GL02678

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Geology and Geophysics of the Southern Raft

River Valley Geothermal Area, Idaho,

U.S.A.

By

Paul L. Williams, Don R. Mabey, ... R. Goldt,
Hans Ackermann, Donald R. Hoover,
Kenneth L. Pierce, and Steven S. Oriel

Manuscript prepared for
Second United Nations Symposium on the
Development and Use of Geothermal Resources,
San Francisco, Calif., May 20-29, 1975

Open-file report 75-322
1975

This report is preliminary and has not
been edited or reviewed for conformity
with U.S. Geological Survey standards.

Contents

Illustrations

Table

GEOLOGY AND GEOPHYSICS OF THE SOUTHERN RAFT RIVER VALLEY
GEOTHERMAL AREA, IDAHO, U.S.A.

by

Paul L. Williams, Don R. Mabey, Adel A. R. Zohdy, Hans Ackermann,

Donald B. Hoover, Kenneth L. Pierce, and Steven S. Oriel

U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colorado 80225, U.S.A.

Introduction

A flow of about 2,000 litres per minute of water at bottomhole

temperatures of 147°C has been produced from a 1526-metre-deep well

completed in the Raft River Valley, southern Idaho, early in 1975. The

well was drilled after an integrated geologic, geophysical and hydrologic

exploration program begun a year and a half earlier by the U.S. Geological

Survey in cooperation with the Energy Research and Development Administration

(ERDA). Drilling of additional wells is now (May 1975) in progress.

The southern Raft River Valley near Bridge, Idaho (fig. 1), was

---

Figure 1.--NEAR HERE

---

designated a Known Geothermal Resource Area (KGRA) in 1971 by the U.S.

Geological Survey (Godwin and others, 1971) on the basis of two shallow

wells--Bridge and Crank--that flow boiling water (fig. 2). The boiling wells

and geochemical thermometry suggesting temperatures of about 150°C at depth

had sparked ERDA's interest in the area as a potential site for an

experimental binary-fluid geothermal power plant. The Geological Survey

studies were undertaken to provide a scientific framework for evaluation of

the resource and to test the applicability of various geophysical and geologic

techniques to the study of geothermal resources, and to aid in siting test wells.

Figure 1.--Map of the Raft River Valley
region, Idaho and Utah, showing major
faults (bar and ball on downthrown
side; arrows indicate relative direc-
tion of movement) and anticlines (Jim
Sage Mountains only).

The Raft River Valley is part of an area mapped geologically in
reconnaissance by A. L. Anderson (1931). Ground-water studies by the U.S.
Geological Survey have been carried out over a period of four decades
(Stearns and others, 1938; Nace and others, 1961; Mundorff and Sisco, 1963;
also Walker and others, 1970, in cooperation with the Idaho Department of
Water Administration). Geochemistry of the water from the Bridge and Crank
wells is described by Young and Mitchell (1973).

Surface manifestations and exploration

The presence of a geothermal reservoir in the southern Raft River Valley is evident in only a few places. There is a warm seep ($38^{\circ}C$) near Intermediate depth well (Int) in The Narrows (fig. 2) and altered alluvium around the Bridge well marks the site of a former hot spring. Total dissolved solids in water from the Bridge and Crank wells are 1,720 and 3,360 parts per million, respectively; aquifer temperatures inferred from the silica and Na-K-Ca geothermometers (Young and Mitchell, 1973) are nearly the same as the maximum temperature (147°) measured in the first deep exploration well, RRGE 1. There are no tufa or sinter mounds. Gray Tertiary tuffaceous sediments are altered to light green and locally weather yellow; accumulations of chalcedony locally are present. Lava and flow-breccia exposed in The Narrows are altered from black and brown to yellow along faults and fractures, but plagioclase feldspars remain fresh. The green and yellow colors probably indicate formation of montmorillonitic clays, proving definite but weak and nonpervasive hydrothermal alteration (C. R. Nichols, oral commun., 1974).

The limits of the geothermal reservoir remain undefined. Warm water flows from several irrigation wells throughout the Raft River Valley, and temperatures of 70° were measured on the surface in water leaking from a completed but nonproductive oil test drilled near Malta (fig. 1). Water temperatures of 60° and 38°, respectively, were measured in wells northeast of Albion and near Almo (fig. 1) (Young and Mitchell, 1973).

