Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta. Vol. 42, pp. 1487 to 1493 C Pergamon Press Ltd. 1978. Printed in Great Britain

FC FC

582

0016-7037/78/1001-148750200/0

UNIVERSITY OF U

RESEARCH INSTITUTE

EARTH SCIENCE LAB.

# Reversible control of aqueous aluminum and silica during the irreversible evolution . of natural waters

## Tomáš Pačes GL03777

## Ústřední ústav geologický, Malostranské n.19, 118 21 Praha 1, Czechoslovakia

#### (Received 4 January 1978; accepted in revised form 25 May 1978)

Abstract—Primary aluminosilicates are transformed at low temperature into a sequence of metastable and thermodynamically stable secondary minerals by an irreversible process. The aqueous concentrations in the associated solution may continuously change during the process or they may be maintained constant through hydrodynamic or chemical steady-state mechanisms or through chemical equilibrium with a reversible metastable solid.

Disequilibrium indices calculated for 152 natural waters and experimental solutions show that the solutions are unsaturated with amorphous aluminum hydroxide, microcrystalline gibbsite, amorphous silica and amorphous aluminosilicate, and they are supersaturated with gibbsite and kaolinite. The disequilibrium index for halloysite varies widely from unsaturation to supersaturation.

Only the index for the reversible metastable cryptocrystalline aluminosilicate whose composition is pH dependent is very close to zero indicating saturation. The index varies in a narrow range. This, supported by electron micrographs and the results of X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy presented by other authors, suggests that this metastable solid, and not the secondary aluminosilicate minerals, controls the concentrations of alumina and silica in natural waters.

#### INTRODUCTION

IT HAS BEEN PROPOSED that the concentrations of dissolved alumina and silica in natural waters are controlled by partial equilibria between solution and clay minerals and/or gibbsite (e.g. HELGESON, 1968; HEL-GESON et al., 1969; FRITZ and TARDY, 1974, 1976; FRITZ, 1975; MICHARD and FOUILLAC, 1974; FOUIL-LAC et al., 1977) or by equilibrium between solution and halloysite and/or microcrystalline gibbsite (Нем et al., 1973). However, when the compositions of cold natural waters are compared with their calculated equilibrium compositions with respect to the minerals, significant departures from the equilibria are apparent (PAČES, 1970, 1972, 1973). Another possble controlling mechanism may be the adsorption of dissolved silica and aluminum on silica or silicate suraces (BECKWITH and REEVE, 1963; MCKEAGUE and CLINE, 1963; STÖBER, 1967; ILER, 1973). However, the adsorption of aluminum is probably not very effective in controlling the aqueous concentration because of the high affinity of hydroxyl ions towards Al3+ ion and a rapid polymerization to form hydroxocomplexes (SMITH and HEM, 1972). While both the equilibhum with respect to well defined minerals and dsorption operate in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O system under favorable conditions, it is proposed here, that reversible equilibrium between solution and a metalable cryptocrystalline aluminosilicate of varied com-Position explains best the observed concentration of alumina and silica in natural waters at low temperatures (0-25°C). This reversible mechanism operates during the irreversible dissolution of primary minerals and the irreversible formation of thermodynamically

stable secondary minerals. The secondary minerals may have reached different stages of crystallinity (PETROVIC 1976, Fig. 1) and morphology (HENMI and WADA, 1976). The experimental studies of the effect of adsorbed aluminum on the solubility of amorphous silica in water (ILER, 1973) supports the hypothesis that silica and aluminum in solution combine to form a metastable aluminosilicate that is less soluble than either oxide alone. This solid behaves reversibly. Part of the aluminum and silicon are removed from solution irreversibility, because they are fixed in newly formed minerals.

## THEORY

An example of a typical irreversible process at low temperatures, such as rock weathering, is illustrated in Fig. 1. During this process a primary mineral whose mass in moles is  $M_p$  dissolves and  $M_r$  moles of a reversible metastable mineraloid,  $M_{ir}$  moles of an irreversible metastable secondary mineral and  $M_s$ moles of a thermodynamically stable secondary mineral are produced. The portions of the chemical components remaining in the water are  $m_i, m_i \dots m_n$ . The total irreversible process consists of a sequence of reactions whose rate constants are  $k_1 \dots k_6$ . In general, the molarities in solution change during the irreversible process in which  $dM_p/dt < 0$  and  $dM_s/dt > 0$ . However, concentrations of some components can be maintained constant due to a hydrodynamic steady state, chemical steady state and chemical thermodynamic equilibrium.

Let us consider a general sequence of reactions in -Fig. 1. The rates of the reactions are controlled by



Fig. 1. Irreversible transformation of a primary mineral into secondary minerals and the position of a reversible metastable solid in a natural water system.  $M_p$ ,  $M_n$ ,  $M_i$ ,  $M_i$ ,  $M_i$  are the extensive masses of the primary mineral and the reversible, irreversible metastable and thermodynamically stable secondary phases respectively.  $m_i$ ,  $m_j$ ,  $m_n$  are molarities of participating chemical components in aqueous solution.

the concentrations in solution. Their kinetic orders with respect to a dissolved species *i* are  $\alpha_{1,i} \dots \alpha_{4,i}$ .

