

GL03530

Reply<sup>1</sup>

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
EARTH SCIENCE LAB.

KI-ITI HORAI<sup>2</sup> AND AMOS NUR<sup>3</sup>

Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

We think this is a good opportunity for restating the summary of our paper 'Relationship among Terrestrial Heat Flow, Thermal Conductivity, and Geothermal Gradient,' which appeared in the April 10, 1970, issue of the *Journal of Geophysical Research*, pages 1985-1991.

We found that in many continental geological provinces heat flow  $Q$  is correlated positively to thermal conductivity  $K$ . The most natural interpretation of this observation is that it exemplifies the principle of thermal conduction in an inhomogeneous medium, in which the flow of heat tends to converge where the conductivity is high. We illustrated in our paper the nature of the phenomenon by a simple model in which an ellipsoid of anomalous conductivity is embedded in a half-space with the two principal axes of the ellipsoid lying on the boundary surface of the half-space. Naidu [1970] seems to elaborate this problem by using a model of randomly distributed thermal conductivity, which enables us to estimate the anisotropy of the medium from the variances of heat flow and thermal conductivity.

On the other hand, in some of the continental geological provinces, quite a high correlation has been found between heat flow  $Q$  and the rate of heat generation  $A$  by Roy *et al.* [1968] and Lachenbruch [1968]. Since the validity of this relationship is undeniable and its significance for the interpretation of heat flow is far-reaching, we thought the interrelationship between the relationship of heat flow to con-

ductivity and the relationship of heat flow to rate of heat generation must be considered. From our observation

$$Q = a + bK \quad (1)$$

and the observation by Roy *et al.* [1968] and Lachenbruch [1968]

$$Q = \alpha + \beta A \quad (2)$$

the correlation between the thermal conductivity  $K$  and the rate of heat generation  $A$  is readily inferred.

In summary, it is not necessary to assume the relationship between thermal conductivity and rate of heat generation as long as we interpret the heat flow to thermal conductivity correlation by thermal conduction in a heterogeneous medium. However, it becomes necessary to infer the relationship between thermal conductivity and rate of heat generation if the relationship of heat flow to thermal conductivity is to be compatible with the relationship of heat flow to rate of heat generation.

*Acknowledgments.* This short note was prepared when one of us (K. H.) was staying at the Lunar Science Institute, Houston, Texas, under the joint support of the Universities Space Research Association and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Manned Spacecraft Center under contract NSR 09-051-001.

## REFERENCES

- Lachenbruch, A. H., Preliminary geothermal model of the Sierra Nevada, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **73**, 6977-6989, 1968.  
Naidu, P. S., Heat flow in random medium: First-order theory, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **79**, 73-81, 1970.  
Ray, R. F., D. D. Blackwell, and F. Birch, Heat generation of plutonic rocks and continental heat flow provinces, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **6**, 1-12, 1968.

<sup>1</sup> Lunar Science Institute Contribution No. 23.

<sup>2</sup> Now at The Lunar Science Institute, Houston, Texas 77058.

<sup>3</sup> Now at the Department of Geophysics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305.

Comments on Paper

Lawrence Radiation

In their discussion of strain in central California, Scholz and [unclear] show that measured fault movements explain long-distance geodimeter data. They propose as one possible mechanism that creep is occurring on geologic faults.

Surveys for horizontal movement of Pleasanton faults, in the western Valley of California [Gibson and [unclear] 1968], indicate that measured movement is taking place on faults. One might consider geologically minor faults with the neighboring Calaveras faults. Surveys of horizontal movement were made in 1964 by the U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey and were repeated in 1965. (The surveys were part of an engineering study by the Lunar Science Institute to judge the suitability of an area for construction of a 200-Gewatt reactor; the laboratory was unable to support the measurements at the short one-year time base made in 1964 to determine the magnitude of horizontal movement. However, there was a consistent directional trend to vectors from quadrilateral survey figures that suggested a right-lateral component.) Mrs. Dorothy Radbruch of the [unclear]

<sup>1</sup> Temporarily at Danish Atomic Energy Commission, Research Establishment Roskilde, Denmark.

Copyright © 1971 by the American Geophysical Union.