# A. C. Gringarten, P. A. Witherspoon, and Yuzo Ohnishi

27

.ino€

ed ect with

Spectrone.

and 1 To

1. Ser 12

R. Scale

Ca-Lurence

evidenses

Supérieur. Volcand

E. Tongara

th del

7-72,198

n). pp. isi

ochimien.

equilibre to

of Paris Y.

, Science

Zubkow.

antie www

gal data, &

Cilauea was

37, 1189.00

ns and see

A review !

a. Contract

nol. 法章

is a took lite

7-3474、35

iber in the

G. Lunsey

G. Lucar

recent wat

mposition

volcanach

entrione \$

Vertur, Kari

auea, 💖

か了)。 42、195<sup>章</sup>

974. is, in ≇\*\*

4, 19**67.** birs. in **F**er

ination #

46.

R. P. LOWELL

School of Geophysical Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332

their paper by Gringarten et al. [1975] points out the stant tesult that the extraction of geothermal energy are efficient if the water passes through a multiple sector than if the water passes through a single fracticular, their results show that a given flow rate mong N fractures gives a higher outlet temperature and of time to than if the same amount of fluid flows a single fracture.

errors to me, however, that their model is unnecessarily and and hence the physical significance of the model or rangely obscured. For this reason I wish to show that the same results may be obtained by solving the conference of a single fracture and by treating the areal system as a number of N independent, vertical

enations for a single fracture, based on the same princienations as those of *Gringarten et al.* [1975], have been a B diarsson [1969]. With the use of the same notaengation of al. [1975] used, they are

$$pro_{T}\mathcal{Q}(\partial T_{T}/\partial z) = 2K_{R}(\partial T_{R}/\partial x)|_{z=0}$$
 (1)

$$\partial^{\perp} T_{\scriptscriptstyle R}/\partial x^2 = (1/a_{\scriptscriptstyle R})(\partial T_{\scriptscriptstyle R}/\partial t)$$
 (2)

$$T_1(\ldots, z, 0) = T_{R0} \tag{3}$$

$$T_{\mathcal{F}}(x,0,t) = T_{\mathcal{W}_0} \tag{4}$$

 $A_{1}$   $F_{1}$   $A_{2}$ . Q is the volume flow rate per meter of  $F_{2}$   $G_{1}$ .  $F_{2}$  is the initial temperature of the formation, while temperature at which the water is injected into the line solution to (1)-(4) can be found straightful to water temperature being given as

$$= T_{xy} + (T_{k0} - T_{w0}) \operatorname{erf} \frac{K_R z}{c_w \rho_w Q(a_R t)^{1/2}}$$
 (5)

S) shows that the initial temperature of the water is fracture is  $T_{R0}$ , and that the outlet temperature of the slowly with increasing time. An important feasible thermal systems is exhibited by (5). For a given and fracture height the ratio

$$(T_{w}(h, t) - T_{w_0})/(T_{R_0} - T_{w_0})$$
 (6)

relate of  $L/Q(t)^{1/2}$ . In practice, a geothermal system relate effectively once the outlet temperature falls value. Therefore the ratio (6) is fixed by prac-

11976 by the American Geophysical Union.

tical considerations, and (5) shows that the smaller the flow rate, the longer the geothermal system can operate effectively. Moreover, Bodvarsson [1970] has shown that a lower flow rate leads to a greater total yield of hot water. This result also follows from (5). If the ratio (6), a time of operation, and fracture height are chosen, (5) can be used to calculate the flow rate Q. With the use of the same numerical data as Gringarten et al. [1975] used and a time of 20 yr the result is Q = 0.146 cm<sup>3</sup>/s per unit fracture length, which is nearly identical to the result of Gringarten et al. [1975]. To get the correct total flow through the geothermal system, one simply chooses a fracture length (say 1 km) and adds the results from a number of N independent fractures. To obtain the total flow assumed by Gringarten et al. [1975], N is equal to 10.

The reason why the independent fracture model gives the same results can be seen by consideration of the amount of conductive cooling of the hot rock which takes place over the time of energy extraction. The amount of conductive cooling at any horizontal distance L from a fracture is represented by the Fourier number  $N_F = a_R t/L^2$ . For the amount of conductive cooling to be small  $N_F < \frac{1}{9}$ . Therefore in order for the fractures to be considered thermally independent at a time  $t_0$ , the condition  $L \ge 3(a_R t_0)^{1/2}$  must be satisfied where L is the separation between the fractures. For  $t_0 = 20$  yr we obtain L > 70 m. This result is clearly substantiated by Figure 5 of Gringarten et al. [1975], since the outlet temperature shown is essentially independent of fracture separation for separations of greater than 80 m after a time of 20 yr. Moreover, there appears to be little thermal interference after 20 yr provided the separation is greater than 40 m. Consequently, the essential results of Gringarten et al. [1975] can be represented adequately by a model based on temperature conditions determined for a single fracture, the total geothermal system being represented by the sum of the results for N independent frac-

#### REFERENCES

Bodvarsson, G., On the temperature of water flowing through fractures, J. Geophys. Res., 74, 1987-1992, 1969.

Bodvarsson, G., An estimate of the natural heat resources in a thermal area in Iceland; Proceedings of the United Nations symposium on the development and utilization of geothermal resources, Pisa, 1979, in *Geothermics, Spec. Issue 2*, vol. 2, part 2, pp. 1289–1293, 1970.
Gringarten, A. C., P. A. Witherspoon, and Y. Onishi, Theory of heat extraction from fractured hot dry rocks, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 80, 1120-1124, 1975.

(Received April 17, 1975; accepted August 25, 1975.)



UNIVERSITY OF UTAH RESEARCH INSTITUTE EARTH SCIENCE LAB.

JANUARY 10, 1974

# Reply

## A. C. GRINGARTEN

Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières, Service Géologique National, Orléans, France

### P. A. WITHERSPOON

Department of Civil Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

#### YUZO OHNISHI

Department of Civil Engineering, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

We agree with R. P. Lowell that if one is interested only in those times when interference between fractures is negligible, as in our example, a simplified model for heat extraction that is obtained by combining independent single fractures would be sufficient. Lowell's equation (5) can actually be obtained from our equation (A18) by taking the limit as  $x_e \to \infty$ , which corresponds to the curve for  $x_{ED} = \infty$  in Figure 3.

In a number of cases, however, it will be necessary to know

the temperature drop after interference occurs. This would be the case, for example, when a new system of fractures is created to supplement the output from a previous system when temperatures have begun to drop and the hot waters from both systems are to be mixed before being utilized. In such a case the temperature cannot be obtained from Lowell's equation (5), and (A18) in our paper would have to be used.

(Received August 14, 1975; accepted August 25, 1975.)

Copyright © 1976 by the American Geophysical Union.