The general rate law of reaction r with respect to the aqueous species i is

$$R_{r,i} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}m_i}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_r = k_r \prod_{j=1,i}^n m_j^{2r,j} \tag{1}$$

where  $j = 1, 2... i \dots n$  includes all the aqueous species participating in the reaction r.

The hydrodynamic steady state is defined by the condition

$$\frac{\partial m_i}{\partial t} = 0 = R_{1,i} + R_{4,i} - R_{2,i} - R_{3,i} - \nabla v m_i.$$
(2)

The hydrodynamic dispersion and diffusion are neglected in eq. (2), v is the mean linear velocity of water in the x, y and z directions and  $\nabla$  is spacegradient operator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

The chemical steady state is maintained under the following conditions

$$v = 0$$

$$\frac{m_i}{dt} = 0 = R_{1,i} - R_{2,i}$$
(4)

and

or

 $R_{4,i} < R_{3,i}$  and  $R_{5,i} > R_{3,i} - R_{4,i}$ so that

$$M_r = 0. \tag{7}$$

The equilibrium concentration of a component i in solution is maintained under the following conditions

 $R_{4,i} > R_{3,i}$ 

$$R_{1,i} \ll R_{4,i}$$
 and  $R_{2,i} \ll R_{3,i}$  (8)

$$\frac{m_i}{4t} = 0 = R_{4,i} - R_{3,i};$$
(9)

for a reversible process

$$\frac{R_{3,i}}{R_{4,i}} = 1$$
 (10)

and after substituting eq. (1) into eq. (10)

$$\prod_{i=1,i}^{n} m_{j}^{(x_{3}-x_{4})_{j}} = \frac{k_{4}}{k_{3}} = K, \qquad (11)$$

here  $(\alpha_3 - \alpha_4)_j$  is the stoichiometric coefficient of the component *j* in the reaction between the solution and the reversible metastable solid; *K* is the equilibrium molarity product identical to the equilibrium constant for ideal behavior.

The equilibrium control by the reversible metastable phase during an irreversible process permits changes in the molar quantities of the solid phases as follows:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_p}{\mathrm{d}t} = -v_{1,i}R_{1,i} \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_r}{\mathrm{d}t} = v_{1,i}R_{1,i} - v_{2,i}R_{2,i} - v_{5,i}R_{5,i} \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{ir}}{\mathrm{d}t} = v_{2,i}R_{2,i} + v_{5,i}R_{5,i} - v_{6,i}R_{6,i} \qquad (14)$$

$$\frac{M_s}{dt} = v_{6,i} R_{6,i} \tag{15}$$

(3) where  $v_{r,i}$  are the stoichiometric coefficients of a component *i* in the *r*th reaction.

The natural system can reach a steady state in which the irreversible process continues while both the aqueous concentrations and the molar quantities of the metastable phases are maintained constant.

In a closed system this steady-state condition is expressed by means of eq. (13) and (14)

$$v_{1,i} R_{1,i} - v_{2,i} R_{2,i} - v_{5,i} R_{5,i} = 0$$
 (16)

$$v_{2,i} R_{2,i} + v_{5,i} R_{5,i} - v_{6,i} R_{6,i} = 0 \qquad (17)$$

from which

or

(5)

(6)

$$v_{1,i} R_{1,i} - v_{6,i} R_{6,i} = 0$$
 (18)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{s}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{p}}{\mathrm{d}t} = v_{6,i}R_{6,i} = v_{1,i}R_{1,i}.$$
 (19)

The steady state in an open system is expressed by means of eq. (2)

$$R_{1,i} + R_{4,i} - R_{2,i} - R_{3,1} - \nabla v m_i = 0 \quad (20)$$

This fo leads to a thermo arily cor ponent i rium. Th dynamic (eq. 4) a metasta of the s with a r tion an minera of the : the equ quentl tion of can b second and t FRITZ solids ible d prim: depe depe rate on t tion Tab! Dar SYNAMISALO 19

in combin

Since  $R_3$ .  $R_{2,i} = R_1$ . tion has t

After sub

and

d۸

R1.1(1 -

TUNIT UT UIAN LISHARD

in combination with eqs. (16) and (17)

$$R_{1,i}(1 - v_{1,i}) - R_{2,i} + v_{6,i}R_{6,i} + R_{4,i} - R_{3,i} - \nabla v m_i = 0. \quad (21)$$

Since  $R_{3,i} = R_{4,i}$  for the reversible process and  $R_{1,i} = R_{1,i}$ , providing that the steady-state composition has been reached, eq. (21) has the form

$$v_{6,i} R_{6,i} - v_{1,i} R_{1,i} - \nabla v m_i = 0.$$
 (22)