Initial shallow drilling was begun by the Survey cooperatively with ERDA in 1974, to determine temperatures and flow in the shallow aquifer. A total of 32 auger holes were drilled to depths of about 30 m in and near the Raft River flood plain between Bridge and The Narrows. An offset to the Bridge well was drilled to 123 m. In 1974 and early 1975, 5 core-holes were drilled in cooperation with Idaho Department of Water Administration to intermediate depths of 76 to 434 m to test hydrological, geophysical and geologic models; and deepest of these, #3, encountered water at 90° near the bottom. The first deep hole, Raft River Geothermal Exploration (RRGE) 1, was drilled by a commercial contractor for ERDA, and was completed early in April 1975 to a depth of 1,526 m. The Bridge fault zone was intersected between 1,240 and 1,300 m, and yielded a flow of about 40 litres per second at subsurface temperatures of 140°-147°. Cuttings from oil tests near Malta, Naf, and Strevell (Fig. 1) also yielded valuable information on the geology of the basin.

Geologic setting

The lower Raft River Valley in southern Idaho lies in a north-trending
basin both warped and downfaulted in late Cenozoic time. The basin is in
the northern part of the Basin and Range province near its boundary with the
Snake River Plain. About 60 km long and 20-24 km wide, with an average
surface elevation of 1,400 m, the basin is filled with Cenozoic sediments
to an inferred depth of 1,800-2,000 m. The Raft River flows northward

---

Figure 2.--NEAR HERE

---

through the basin, which opens onto the Snake River Plain. North of the
Raft River basin is the prominent Great Rift system of open fractures in
very young basalt flows that extends northward 50 km to Craters of the Moon
National Monument. The basin is flanked on the west, east, and south by
mountain ranges made up of Tertiary, Paleozoic, and Precambrian rocks,
respectively. On the east are the Sublett Range (higher elevations about
2,000 m) and the Black Pine Mountains (2,900 m) consisting mainly of faulted
Pennsylvanian and Permian sedimentary rocks (R. L. Armstrong and J. F. Smith,
Jr., oral commun., 1974). On the west, the Cotterel and Jim Sage Mountains
(2,500 m), formerly grouped as the Malta Range, are made up of Tertiary
rhyolites and tuffaceous sediments, which in the Jim Sage Mountains define
a broken antiform structure, as first noted by Martin Pruatt (oral commun.,
1973). Directly to the west of the Cotterel and Jim Sage Mountains, and
separated from them by a narrow fault valley, lie the Albion Mountains (3,000 m).
Rising southward from the basin are the Raft River Mountains (3,000 m), one
of the few east-trending mountain ranges in the North American Cordillera.
The Albion and Raft River Mountains expose gneiss-dome complexes of
Precambrian (2.4 b.y.) adamellite (quartz monzonite) mantled by Precambrian

7

Figure 2.—Geologic and gravity map of the southern Raft River Valley

CORRELATION OF MAP UNITS

		Qfy	Qs	Holocene
Qa	Qf	Qfm		Pleistocene
		Qfo		
		Td		Pliocene and Miocene(?)
		Twt		
		Tsu		Pliocene and Miocene
Tsju		Tsj		
Tsjb				
Tsji				
		Tsl		

QUATERNARY

TERTIARY

UNCONFORMITY

Pz

PALEOZOIC

LIST OF MAP UNITS

SURFICIAL
Qa   Alluvium
Qf   Fan gravels
Qfy   Young
Qfm   Middle
Qfo   Old
Qs   Silt
Td   VOLCANIC DOME
Twt   WELDED TUFF
Ts   SALT LAKE FORMATION
Tsu   Upper member
Tsj   Volcanic member at Jim Sage Mountain
Tsju   Upper flow unit
Tsjb   Vitrophyre breccia unit
Tsjl   Lower flow unit
Tsl   Lower member
Pz   PALEOZOIC ROCKS

—————— CONTACT

—————— FAULT--Bar and ball on downthrown side.
         Dotted where concealed

WELLS

Raft River Geothermal Exploration (RRGE)

Intermediate depth (Int)

Deep oil test

——165—— BOUGUER GRAVITY CONTOUR--Interval
         5 milligals

9

and lower Paleozoic metasedimentary rocks and by allochthonous upper

Paleozoic sedimentary rocks (Felix, 1956; Armstrong, 1968; Compton, 1972).

