table I. Continued

	<u>W14385</u> <u>Thompson WW</u> <u>Sec10T25NR41E</u>	<u>W14386</u> <u>Hess Spr.</u> <u>Sec29T26NR41E</u>	<u>W14387</u> <u>Red Butte WW</u> <u>SE26T25NR41E</u>	<u>W14750</u> <u>Shoshone Pass WW</u> <u>NW32T22NR39E</u>
Temp ^{OC}	10	15	10	26.5
Flow (gpm)	500.0	2.0	1000	65.0
pH				7.6
Cl				31.0
F				1.1
SO ₄				98.0
HCO ₃				206.0
CO ₃				0.0
SiO ₂	35.0	51.0	69.0	85.0
Na	39.0	62.0	59.0	77.0
K	2.6	2.6	9.8	18.0
Ca	19.0	40.0	33.0	40.0
Mg	5.0	7.0	3.0	10.0
Li				0.1
B				0.4
Ec(K)				689.0
TDS				566.6
Tq SiO ₂	86	103	117	128
Tc SiO ₂	55	73	89	101
T Na-K	185	152	265	302
T Na-K-Ca	48	39	81	208

Table I. Continued

	W14991 Hole-in-Wall <u>SENE2T23NR39E</u>	W14992 McCoy Mine WW <u>Sec9T20NR40E</u>	W14993 Edwards Cr.WW <u>NWNW3T21NR39E</u>	W14994 Water Well <u>Sec2T21NR39E</u>
Temp°C	20.5	42.5	14.5	15.5
Flow (gpm)	3.0	25.0	5.0	5.0
pH	7.5	7.2	8.0	7.6
Cl	78.1	24.0	39.0	26.0
F	0.5	4.2	1.3	0.8
SO ₄	87.0	47.0	80.0	42.0
HCO ₃	120.0	580.0	178.0	130.0
CO ₃	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SiO ₂	48.0	40.0	80.0	61.0
Na	78.0	230.0	110.0	71.0
K	7.7	15.0	9.4	4.8
Ca	50.0	43.0	23.0	14.0
Mg	7.8	8.7	2.1	2.7
Li	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1
B	0.4	1.3	0.6	0.3
Ec(K)				
TDS	477.5	993.5	523.5	352.7
Tq SiO ₂	101	94	122	111
Tc SiO ₂	70	61	97	82
T Na-K	216	183	204	186
T Na-K-Ca	68	157	95	79

Table I. Continued

	W14995 Well 25-9, 1640' <u>NWSW9T22NR40E</u>	W14996 Well 25-9, 1840' <u>NWSW9T22NR40E</u>	W14997 Well 25-9, 2000' <u>NWSW9T22NR40E</u>
Temp ^o C	44.4	48.	54.
Flow (gpm)	25.	30.	30.
pH	8.7	8.2	8.2
Cl	29.0	34.0	45.0
F	2.9	1.7	1.0
SO ₄	64.0	75.0	86.0
HCO ₃	182.0	158.0	156.0
CO ₃	12.0	0.0	0.0
SiO ₂	17.0	25.0	35.0
Na	85.0	69.0	58.0
K	14.0	11.0	12.0
Ca	8.4	19.0	34.0
Mg	20.0	16.0	29.0
Li	0.3	0.2	0.1
B	0.8	0.5	0.4
Ec(K)	624.0	570.0	655.0
TDS	435.4	409.4	456.5
Tq SiO ₂	64	77	89
Tc SiO ₂	25	40	55
T Na-K	264	261	288
T Na-K-Ca	69	53	50