After substitution of eqs. (12) and (15) into eq. (22)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_s}{\mathrm{d}t} = \nabla v m_i - \frac{\mathrm{d}M_p}{\mathrm{d}t} = \nabla v m_i + v_{1,i} R_{1,i} \qquad (23)$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}M_p}{\mathrm{d}t} = \nabla v m_i - \frac{\mathrm{d}M_s}{\mathrm{d}t} = \nabla v m_i - v_{6,i} R_{6,i}. \tag{24}$$

This formal treatment of the irreversible process leads to several conclusions. First, the formation of a thermodynamically stable phase does not necessarily control the concentration of a dissolved component in natural water through a chemical equilibrium. The controlling mechanisms may be the hydrodynamic steady state (eq. 2), the chemical steady-state (eq. 4) and a chemical equilibrium with a reversible metastable solid (eqs. 8, 9, 10, 11). Second, the control of the solution composition by a reversible reaction with a metastable phase does not preclude the formation and existence of irreversible metastable or stable mineral phases in the system. Third, the formation of the secondary solids can proceed independently of the equilibrium composition of the solution. Consequently, it cannot be assumed a priori that the evolulion of the chemical composition of a natural solution can be calculated from the data on primary and secondary solids using the stoichiometry of reactions and their equilibrium constants (HELGESON 1968; FRITZ, 1975) unless it is proved that all the secondary solids behave reversibly and the rates of the irreversible dissolution are proportional to the masses of the primary solids. The evolution of the system is time dependent and the composition of the solution depends on the percolation velocity of water and the rate constants of the irreversible reactions as well as on the equilibrium constants of the reversible reactions.

The reliable data required to make the model represented by Fig. 1 quantitative are scarce. This paper is a partial contribution to the quantitative problem and deals with the reversible metastable solid which apparently controls the concentrations of aluminum and silica in natural waters during their irreversible evolution.

# NATURE OF THE METASTABLE ALUMINOSILICATE

Early experiments by MATTSON (1928) and further elaboration by PARKS (1967) showed that the X-ray amorphous aluminosilicates which precipitate from aqueous solutions containing aluminum and silica have a neutral surface at given pH of the solution. This pH is called the point of zero charge (PZC). The PZC of pure hydrous alumina is at pH 9.2 and the PZC of pure silica is at pH 1.8 (PARKS, 1967). The compositions of the aluminosilicate which precipitated at various pH in the Mattson's experiments varied roughly linearly between pure silica at pH 1.8 and pure hydrous alumina at pH 9.2. This led PAČES (1973) to an assumption, that the reaction which controls the concentration of silica and alumina in cold waters is

$$[Al(OH)_{3}]_{(1-x)}[SiO_{2}]_{x}$$

$$+ (3 - 3x)H^{+} + \frac{k_{\star}}{k_{3}} (1 - x)Al^{3+} + xH_{4}SiO_{4}$$

$$+ (3 - 5x)H_{2}O.$$
(25)

The straight line in a plot x vs pH between the end points (x, pH) = (0, 9.2) and (1, 1.8) correlates reasonably well with Mattson's experimental points (PARKS, 1967, Fig. 10), hence

$$x = 1.24 - 0.135 \,\mathrm{pH}.$$
 (26)

The activity quotient of the reaction (25) is

$$Q_{\rm as} = \frac{a_{\rm AI^{3}}^{(1-x)}a_{\rm H_{4}SiO_{4}}^{\rm x}}{a_{\rm H^{4}}^{(3-3x)}};$$
(27)

assuming that a reversible equilibrium is maintained between the solution and the aluminosilicate,  $Q_{as} = K_{aas}$ , where  $K_{aas}$  is the equilibrium constant of reaction (25).

Table 1. Regression lines and correlation coefficients between pH of solutions and logarithms of the activity quotient of reaction (25): log  $Q_{ax} = a + b$  pH

| Data set                                       | n   | r     | a       | b     | log Q . |        |
|------------------------------------------------|-----|-------|---------|-------|---------|--------|
|                                                |     |       |         |       | pH=1.8  | pH=9.2 |
| Ynthetic solutions <sup>1</sup>                | 56  | 0,997 | -6.251  | 1.671 | -3.24   | 9.13   |
| atural waters from granitic rocks <sup>2</sup> | 37  | 0.957 | -3.268  | 1.203 | -1.10   | 7.80   |
| "tural waters from granites,                   | 23  | 0.946 | -9.117  | 2.058 | -5.41   | 9.82   |
| atural waters from gneisses <sup>4</sup>       | 23  | 0.936 | -4.070  | 1.343 | -1.65   | 8.29   |
| httions of feldspars                           | 13  | 0.880 | -10.400 | 2.473 | -5.95   | 12.35  |
| samples                                        | 152 | 0.984 | -5.891  | 1.588 | -3.03   | 8.72   |
| amorphous alumina and silica                   |     |       | -5.7    | 1.68  | -2.7    | 9.7    |

HEM et al., 1973; <sup>2</sup>FETH et al., 1964; <sup>3</sup>FOUILLAC et al., 1976; <sup>4</sup>PAČES et al., in prep.; <sup>5</sup>BUSENBERG and CLEMENCY, 6; BUSENBERG, written communication.