Drill data are demonstrating that the metamorphic complex directly underlies

extensive parts of the Cenozoic fill of the Raft River basin. Borehole

cuttings from the Malta and Strevell oil tests indicate the presence of

metamorphic units resembling those in the Raft River Mountains, and not a

thick succession of unmetamorphosed Paleozoic rocks that would have been

inferred from outcrops.

Rock units and surficial deposits recognized in the southern Raft River

basin and adjacent ranges are summarized in Table 1. Pre-Tertiary units

Table 1.--NEAR HERE.

are those described by Compton (1972); most of these units do not crop out

in the mapped area (Fig. 2).

Table 1.--Rock units and surficial deposits of the southern Raft River basin and adjacent ranges

[Letter symbols refer to map units shown as Fig. 2.]

AGE	DESCRIPTION
Quaternary	
Holocene and	Alluvial and eolian silt (Qs). Alluvium of major drainages (Qa)
Pleistocene	Fan alluvium: Coarse to fine moderately well sorted subangular gravel on piedmont slopes. Young gravels (Qfy) deposited during last pluvial episode; middle gravels (Qfm) deposited during last 2-3 pluvial episodes; old gravels (Qfo) older than third oldest pluvial episode; undivided gravels (Qf) age not determined.
Pleistocene	*Raft Formation: Sand, gravel, silt and clay beneath Raft River Valley (Fig. 2)
Tertiary	
Pliocene and	Volcanic domes (Td). Extrusive and shallow intrusive glassy and lithoidal rhyolite
Miocene(?)	domes containing plagioclase phenocrysts at and north of Sheep Mountain, at Round Mountain, and along south edge of map area. Radiometric ages are: Sheep Mountain dome, 7.8±1.1 m.y. (zircon fission-track, C. W. Naeser, U.S. Geol. Survey) and 8.42±0.20 m.y. (K-Ar feldspar, J. D. Obradovich, U.S. Geol. Survey); Round Mountain dome, 8.3±1.7 m.y. (zircon fission-track, C. W. Naeser).
	Welded tuff (Twt). Thin glassy rhyolite ash-flow tuff on Cedar Knoll, and in Malta and Naf wells. Radiometric age, 7.0±2.0 m.y. (zircon fission-track, C. W. Naeser). Intercalated in upper member of Salt Lake Formation.

Pliocene and Miocene

Salt Lake Formation. Total thickness, about 1,800 m. In western part of basin, divided by volcanic member at Jim Sage Mountain into upper and lower members. Tuffaceous sandstone, siltstone and conglomerate. In RRGE 1, the upper 670 m is light-green and gray tuffaceous sandstone and siltstone and coarse-grained sandstone and conglomerate; the lower 570 m is light-green bedded tuff, tuffaceous siltstone and sandstone, and tan calcareous siltstone and laminated shale. In the Naf well, the upper 1,000 m is dominantly gray and tan tuffaceous sandstone and siltstone; the lower 260 m is mostly conglomerate and sandstone.

Upper member (Tsu); Gray and light-green tuff, tuffaceous sandstone and siltstone, and buff and gray conglomerate.

Volcanic member at Jim Sage Mountain: Consists of rhyolite flows (Tsj), divided into upper (Tsju) and lower (Tsjl) units where separated by a vitrophyre breccia unit (Tsjb). Flows 1-50 m thick of black glassy and red-brown porphyritic-aphanitic calc-alkali rhyolite containing phenocrysts of oligoclase-andesine and pigeonite; upper unit has normal magnetic polarity; most flows in lower unit are magnetically reversed. Vitrophyre breccia unit consists of black glass clasts a few cm to 2 m in diameter in a yellow and orange matrix of hydrated glass; rare tongues of glassy lava have reversed magnetic polarity; unit replaced laterally by bedded tuff in southern Jim Sage Mountains. Radiometric dates on upper flow unit: 9.2 ± 0.5 m.y. (K-Ar whole rock, Armstrong and others, 1975); 9.4 ± 1.6 m.y. (zircon fission-track, C. W. Naeser).

Lower member (Tsl): Gray and white thin-bedded to massive tuff and tuffaceous sandstone, white to light-green shale and siltstone, and sparse beds of fine-grained conglomerate.