Table I. Continued

	W14998 Well 38-9, 550' SESW9T23NR40E	W14999 Well 38-9, 1200' SESW9T23NR40E	W15000 Well 38-9, 1300' SESW9T23NR40E
Temp ^{OC}	34	47	47
Flow (gpm)	25	115	125
pH	8.4	7.9	7.8
Cl	22.0	23.0	23.0
F	4.2	4.2	4.2
SO ₄	58.0	53.0	57.0
HCO ₃	472.0	538.0	530.0
CO ₃	28.0	0.0	0.0
SiO ₂	44.0	35.0	35.0
Na	230.0	230.0	230.0
K	18.0	16.0	16.0
Ca	24.0	33.0	35.0
Mg	13.0	11.0	12.0
Li	0.3	0.3	0.3
B	1.2	1.2	1.2
Ec(K)	1128.0	1190.0	1201.0
TDS	914.7	944.7	963.7
Tq SiO ₂	97	89	89
Tc SiO ₂	66	55	55
T Na-K	197	188	188
T Na-K-Ca	171	163	162

Chemically the waters appear to fall into three distinct groups (Fig. 3 and Table II). The majority of non-thermal ground waters are mixed cation-anion waters of low salinity and low SiO_2 content (Type I). The thermal waters in the McCoy areas fall into two groups (Fig. 3 and Table II). The thermal waters from the McCoy Mine area (Type III) are characterized by a higher sodium content (Fig. 3) and a low Cl/HCO_3 (Mole) ratio (Table II).

The second groups of thermal waters (Type II) are low to intermediate in sodium content and intermediate to high in potassium content (Fig. 3). Several spring samples fall within the boundary of the Type II waters suggesting a mixing of thermal and meteoric waters. Type II waters tend to have a high Cl/SO_4 (Mole) ratio (Table II); however, the waters from well 66-8 have Cl/SO_4 mole ratio values in the same ranges as those from the McCoy Mine area (Table II).

The hydrological regime in the McCoy area appears to be quite complicated. Chemically, two distinct parent fluids are suggested. One parent fluid diluted with meteoric water gives rise to Type II waters and the second gives rise to the Type III waters.




Figure 3. Na versus K in waters of the McCoy area, Nevada

Table II. Cl/HCO₃ and Cl/SO₄ Mole Ratio Comparison for McCoy Waters

<u>Sample #</u>	<u>Area of Well #</u>	<u>Cl/HCO₃ Mole Ratio</u>	<u>Cl/SO₄ Mole Ratio</u>
W10981	McCoy Mine Well	0.06	1.10
14992	" "	0.07	1.38
14998	38-9	0.08	1.03
14999	38-9	0.07	1.18
1500	38-9	0.07	1.09
13453	66-8	0.45	1.03
13454	66-8	0.38	0.84
13456	66-8	0.26	1.05
14995	25-9	0.27	1.23
14996	25-9	0.37	1.23
14997	25-9	0.50	1.42
14750	Shoshone Pass Well	0.26	0.86
14991	Hole in the Wall Well	1.12	2.43
14993	Edwards Creek Well	0.38	2.25
14994	Edward Creek Valley Well	0.34	1.68

Geophysical Studies

A resistivity survey was run by Mining Geophysical Survey, Inc. during the period February 2 to March 3, 1981. Two profiles were run along lines B-B' and C-C' of the MT survey (Pilkington, 1981). The third profile was done along an east-west line to the south (Figure 4).

The low conductivity zone seen spread 2 line C (Fig. 5) may represent the same zone of low conductivity seen in the MT and the EM-60 survey (Pilkington, 1981). The more resistive zones between the center of spread 2 and spread 1 are over the horst block of pre-Tertiary rocks. On line B the near surface resistivity responses are characteristic of inclined blocks of varying resistivity and probably relate to the block faulting. On line D the area of low resistivity on the west end of the line coincides with an increasing thickness of Tertiary volcanic rocks. The deeper zones of conductive material are probably the same as seen on the MT.

The low resistivity zones may represent conduits for the thermal waters; however, interpretation is complicated by lateral and apparent resistivity effects. Model studies are planned for early 1982.

Exploration Drilling

Two intermediate depth exploration wells were completed in 1981, and a third well was spudded, drilled to 403 feet, cased, and suspended. Well 25-9 located in the NWSW Sec. 9 T22N R40E had a TD of 610 meters (2000') and well 38-9 located in the SESW Sec. 9 T23N R40E had a TD of 620m (2040'). and well 28-18 located in the SWSW Sec. 18 T22NR40E was drilled to 403', cased and suspended.