Tomáš Pačes

## EQUILIBRIUM CONSTANT OF THE DISSOLUTION OF THE METASTABLE ALUMINOSILICATE

If the amorphous aluminosilicate were an ideal solid solution of amorphous silica and alumina, the equilibrium constant would be a combination of solubility products of silica  $(K_* = a_{H_4SiO_4} = 10^{-2.7}, KRAUSKOPF, 1956)$  and alumina  $(K_* = a_{A13}, a_{H^*}^3 = 10^{9.7}, SILLÉN, 1964)$  with the solubility product of the aluminosilicate [eq. (27)]. At equilibrium

$$Q_{as} = K_{aas} = \frac{a_{A_{1}}^{(1-x)} a_{H_{4}}^{3} s_{i} o_{4}}{a_{H^{+}}^{(3-3x)}}$$
$$= K_{s}^{x} K_{a}^{(1-x)} = 10^{9.7 - 12.4x}.$$
 (28)

Substituting eq. (26) into eq. (28)

$$K_{nas} = 10^{-5.7 + 1.68 \text{ pH}}.$$
 (29)

Six sets of experimental laboratory and field data on total aqueous aluminum, silica, pH, ionic strength and temperature were selected to calculate the values of  $Q_{\rm ab}$  given by eq. (27). They include 36 acid and 20 basic synthetic solutions of silica and aluminum aged from a few months to longer than four years (HEM et al., 1973, Tables 3, 4), 37 filtered natural waters from granitic rocks in the Sierra Nevada, USA (FETH et al., 1964, Table 1), 23 filtered natural waters from the granitic rocks in the Truyera River Basin. France (FOUILLAC et al., 1976), 23 filtered natural waters from gneisses in the Trnávka River Basin, Czechoslovakia (PAČES et al., in prep.) and 13 final solutions resulting from the dissolution of 9 feldspars in various initial solutions (BUSENBERG and CLE-MENCY, 1976; BUSENBERG, 1976, written communication). The data are tabulated and entered into the files of NAPS\*. All the samples were filtered through 0.1 µm membrane (FETH et al. (1964) used a 0.45 µm filter] prior to aluminum analysis and the pH of the natural waters was measured in the field. The activity of silica was assumed to be equal to its molarity. The activity of Al<sup>3+</sup> was calculated using the equations in the Appendix. The calculated activities of Al<sup>3+</sup> are included in the NAPS Document.

The base 10 logarithms of the activity quotients, log  $Q_{as}$ , calculated for all the data are plotted against the pH of the solutions in Fig. 2. The regression lines and correlation coefficients for the individual data sets and for all data are given in Table 1. The values of log  $Q_{as}$  for the PZC of the end members i.e. for pH 1.8 and 9.2 are included in Table 1. These values should correspond to the activity products of silica and alumina respectively under the conditions of the individual sets of data.

The log  $Q_{ax}$ -pH lines for the sets of experimental and field data deviate from the line connecting the



Fig. 2. The linear correlation between pH and logarithm of activity product  $a_{A_1}^{(1-x)} \cdot a_{H_1SiO_4}^x/a_{H_1}^{(3-3x)}$  in experimental solutions and natural water samples. 1, Aged synthetic solutions of alumina and silica (HEM et al., 1973); 2, subsurface water from granitic rocks in Sierra Nevada, U.S.A. (FETH et al., 1964); 3, surface and subsurface water from gneisses in the Bohemian Massif, Czechoslovakia (Pačes et al. in prep.); 4, subsurface and surface waters from granitic rocks of the Margaride Massif, France (FOUILLAC et al., 1976); 5, final solutions resulting from the experimental dissolution of feldspars (BUSENBERG and CLEMENCY, 1976; BUSEN BERG, written communication); 6, regression line for all the data points; 7, linear plot between the solubility products of amorphous alumina and silica at the pH values of them points of zero charge: 8, linear plot between the solubility products of microcristalline gibbsite and amorphous silica at the pH values of their points of zero charge (PZC d microcristalline gibbsite is at pH 11, SMITH, 1969).

solubility product of amorphous silica  $(10^{-2.7})$  and alumina  $(10^{9.7})$ . This deviation may be explained by a hypothesis that the aluminum atoms after random precipitation assume coordinated positions during aging while silicon atoms maintain their random distribution (PAČES, 1973). Therefore, the solubility of the alumina end member in the aluminosilicate can vary while the solubility of the silica end member should remain similar to those of amorphous silica The present results indicate that the solubility product of alumina decreases from theoretical 109. down to 10<sup>9.13</sup> in aged synthetic solutions, 10<sup>8.29</sup> and 10<sup>7.80</sup> in natural waters but increases in the solution resulting from the feldspars. The decrease may k caused by the incomplete octahedral and/or tetrahedral arrangements of aluminum observed with X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy by HENMI and WADA (1976) in natural allophane and by HEM et al. (1973) in electron micrographs of aged laboratory preciptates.