13

Permian and Pennsylvanian	Oquirrh Formation: Dark-gray sandy limestone and calcareous sandstone
Pennsylvanian and Mississippian	*Manning Canyon(?) Shale: Dark-gray phyllite
Ordovician	*Fish Haven(?) Dolomite: Gray and cream-colored metamorphosed dolomite
	*Eureka(?) Quartzite: White metaquartzite
	Pogonip(?) Group, Undivided: Tan-weathering impure marble
Cambrian(?)	*Schist of Mahogany Peaks: Dark-brown biotite-muscovite schist
	*Quartzite of Clarks Basin: Quartzite with thin muscovite-biotite schist interbeds
Precambrian(?)	*Schist of Stevens Springs: Fine-grained muscovite-quartz schist and graphite phyllite
	*Quartzite of Yost: White, locally green, muscovitic and hematitic quartzite
	*Schist of upper Narrows: Dark-brown biotite quartzofeldspathic schist and gneiss; occurs in RRGE 1 from 1,390-1,433 m
	*Elba Quartzite: White to pale-tan muscovitic quartzite. Occurs in RRGE 1 at 1,433-1,518 m
Precambrian	*Older schist: Brown mica schist
	*Adamellite: Bodies of massive and gneissic porphyritic adamellite; in part intrusive into Precambrian(?) metamorphic rocks and in part older than those rocks. Forms gneiss domes in western Raft River Range and Albion Range. Occurs in RRGE 1 below 1,518 m

---

*Unit does not crop out in map area, but is present in nearby area and/or in subsurface.

Geophysical studies

Gravity measurements

Gravity stations were established at 330 points in the area of Figure 2.
The data were reduced to the complete Bouguer anomaly assuming a density of
2.45 g/cm^3. The procedures used were designed to produce Bouguer anomaly
values accurate to 0.2 mgal (milligal) except in areas of high local
topographic relief where the uncertainty in the terrain correction may be
as large as 0.4 mgal. The gravity map of the region (Mabey and Wilson, 1973)
shows a series of lows along the entire course of the Raft River; the low in
the southern Raft River basin is one of these. Bouguer anomalies rise toward
highs over the Black Pine and Raft River Mountains and a high centered over
the alluvium south of Sheep Mountain in the eastern Jim Sage Mountains. The
gravity low is produced mostly by the density contrast between the Cenozoic
sedimentary and volcanic rocks, calculated from the data to be about 2 km
thick, and the more dense pre-Cenozoic "basement" rocks. Relatively small
variations in the gravity field superimposed on the large low may reflect
mass anomalies within the Cenozoic rocks or within the basement rocks.

Aeromagnetic data

An aeromagnetic survey of part of the area was flown with north-south flight lines 800 m apart and 1,800 m above sea level. The magnetic data are shown as a residual map in Figure 3. On the east side of the Jim Sage Mountains

Figure 3.--NEAR HERE

the magnetic anomalies correlate with the mapped distribution of volcanic rocks. Major highs and lows are associated with normally and reversely magnetized units. A general correlation between magnetic intensity and the gravity high south of Sheep Mountain suggests the basement rock to be slightly magnetic. The absence of large magnetic anomalies within the area of the major gravity low suggests that volcanic rock is not a major part of the basin fill. Two elongate magnetic highs south and east of Sheep Mountain may be produced either by volcanic rocks or by magnetic units within the basement rocks.

Seismic refraction measurements

Seismic refraction spreads were obtained in an area extending from the large gravity low in the central part of the Raft River Valley (Fig. 4)

Figure 4.--NEAR HERE

southwestward into The Narrows and westward from the low, across alluvial fans east of the Jim Sage Mountains. All spreads were shot from both directions, but complete reverse basement coverage was obtained on only part of the spreads.

Three major velocity units were mapped: 5.2 to 6.7 km/sec for the pre-Tertiary basement rocks, about 4 km/sec for Tertiary volcanic rocks, and less than 4 km/sec for Tertiary sedimentary rocks.

Figure 3.--Aeromagnetic map of the
southern Raft River Valley. Contour
interval 100 gammas.