The exploration wells were drilled as unit wells for the McCoy

Figure 4. Location of resistivity profile lines.




Figure 6

RESISTIVITY SURVEY

Mc Coy Project, Lander and Churchill Counties, Nevada
for
AMAX Exploration Inc., Geothermal Branch




Figure 6. Resistivity survey McCoy Project, Nevada Line D

Federal Geothermal Unit under a Plan of Exploration approved by the U.S. Geological Survey. The generalized drilling plan for the holes was:

1. Move on and rig up.
2. Drill 17-1/2" hole to 20 ft.
3. Run 20 ft. surface conductor.
4. Drill 12-1/2" hole to 10% of TD.
5. Run 9 5/8" casing, cement.
6. Install BOP test.
7. Drill 8-5/8" hole to TD.
8. Run electric logs.
9. Run 2 3/8" tubing, fill with water.
10. Cement top 10 feet of annulus.
11. Install gate valve on top of tubing.

Generalized stratigraphic sections for wells 25-9 and 38-9 are given in Figure 7. Well 25-9 encountered low temperature geothermal fluids at 1640 feet, 1840 feet and 2000 feet. The maximum temperature fluids were at 2000 feet where temperatures are estimated to be 54°C. Chemically the waters are thought to be meteoric waters which circulated to depths sufficient to heat them to the observed temperatures.

Well 38-9 encountered fluids at depths of 550 feet, 1200 feet and 1300 feet. Chemically the geothermal fluids are comparable with those from the McCoy Mine water well.

Well 28-18 will be completed to at least 3000 feet during 1982 in order to effectively test the geophysical anomalies.




Figure 7. Generalized stratigraphic sections for well 25-9 and 38-9

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Adams, Mike, Moore, J. N. , Struhsacker, Eric, 1980, Preliminary geologic map McCoy area, Nevada: Unpublished UURI map.
- Dellechaie, F., 1977, Hydrogeochemistry McCoy geothermal prospect: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Lange, A. L., 1980, The McCoy, Nevada geothermal prospect: Paper presented at Soc. Exploration Geophysicist Ann. Mtg.
- McKee, E. H. and Steward, J. H., 1971, Stratigraphy and K-AR ages of some Tertiary tuffs from Lander and Churchill Counties, Nevada: U. S. Geological Survey Bull 1311-B.
- Mining Geophysical Surveys, Inc., 1981, Resistivity survey McCoy project Churchill and Lander counties, Nevada; AMAX Exploration, Inc. report.
- Olson, H. J., Dellechaie, F., Pilkington, H. D. and Lange, A. L., 1979, the McCoy geothermal prpspect a status report of a possible new discovery in Churchill and Lander Counties, Nevada: Geothermal Resources Council Transactions Vol. 3.
- Pilkington, H. D., 1980, Geochemical study drill cuttings, McCoy: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Pilkington, H. D., 1981, McCoy area: Nevada, Geothermal reservoir assessment case history, Northern Basin and Range, annual report 1 January 1980-31 December 1980 to DOE under Contract DE AC 08-79ET27010.
- Shenker, A. E., 1980, Summary hydrogeochemistry from McCoy Well 66-8: AMAX Exploration, Inc., I.O.M.
- Stewart, J. H. and McKee, E. H., 1977, Geology and mineral deposits of Lander County, Nevada: Nevada Bur. Mines and Geology, Bull. 88.
- Terraphysics, 1980, Telluric-Magnetotelluric survey at McCoy prospect, Churchill County, Nevada: AMAX Exploration, Inc., Report
- Wilden, R. and Speed, R.C., 1974, Geology and Mineral deposits of Churchill County, Nevada: Nevada Bur. Mines and Geology, Bull. 83.
- Wilt, M., Haught, R. and Goldstein, N. E., 1980, An electromagnetic (EM-60) survey of the McCoy geothermal prospect, Nevada: Lawrence Berkely Laboratory, Univ. of California, Berkeley Rpt. 66a.