The solubility product of the silica end member increases in two data sets from the theoretical value  $10^{-2.7}$  up to  $10^{-1.1}$  and decreases in three data sets

. 3. The equi cous species whose com bility produ ven in Fig. 2 ation for san lytical sensiti a concentra calc

wn to 10<sup>-5</sup> th to explain the data w mase may be r solid an tCK YES et a. s, the exper oncentration laters may t th a nonid duminosilica r regression

This solubilit • change c =purities. A solubilit =lte in terms  $t_{ap} + a_{AHG}$ ! for 10, 50, The solubilit 26), 27) ar  $Q_{a} = K_{ras}$ .

The total ac "ants in th antitrot

<sup>\*</sup> See NAPS document No. 03295 for pages of supplementary material. Order from ASIS/NAPS c/o Microfiche Publications, P.O. Box 3513, Grand Central Station New York, NY 10017, remitting S3.00 for microfiche or \$5.00 for photocopies. Cheques to be made payable to "Microfiche Publications".



Fig. 3. The equilibrium sum of the activities of aluminum aqueous species with respect to the reversible aluminosilicate whose composition is determined by eq. (26) and its solubility product by eq. (30). The symbols are the same as given in Fig. 2. Here Represents mean pH and standard deviation for samples with aluminum concentrations below analytical sensitivity. The theoretical equilibrium curves for ulica concentrations of 10, 50, 100 and 1000 mg l<sup>-1</sup> are calculated using eqs. (31) and (32).

10

garithm

imental

tic solubsurface

L (Feth

gneisses

et al., in tic rocks

\_ 1976):

dissolu-

: BUSEN

or all the

products

of their

olubility

ous silica

(PZC of

2.7) and

ined by

rando

during

fom dis

bility o

cate car

ed wi Id WAD

21. (197. precip

969).

down to  $10^{-5.95}$ . The increase in the product is difficult to explain but is probably caused by the scatter of the data which affect the regression lines. The decrease may be due to both the nonideal behavior of the solid and to substitution of iron for silica MCKYES et al., 1974). In spite of all these uncertainlics, the experimental and field data indicate that the concentration of silica and aluminum in natural waters may be controlled by a chemical equilibrium with a nonideal solid solution called here reversible aluminosilicate. Its mean solubility product given by the regression line for all the samples is

$$K_{\rm ras} = 10^{-5.89 + 1.59 \, \rm pH}$$

(30)This solubility product, however, should be expected to change during aging and by the content of mpurities.

membe A solubility diagram of the reversible aluminosilius silic cate in terms of the total active aluminum  $(a_{Al(Total)} =$ olubilit  $a_{AP} + a_{AI(OH)_{\bar{I}}} + a_{AI(OH)_{\bar{I}}}$ ) vs pH is presented in Fig. cal 10' for 10, 50, 100 and 1000 mg. $l^{-1}$  of dissolved SiO<sub>2</sub>. 18.29 an The solubility curves were calculated employing eqs. 126, 27) and (30) for the equilibrium condition solution may <sup>c</sup>  $K_{ras} = K_{ras}$ . This yields or tetr

The experimental and field data on total dissolved  
aluminum were recalculated in the form of the total  
active aluminum and are plotted in Fig. 3. The  
major feature of the model is the minimum solubility  
which shifts slightly with the increasing concen-  
trations of silica from pH 6.35 at 
$$10 \text{ mg. I}^{-1} \text{ SiO}_2$$
 to  
pH 6.1 at  $100 \text{ mg. I}^{-1} \text{ SiO}_2$ . The experimental and  
field data are in majority higher than predicted by  
the model. This is caused by the independent assump-  
tion on the composition of the reversible aluminosili-  
cate expressed by eq. (26). If the PZC of the alumina  
in the solid solution is higher than 9.2 the solubility  
curves will shift to lower total active aluminum. The  
higher PZC (~11 pH) was measured on microcrystal-  
line gibbsite (Smith, 1969).

# DEPARTURES FROM EQUILIBRIA WITH VARIOUS AI, SI MINERALS AND SOLIDS

If the suggested reversible aluminosilicate controls the concentrations of aluminum and silica in natural waters then the waters should be in chemical equilibrium with the solid. However, they may depart from equilibrium with other solids or minerals. This can be tested by comparing the disequilibrium indices

$$I_p = \log \frac{Q_p}{K_p}$$

for the solubility products of various solid phases p. An aqueous solution is supersaturated with p if  $I_p > 0$ , it is unsaturated if  $I_p < 0$  and it is in chemical equilibrium if  $I_p = 0$ .