VELOCITY

| | < 2.5 km/sec |
| 2.5-3.0 km/sec |
| 3.0-3.5 km/sec |
| 3.5-3.8 km/sec |
| 4.2-4.6 km/sec |
| 5.2-6.7 km/sec |

2000m
N
E
2000m
1000m
2000m

No data
BRIDGE FAULT
No data
No data

THE NARROWS

Figure 4.--Seismic profiles of the southern Raft River Valley

18

Three areas of different seismic velocities that correlate well with geologic and topographic features were defined in the Cenozoic rocks. One area is confined largely to the central part of the valley, but it also extends onto lower parts of the alluvial fans; in most places the velocity sections are typical of those expected from a basin containing a thick succession of poorly consolidated sediments. The second area is confined to the alluvial fans. Velocities in the range expected for lava flows (velocities are higher than those encountered at comparable depth in the first area) occur at depth less than 400 m. The third area is in and near The Narrows where high-velocity rocks (volcanics) are near the surface.

A typical velocity regime for water-saturated unconsolidated or partly consolidated basin fill is a gradual velocity increase with depth from about 1.5 to 2.5 km/sec. Much of the valley area is underlain by rocks of these velocities; nowhere do interpreted velocities exceed 3.0 km/sec. From the Bridge fault to The Narrows in a strip about 1.5 km wide, layers with 2.5 km/sec velocities are within a few tens of metres below the surface, suggesting hydrothermal induration of the sediments.

Basement velocities vary between approximately 5.2 and 6.7 km/sec, probably indicating lithologic differences or local fracturing.

Audiomagnetotelluric soundings

Sixty-eight audiomagnetotelluric (AMT) sounding stations were occupied in the southern Raft River Valley. At each station two soundings were made, one for north-south and the other for east-west orientation of the telluric line. Scalar resistivities were calculated at each of ten frequencies in the range 8 Hz to 18,600 Hz to define the sounding curves, and maps were prepared for several of the frequencies to delineate areas of anomalous conductivity. The station spacing of 2 to 3 km defines only the gross conductivity variations in the area.

Figure 5 shows two AMT apparent resistivity maps made at 26 Hz for

---

Figure 5.--NEAR HERE

---

each orientation of the telluric line. Differences in the maps reflect the presence of lateral resistivity variations near the sounding site. The range in apparent resistivity values is from about 2 to 200 ohm-m at 26 Hz. The skin depths (which are the approximate exploration depth) for these resistivities at 26 Hz, are 140 to 1,400 m.

Examination of the two AMT maps shows that the most prominent resistivity high is just east of The Narrows. This correlates with a north-trending structural high seen on the gravity map and a correlative high in the total field data. The differences in apparent resistivity between the two orientations indicate that the edge of the body was close to the station, and together with the gravity anomaly imply a narrow body. Fair correlation is evident between the AMT and gravity high near Sheep Mountain.

The largest AMT low, in the vicinity of the hot wells, is defined by the 14 ohm-m contour.

Figure 5.--Audiomagnetotelluric apparent resistivity maps of the southern-
Raft River Valley. Resistivity contours shown are a logarithmic
interval in ohm-metres.

21

Direct-current resistivity survey

A bipole-dipole total field resistivity survey consisting of 269 total field stations occupied about a current bipole 3.22 km in length. At each station the potential differences were recorded between three potential electrodes (M, N and N') placed at the corners of a triangle and the electric field components were calculated from the approximations.

$$E_{MN} = \frac{V_{MN}}{MN}, \quad E_{MN'} = \frac{V_{MN'}}{MN'}, \quad E_{NN'} = \frac{V_{NN'}}{NN'}.$$

These three components were added vectorially, using polar plots, to obtain the direction and the magnitude of the total electric field E_T. The lengths of the sides of the measured triangle ranged roughly from 30 to 100 m. Electric currents in the range of 40 to 60 amperes were provided from a 40 kVA truck-mounted generator, and the differences in potential at the field stations were measured on potentiometric chart recorders.

Figure 6 shows the normalized (or reduced) apparent resistivity map

---

Figure 6.--NEAR HERE

---

which is obtained by calculating the ratio between the observed and theoretical apparent resistivities for horizontal layering beneath the center of the current bipole (Zohdy, 1973; Zohdy and Stanley, 1974). In general, areas outlined by values greater than unity indicate that the section contains more resistive materials or that basement rocks are shallower than at a sounding made at the current bipole, or both. Conversely, areas outlined by contour values less than unity designate the opposite. It should be noted, however, that false lows and highs may be caused by the presence of steeply dipping faults separating media of large differences in resistivity.

