

Roft River Committee Meeting

12 May 79

Here: Mike, Goldman, Stiger, Dolan, Mikey, Keys, Paul Williams, Bob, Charles more

Not Here: Hank Ramey, Freeze, Leer

Washington Meeting Report -

woc group had thought that wells had limited production capability. However, the limit is the pump - lift, size pump dependant on casing size 9 5/8" - etc..

By changing pumps, could get ~ 4000 gpm -

we are still injection limited - also have to inject deep because of 6 ppm Fluorine, which is 4 ppm above max state standards. -

	13 3/8" case		high temp 9 5/8"		injection		total pumping
	#1	#2	#3	#5	#6	#7	power to do
	1500	970	750	750	1350	550	this = 1.6-1.7
							aw
							out of 5 well
							plant.

If #1 goes down, can't run plant

need 2250 gpm plant + 200 gpm archeap
 + 300 for elect-experiments + 400 non electric experiments
 = 3150 gpm

can inject: 2200 gpm limit on injection side is max well head pressure of 600 psi -

washington has said "no more production wells" - so they are looking @ trying to get larger pumps; cliff McFarland is trying to get thru a larger vande pump - could run #1 @ 1/2 prod if could

get Conger, variable speed pump - secure new well FY 81.

Terra Tele - they prob will do acid frac on #7, then follow it with same on #6? DOE thinks that #6 has cleaned itself up -- ~~at~~ injectability seems to get better with each test.

#6 - at first had ~150 psi skin effects. - Since then have cleaned well, and have done series of 72 hr tests and then a 21 day test (injection) - conclude only 10 psi skin effect. Borehole seems to be enlarging, bottom of hole accumulating crud. - when put in ^{hot} (warm) water, #6 is a good well -- they will also try cold water again. -- they are getting filters to 70 micron size of solids in - they have not seen any plugging -- they are happy about injection tests.

In all wells in basin, there is a thief zone @ 1,200' - 1,300' : 1,400 - 1,600 is best part this zone -

All current stuff suggests previous data not good and skin effects. -

So have decided to have Terra Tek concentrate on #7, at least until after impending 21-day inject test.

#6 is cased to 1700' #6 to 2040'. In #6 1/2 flow goes into first 100' below pipe. So difference between 6 & 7 may be casing internal.

#7 from initial tests appears no skin damage, but simply poor well, whereas #6 was identified as skin damage early on. However, conclusions on #7 are from prelim, low quality data.

Objective of Case Study Review Group - to investigate mud trap, log dam geo the reason, using RRiver as a model. Review group will 1) aid E&G/DOE in identifying new data needed 2) publish a glossiance model, 3) recommend other similar places where model applies - Funds will go till end FY79, but committee will go on till about end calendar 1979. We will have to do new survey work, major expenditures in FY79, while \$ are avail.

SEISMIC REFLECTION - Ackerman will handle -- Marshall

Leed says it is out to USGS -

- about DOE \$90K - ascs put in about \$20K

- what will be done (?) -- will be a contract -

- told him to have Dave call Ted & learn re
Ted's work, etc.

- velocity profiles in wells (?) none shot yet -

- plans were for two cross lines and one
longitudinal line --

FRACTURE IDENTIFICATION

RESERVOIR MODELING - plan had been joint project
w/ Jim Mercer, USGS/Reston - Mercer has been too busy
to do anything -- has perhaps lost interest --

This will probably have to be contracted now or done in house -
The model is finite difference, 2-D, permeability model --
we was going to come up w/ 3 layer case model to
predict reservoir parameters. -- Wairahai model

Current tests would suggest that a fracture
type model must be looked at.

Conclusion: 1) go to Mercer and his boss to see what
he can do. If no interest, 2) try to get something
done in other ways.

R&I has done recent work and has been able to get fracture reservoir model, wellbore model, etc.

S3
LBC
INTERCOMP } all have different models, which Charles has used -

X-RAY WORK AT BOISE STATE - still in contract writing stage -- contract is thin -- they need more samples -- have completed all x-ray except #7. They will do #7 and interpret all data. Jim Sanders -

PETROG ANALYSIS - Idaho Bu Mines Coal -- report expected June

How were samples selected (?). wells 1; 2, clay & helped in selection, but no one knows criteria after that -

Paul Williams - Steve Bressler of USGS has been able to correlate in Inter #1 hole and get nice magnetic reversals --

Estimated accumulation rate 550 ft / million years, which gives basin age of 10 my (using known thickness), which correlates with 8-9 my of volcanic rocks.

He logs this on a well log (?)

Jim Scott USES is developing borehole magnetometer for magnetic reversals & chronologic explanation
Paul - asked about trenching to map soil profiles for directions of fault movement

HARRY
COVINGTON'S WORK - has been getting data on shape --
magnetics would help (it looks like)

Don H. will get a commitment out of Maury Washburn re when
thermal gradient stuff will be out

RAFT RIVER TEST SCHEDULE -

RGI - it will take them 16 days @ 12 hrs cday from
start to begin of test + - + 40 days per well -
so they need 40 total days per well -- they
need 14 days of flow per well for post stim. test.

Scott Keys would like to see reviewer during stimulation/
Proc. test -- idea sort of shot down -

16 days for stimulate }
10 rig for test }
14 test }
40

RGI's costs : open hole packer #5 \$180K
cemented liner \$210K

- A) extend out 2000' etc. -- long lateral extent fracture -- 1000' foot ^{100 bbls}
- B) flow 500' interval, penny shaped crack, up & down - 500' foot ^{2500 bbls}
vertical

A (Large)

B (small)

RREP 5

w/ liner

\$ 276 K

\$ 211 K

w/ open hole packer

\$ 252 K

\$ 185 K

RGP 4

w/ liner

\$ 272 K

\$ 206 K

w/ open hole packer

\$ 247 K

\$ 180 K

larger fract requires more polymer and fluid -

- would use 100 mesh sand to close small fract, get big fract going, follow w/ polymer + 100 mesh sand to extend fract, then follow w/ 16-40 sand, then cap off last bit w/ plastic coated sand that goes in and

"Super Sand"

solidifies to keep sand from flowing back out during production - then wait 12 hrs, clean hole out and test -

Televue - shows in #5 a large, open fracture

4548 - 4560. On order of 8' wide -

#4 - very few open fract. - some tight ones - beds dip to

NE + 20 - 40°. Drilling induced vertical fract

4840' steep fract. 4850' E-W fract - vertical fract \approx 5000 - 5030.

Few more tight fract just above bottom of hole -

4150', 4365' opening clay beds -

4697 is a "bed" - cored across this contact w/ basement

Pre-stimulation Questions: what 200' interval in each hole would be preferable for picking off? - How far should we try to extend the fractures?

There will be a meeting about week of 29th May for discussion of logs & data on #4 for purpose of answering above questions. Meet in Denver. -

- Scott Keys - α -Spec analysis - being done -
- chemical work Tony Allen is doing
- palomares - will only do intermediate depth holes because that is all we have complete core for - work is done in Flagstaff -
- Truendell has done water work - dially will contact him on this

→ Law - get an ESC person going on description of #4 hole core - 16' total core - interface w/ Horny Covington USGS on descriptions, etc.

→ next meeting 10 August at the Raft River Site.

Seiger - Injection into Thief zone -

Just to north of #6 there is a monitor well which tracked the test of #6 closely. It is in the thief zone.

5 MW plant exerts @ 100 psi. Injection pumps are 600 psi, but could save \$ on cost of these pumps by drilling 1-4 shallow wells into this thief zone and using lower pressure pumps.