The solids which may control the aluminum and silica concentrations in natural waters, the expressions for their activity quotients and their equilibrium constants are given in table 2.

The arithmetic means of the disequilibrium indices for all the data sets are summarized in Table 3. The variation of the indices is expressed by their standard deviations in Table 4.

By studying Tables 3 and 4 it is obvious that all or the majority of the solutions are unsaturated with amorphous Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>, microcrystalline gibbsite, amorphous SiO<sub>2</sub> and amorphous aluminosilicate  $[Al(OH)_3]_{(1-x)}$   $[SiO_2]_{(x)}$ . The solutions are supersaturated with gibbsite and kaolinite so that their precipitation is probable and will be irreversible. Some solutions are supersaturated and others are saturated or unsaturated with halloysite which was identified by HEM et al. (1973) in the secondary product during

$$g a_{A1^{3+}} = \frac{2.308 - \frac{5.891}{pH} - 0.41 \text{ pH} - \frac{1.24}{pH} - 0.138 \log a_{H_4 \text{sio}_4}}{0.135 - \frac{0.24}{pH}}.$$
(31)

The total active aluminum is calculated using the con-Mants in the Appendix

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{mean} \\ \text{cal val}^{\text{loc}} \\ \text{data str}^{\text{loc}} \end{array} = a_{\text{Al}} \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{10^{-9.76}}{a_{\text{H}^{\circ}}^2} + \frac{10^{-22.07}}{a_{\text{H}^{\circ}}^4} \right). \quad (32)$$

lo

the aging of their synthetic solutions. The disequilibrium indices for the postulated reversible aluminosilicate,  $I_{ras}$  are close to zero in majority of data sets.

# Τομάš Ράčes

| Solid                                                                             | Symbol<br>for<br>P                                | Activity quotient<br>Q <sub>p</sub>                                                                                                | Equilibrium<br>constant<br>K <sub>p</sub> /25°C/                          | Source                                                              |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Amorphous Al/OH/3                                                                 | aa                                                | $\frac{a_{A1}^{3+}}{a_{+}^{3+}}$                                                                                                   | 109.7                                                                     | Sillén, 1964                                                        |
| Microcrystalline gibbsite                                                         | mg                                                | H <sup>*</sup><br>ditto                                                                                                            | 10 <sup>9.36</sup>                                                        | Hem and Roberson, 1                                                 |
| Gibbsite                                                                          | g                                                 | ditto                                                                                                                              | 108.22                                                                    | Smith,1971                                                          |
| Amorphous silica                                                                  | S                                                 | a <sub>H4</sub> SiO4                                                                                                               | 102.7                                                                     | Krauskopf,1956                                                      |
| Halloysite                                                                        | h                                                 | $\frac{a_{A1}^2 + a_{H_4}^2 \sin 0_4}{a_0^6 +} \qquad 10^{11.28}$                                                                  |                                                                           | Hem et al.,1973                                                     |
| Kaolinite                                                                         | k                                                 | ditto                                                                                                                              | 10 <sup>6.74</sup>                                                        | Robie and Waldbaug,<br>1968                                         |
| Amorphous /ideal/ alumosilicate<br>Al/OH/ <sub>3</sub> /l-x/ <sup>SiO</sup> 2 /x/ | aas                                               | $\frac{\frac{a_{A1}^{1}\overline{3}\overline{x}^{4}}{a_{H_{4}}^{X}\operatorname{sio}_{4}}}{\frac{a_{H_{4}}^{3}}{a_{H^{+}}^{3-3}}}$ | 10 <sup>-5.7+1.68pH</sup>                                                 | Pačes, 1973                                                         |
| x<br>Reversible /nonideal/ alumosilica<br>Al/OH/3 /l-x/ <sup>SiO</sup> 2 /x/      | ite ras                                           | x = 1.24-0.135pH<br>ditto                                                                                                          | lo <sup>-5.89+1.59</sup> рН                                               | this paper                                                          |
| Table 3. Mean values c                                                            | of the disequili                                  | brium indices with resp                                                                                                            | ect to the solids in                                                      | Table 2                                                             |
| Jata set n<br>set c                                                               | umber I aa<br>of amor-<br>samples phous<br>alumin | I I J I S<br>micro- gib- amo<br>crystal- bsite phc<br>na line sij<br>gibbsite                                                      | I <sub>h</sub> I <sub>k</sub><br>r- hal- kaoli<br>nus loysite ite<br>lica | I aas I ras<br>in- amor- rever-<br>phous sible<br>alumino- alumino- |

Table 2. Activity quotients,  $Q_{p}$ , and equilibrium constants,  $K_{p}$ , for 25°C for minerals and solids which may only the concentrations of Al and Si in natural waters

<sup>1</sup>HEM et al., 1973; <sup>2</sup>FETH et al., 1973; <sup>3</sup>FOUILLAC et al., 1976; <sup>4</sup>PAČES et al., in prep.; <sup>5</sup>BUSENBERG and CLEMENCY, 19