Figure 6.--Normalized bipole-dipole
resistivity map of the southern Raft
River Valley. Resistivity contours
shown are a logarithmic interval in
ohm-metres.

Seventy-nine symmetric Schlumberger soundings were made. The maximum electrode spacing (AB/2) for most soundings ranged from AB/2 = 914 m (3,000 ft) to AB/2 = 3,660 m (12,000 ft). All the soundings were automatically processed and interpreted (Zohdy, 1974a, 1975; Zohdy and others, 1975) using a DEC-10 digital computer and a Hewlett Packard 7203A graphic plotter. Equivalent solutions to the automatically obtained ones were derived by adjusting the corresponding D.Z. (Dar Zarrouk) curves (Zohdy, 1974b).

Figure 7 shows two resistivity sections based on some of these soundings.

Figure 7.--NEAR HERE

The high-resistivity material (>80 ohm-m) underlying most of the section is pre-Cenozoic basement rock. Resistivities between 20 and 80 ohm-m probably reflect volcanic rock or coarse clastic sediments. Resistivities below 20 ohm-m are probably finer grained sediments. In the middle part of both sections is a low-resistivity layer (3-8 ohm-m) in the Salt Lake Formation with an average thickness of about 1 km. Drilling data indicate that this layer is fine grained, consisting of silt and clay, and is weakly hydrothermally altered.

Self-potential measurements

A self-potential (SP) survey was made in the area of known hot wells and warm seeps, from The Narrows to the vicinity of Bridge. The SP map, Figure 8,

---

Figure 8.--NEAR HERE

---

was made with an electrode spacing of 500 m and so does not define short-wavelength anomalies. No large-amplitude anomalies were found; the maximum observed was about 60 mV, and anomalies are in part masked by topographic effects seen as more negative potentials as one goes higher up the pediment slopes.

The Narrows

2 KM
NORTH

2 KM
EAST

2 KM

| | Variable resistivity |
	>8 ohm-metres
	8-20 ohm-metres
	20-60 ohm-metres
	>60 ohm-metres

Figure 7.--Resistivity profiles of the southern Raft River Valley

25

Figure 8.--Self-potential map of part
of the southern Raft River Valley.

Two regions show positive SP anomalies in areas of known near-surface hot water. One is a narrow north-trending zone just east of The Narrows (A). The other (B) extends through the Bridge well and trends north-northeast; it is flanked about 2 km of its length by associated negative zones which presumably are due to the deeper negative source pole. This anomaly correlates with the ridge fault which is assumed to be a major conduit for the near-surface hot water in the area.

A large positive anomaly (C) found just south of the area of hot wells on the south side of the Raft River does not appear to correlate with other geophysical data. However, it is coincident with a depositional segment of an alluvial fan and is at the junction of lineaments seen on aerial photographs. The significance of this anomaly is not yet clear.

Summary

In summary, the gravity data show accurately the gross structure of the basin, and yield an approximate thickness of the Cenozoic basin fill. Magnetic anomalies are related primarily to the volcanic rocks and are useful in inferring their distribution and structure. The AMT survey provided a preliminary indication of the resistivity anomalies related to lithology and structure. The refraction seismic and direct current resistivity surveys provide information on the thickness and lithology of basin fill, and location of major faults. The significance of the self-potential survey results has not been determined, but anomalies may be related to near-surface circulation of hot water along faults.

An evaluation of the geothermal resources of the southern Raft River Valley and similar areas requires an understanding of the geology in three dimensions. This can be obtained only through knowledge of the regional geology and geophysics, detailed geologic mapping in the immediate area of interest, intensive geophysical surveys, and test drilling. We have not discovered any geophysical technique for the direct detection of thermal waters.

Structure

The geometry of the Raft River basin is well defined by the gravity survey. Thicknesses of the Cenozoic basin fill predicted from gravity, resistivity and seismic data are in close agreement and are confirmed by drilling at RRGE 1, where Precambrian rocks were encountered at a depth of 1,390 m. The concealed basement high east of Sheep Mountain (Mabey and Wilson, 1973) trends northwest across the northern Jim Sage Mountains and apparently is an extension of the Big Bertha gneiss dome of the Albion Mountains (Armstrong, 1968); Intermediate drill hole 5 encountered Precambrian(?) adamellite at 210 m, a depth predicted from the gravity study.