0.17

-0.57

-0.58

-0.24

-0.24

0.50

0.97

0.57

0.56

0.90

0.90

0.42

-0.89

-0.76

-1.2

-0.77

-0.67

0.9

-0.51

-0.91

-0.92

-0.58

-0.58

36

20

37

23

23

The near zero values of  $I_{ras}$  are not an independent proof of the existence of the solid because they are predetermined by the fact that the equilibrium solubility product is pH dependent and was fitted to the selected data. On the other hand, the smallest scatter of  $I_{ras}$  together with its near-zero values is a more significant support for the reversible control. A direct indication that an amorphous solid precipitates very fast in such systems are the high resolution micrographs presented by JONES and VEHARA (1973). The micrographs show that such a solid exhibits a coat-ofpaint effect on the crystalline aluminosilicate surfaces. BUSENBERG (written communication, 1977) found experimental evidence that the reversible aluminosili-

Acid synthetic solutions

Basic synthetic solutions.

Waters from Truyere River Basin

Waters from Trnávka River Basin

Waters from Sierra Nevada

Solutions of feldspars

cate controls aluminum and silica at higher conce trations of silica while halloysite and microcrystalize gibbsite control aluminum concentration at low to moderate concentration of silica.

0.04

-0.61

-1.52

0.01

0.23

4 58

3.93

3.02

4.55

0.59

-0.61 -0.95

-1.04

-0.68

-0.63

0.01

0.32

-0.10

-0.27

0.13

0.03

### CONCLUSION

This paper does not offer a definitive proof the the aluminosilicate of variable composition does exis Nevertheless, the hypothetical solid which interative with water according to reaction (27), whose composition is pH dependent according to eq. (26) and what activity product is given by eq. (30) has the best Fdictive power for the behavior of silica and alumin in natural waters within the framework of the mat

Table 4. Standard deviations of the disequilibrium indices from the mean values in Table 3

|                                 | -                                                |                |                                |                  |                  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Data set                        | I <sub>aa</sub> ,I <sub>mg</sub> ,I <sub>g</sub> | I <sub>s</sub> | 1 <sub>h</sub> ,1 <sub>k</sub> | I <sub>aas</sub> | I <sub>ra:</sub> |
| Acid synthetic solutions        | 0.50                                             | 0.32           | 0.98                           | 0.13             | 0.11             |
| Basic synthetic solutions       | 0.43                                             | 0.33           | 1.10                           | 0.37             | 0.37             |
| Waters from Sierra Nevada       | 0.62                                             | 0.16           | 1.19                           | 0.49             | 0.43             |
| Waters from Treyere River Basin | 0.31                                             | 0.12           | 0.60                           | 0.19             | 0.20             |
| Waters from Trnávka River Basin | 0.64                                             | 0.10           | 1.38                           | 0.45             | 0.44             |
| Solutions of feldspars          | 0.39                                             | 0.49           | 1.18                           | 0.31             | 0.32             |

in Fig. mineral

Acknowle DROUBI C Is Surfac initiated CLEMENC sluminur offered rr ment of t

BECKWIT silica i and mi BUSENBER kinetic pressur FETH J. Source rocks S Surv. V FOUILLAC méthoc ation. ( FOULLAC sition Interna Czecho 64-69. FRITZ B. réaction géochir Science que Ur FRITZ B. systéme simuléé Fritz B. sequend model Water-Čadek gický P HELGESOM in geoc solution mochim HELGESON (1969) 1 cal pro tions-455-48 HENMI T. tion of HEM J. D of alur U.S. G HEM J. I W.L. aqueou Paper ILER R. K bility o Sci. 43, JONES R. on mini KRAU'SLEC silica a

10, 1-2.

if fig 1 considering the common atomino-silicate minerals.

Acknowledgements—I thank Y. TARDY, B. FRITZ and A. DROUBI of the Centre de Sédimentologie et Géochimie de la Surface at Strasbourg for valuable discussions which initiated this paper, and E. BUSENBERG and C. V. CLEMENCY for letting me use their unpublished results of aluminum concentrations. J. D. HEM and E. BUSENBERG offered many useful comments which led to the improvement of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- BECKWITH R. S. and REEVE R. (1963) Studies on soluble silica in soils—I. The sorption of silicic acid by soils and minerals. Aust. J. Soil Res. 1, 157–168.
- BUSENBERG E. and CLEMENCY C. V. (1976) The dissolution kinetics of feldspars at 25°C and 1 atm CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 40, 41-49.
- FETH J. H., ROBERSON C. E. and POLZER W. L. (1964) Sources of mineral constituents in water from granitic rocks Sierra Nevada, California and Nevada, U.S. Geol. Surv. Water Supply Paper 1535-1.
- FOUILAC C., MICHARD G. et BOCQUIER G. (1977) Une méthode de simulation de l'évolution des profils d'altération. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 41, 207-213.
- FOULLAC C., MICHARD G. and SARAZIN G. (1976) Acquisition of solute by river Truyere waters. Proceedings, International Symposium on Water-Rock Interaction Czechoslovakia 1974 (eds J. Čadek and T. Pačes), pp. 64-69. Ústřední ústav geologický Prague.
- FRITZ B. (1975) Etude thermodynamique et simulation des réactions entre minéraux et solutions application a la géochimic des altérations et des eaux continentales. Sciences Géologiques, Memoire 41, Institut de Géologique Université Louis Pasteur de Strasbourg.