The Cenozoic rocks, including Pleistocene fan gravels, are cut by numerous faults that in a general way parallel the east, west, and south basin margins (Fig. 1). The faults with greatest displacement, reflected in steep gravity and normalized total field resistivity gradients, are (1) the north-trending Bridge fault; (2) a fault system along the west front of the Black Pine Mountains; and (3) a concealed east-west fault, the Naf fault, in the southern part of the basin at about the latitude of Round Mountain (Fig. 1). Generally, the faults show downward displacement toward the center of the basin; eastward dips in bedding 15° to 30° on the east flank of the Jim Sage Mountains anticline augment basinward stratigraphic throw on the faults. Faults are inferred to dip 60°-70°, based on measurement of fractures in core from Intermediate drill hole 3, direct measurement of an exposed fault plane in the volcanic member of the Salt Lake Formation at Jim Sage Mountain, gravity expression, and the geometry of intersection of the Bridge fault in RRGE 1.

Age of latest movement on the faults is inferred from study of loessic soils mantling the alluvial fans. The north-trending faults near Bridge cut "older" fan surfaces mantled by as much as 3 m of loess reflecting at least four depositional-weathering episodes, indicating an age older than the fourth pluvial interval before the present. The same faults do not cut, and are covered by, fans of "middle" age, which are mantled by 0.6 to 1.5 m of loess deposited in two or three pluvial episodes. If the fourth from youngest pluvial is middle Pleistocene, then the most recent movement on the faults was several hundred thousand years before present.

Known occurrences of thermal waters above $100^{o}C$ in the southern Raft River basin are located near the intersection of the north-trending normal faults with the Narrows structure (Fig. 1), a northeast-trending linear feature with regional geophysical expression, probably a basement shear, that passes just south of the Jim Sage Mountains. Nearly coincident with this structure is a concealed northeast to east-northeast fault through The Narrows that separates widely different structural styles in the Salt Lake Formation, and is expressed by the gravity and resistivity. The Bridge fault and other north-trending fault sets do not cross this structure.

The drill site for RRGE 1 was selected near the intersection of the Narrows structure with the Bridge fault, and the well was predicted to intersect the Bridge fault and produce hot water at or below 1,400 m; actually, the fault zone and flow of water was encountered between 1,240 and 1,320 m. Seismic and resistivity studies predicted penetration of basement rocks in the well at a depth or about 1,600 and 1,400 m respectively; actual depth to basement is 1,390 m. The seismic study had showed low-velocity basement under the well site, due probably to fractured rock, and this proved to be so.

Geothermal model for the southern Raft River basin

Geochemical and other data suggest that the Raft River geothermal system is typical of low-temperature hot water systems as described by White, Muffler, and Truesdell (1971). The system apparently is self-sealing. Masses of secondary silica and calcite do not occur at the surface, but a silica caprock was encountered at a depth of 1,370-1,373 m in RRGE 1; many fractures in core from the intermediate-depth wells are filled with chalcedony and calcite. The high chloride content of the waters (1,000 ppm and more) and aquifer temperatures no higher than 150° clearly indicate a hot-water rather than vapor-dominated system.

The southern Raft River Valley geothermal system is probably the result of deep circulation of meteoric water along major faults; the most recent igneous activity in the southern part of the basin apparently took place between 7 and 10 million years ago, and any related intrusive masses are too old to be an important heat source. We propose a model in which meteoric water from the Albion, Goose Creek and Raft River Mountains, which have relatively high precipitation of about 800 m/yr, collects in deep Cenozoic fill in the upper Raft River basin west of The Narrows, and in the southernmost Raft River Valley, perhaps with minor contributions from ranges east of the basin. Some of this water descends along faults to depths sufficient to heat it to 145°. Heat-flow values of 2-3 microcal/cm^2/sec occur in the southern flank of the Snake River Plain (Urban and Diment, 1975), which permits heating to 145° at depths of 3-5 km. Heated water then migrates upward along The Narrows structure and north-trending faults, and is tapped by wells intersecting these structures. Faults are doubtless only parts of a conduit system that includes permeable aquifers in the Salt Lake Formation, fractured zones in the Precambrian rocks, and perhaps, gently dipping thrust faults in Paleozoic and Precambrian rocks.

References

Anderson, A. L., 1931, Geology and mineral resources of eastern Cassia County, Idaho: Idaho Bur. Mines and Geology Bull. 14, 69 p.

Armstrong, R. L., 1968, Mantled gneiss domes in the Albion Range, southern Idaho: Geol. Soc. America Bull., v. 79, p. 1295-1314.

Armstrong, R. L., Leeman, W. P., and Malde, H. E., 1975, K-Ar dating, Quaternary and Neogene volcanic rocks of the Snake River Plain, Idaho: Am. Jour. Sci., v. 275, p. 225-251.

Compton, R. R., 1972, Geologic map of the Yost quadrangle, Box Elder County, Utah, and Cassia County, Idaho: U.S. Geol. Survey Misc. Geol. Inv. Map I-672.

Felix, C. E., 1956, Geology of the eastern part of the Raft River Range, Box Elder County, Utah, in Guidebook to the Geology of parts of northwestern Utah: Utah Geol. Soc. no. 11, p. 76-97.

Godwin, L. H., Haigler, L. B., Rioux, R. L., White, D. E., Muffler, L. J. P., and Wayland, R. G., 1971, Classification of public lands valuable for geothermal steam and associated geothermal resources: U.S. Geol. Survey Circ. 647, 17 p.

Mabey, D. R., and Wilson, C. W., 1973, Bouguer gravity anomaly map of the southern Raft River area, Cassia County, Idaho: U.S. Geol. Survey open-file report.

Mundorff, M. J., and Sisco, H. G., 1963, Ground-water in the Raft River Basin, Idaho, with special reference to irrigation use, 1956-60: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1619-CC, 23 p.

Nace, R. L., and others, 1961, Water resources of the Raft River basin, Idaho-Utah: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 1587, 138 p.

Stearns, H. T., Crandall, Lynn, and Steward, W. G., 1938, Geology and
ground-water resources of the Snake River Plain in southeastern Idaho:
U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 774, 268 p.

Urban, T. C., and Diment, W. H., 1975, Heat flow on the south flank of the
Snake River Rift: Geol. Soc. America Abs. with Programs, v. 7, no. 5,
p. 648.

Walker, E. H., Dutcher, L. C., Decker, S. O., and Dyer, K. L., 1970, The
Raft River Basin, Idaho-Utah as of 1966: a reappraisal of the water
resources and effects of ground-water development: Idaho Dept. Water
Admin. Water Inf. Bull. 19, 95 p.

White, D. E. Muffler, L. J. P., and Truesdell, A. H., 1971, Vapor-dominated
hydrothermal systems compared with hot-water systems: Econ. Geology,
v. 66, p. 75-97.

Williams, P. L., Pierce, K. L., McIntyre, D. H., and Schmidt, P. W., 1974,
Preliminary geologic map of the southern Raft River valley, Cassia
County, Idaho: U.S. Geol. Survey open-file report.

Young, H. W., and Mitchell, J. C., 1973, Geothermal investigations in Idaho--
Part 1, Geochemistry and geologic setting of selected geothermal waters:
Idaho Dept. Water Admin. Water Inf. Bull. 30, 43 p.

Zohdy, A. A. R., 1973, Total field resistivity mapping (abs.): Geophysics,
v. 38, p. 1230.

_____1974a, A computer program for the automatic interpretation of Schlumberger
sounding curves over horizontally stratified media: U.S. Dept. Commerce,
Natl. Tech. Inf. Service, Springfield, Va. 22161, PB-232 703, 25 p.

_____1974b, The use of Dar Zarrouk curves in the interpretation of VES data:
U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1313-D, 41 p.

_____1975, Automatic interpretation of Schlumberger curves using modified Dar Zarrouk function: U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1313-E, 39 p.

Zohdy, A. A. R., and Stanley, W. D., 1974, A computer program for the calculation of bipole-dipole apparent resistivity maps over horizontally stratified media: U.S. Dept Commerce, Natl. Tech. Inf. Service, Springfield, Va. 22161, PB-273 727, 10 p.

Zohdy, A. A. R., Jackson, D. B., and Bisdorf, R. J., 1975, Schlumberger soundings and total field measurements in the Raft River geothermal area, Idaho: U.S. Geol. Survey open-file report 75-130, 5 p.