100

r. 19

ONT

;13]

10\*

y the

s n#

102

mp."

1 # >

آ ای

مستلالا

: 124

- FRITZ B. et TARDY Y. (1974) Etude thermodynamique du <sup>3</sup> système gibbsite, quartz, kaolinite, CO<sub>2</sub> par dissolution <sup>3</sup> simuléé—II. Réunion Aunuelle Sci Terre 178, Nancy.
- FAITZ B. and TARDY Y. (1976) Predictions of mineralogical sequences in tropical soils by a theoretical dissolution model. Proceedings, International Symposium on Water-Rock Interaction Czechoslovakia 1974 (eds. J. Cadek and T. Pačes), pp. 409-416. Ústředni ústav geologlcký Prague.
- HELGESON H. C. (1968) Evaluation of irreversible reactions
- in geochimical processes involving minerals and aqueous solution—I. Thermodynamical relations. Geochim. Cos-
- mochim. Acta 32, 851-876. HttGESON H. C., GARRELS R. M. and MACKENZIE F. T. (1969) Evaluation of irreversible reactions in geochemical processes involving minerals and aqueous solutions-II. Applications. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 33, 455-481.
- HENNI T. and WADA K. (1976) Morphology and composition of allophane. Am. Mineralogist 61, 379-390.
- Hu J. D. and ROBERSON C. E. (1967) Form and stability
- of aluminum hydroxide complexes in dilute solution. U.S. Geol. Surv. Water Supply Paper 1827-A.
- Hta J. D., ROBERSON C. E., LIND C. J. and POLZER W. L. (1973) Chemical interactions of aluminum with aqueous silica at 25°C. U.S. Geol. Surv. Water Supply Paper 1827-E.
- LIR. K. (1973) Effect of adsorbed alumina on the solubility of amorphous silica in water. J. Colloid Interface Sri. 43, 399-408.
- AND R. C. and VEHARA G. (1973) Amorphous coatings on mineral surfaces. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 37, 792-798. AUXLEOPF K. B. (1956) Dissolution and precipitation of Fica at low temperatures. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 10, 1-26.

MATTSOM 5. (1928) The electrokinetic and chemical behaviour of the aluminosilicates. Soil Sci. 25, 289-311.

- MCKEAGUE J. A. and CLINE M. G. (1963) Silica in soil solutions—II. The adsorption of monosilicic acid by soil and by other substances. Can. J. Soil Sci. 43, 83-96.
- MCKYES E., SETHI A. and YONG R. N. (1974) Amorphous coatings on particles of sensitive clay soils. *Clays Clay Minerals* 22, 427-433.
- MICHARD G. et FOUILLAC C. (1974) Evaluation des transferts d'éléments au cours des processus d'altération des minéraux par les fluides. C.R. Acad. Sci. France 278, 2727-2729.
- PAČES T. (1970) Apparent nonequilibria in a silicate rock-CO<sub>2</sub>-rich water system. Časopis Miner. Geol. 15, 87-100.
- PACES T. (1972) Chemical characteristics and equilibrium in natural water-felsic rock-CO<sub>2</sub> system. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 37, 2641-2663.
- PAČES T. (1973) Steady-state kinetics and equilibrium between ground water and granitic rock. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 37, 2641-2663.
- PAČES T., KOBROVÁ M. and KOREČKOVÁ J. (in prep) Silica and alumina denudation from agricultural and forested countryside. Věstnik Ústředního úst. geol. Prague.
- PARKS G. A. (1967) Aqueous surface chemistry of oxides and complex oxide minerals. Adv. Chem. Ser. 67, 121-160.
- PETROVIC R. (1976) Rate control in feldspar dissolution-II. The protective effect of precipitates. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 40, 1509-1521.
- ROBIE R. A. and WALDBAUM D. R. (1968) Thermodynamic properties of minerals and related substances at 298.15 K and 1 atm pressure and at higher temperatures. U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1259.
- SILLÉN L. G. (1964) Stability constants of metal-ion complexes. Chem. Soc. Lond. Spec. Pub. 17.
- SMITH R. W. (1969) The state of Al(III) in aqueous solution and adsorption of hydrolysis products on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University, California.
- SMITH R. W. (1971) Relations among equilibrium and nonequilibrium aqueous species of aluminum hydroxy complexes. Am. Chem. Soc., Adv. Chem. Ser. 106, 250-279.
- SMITH R. W. and HEM J. D. (1972) Effect of aging on aluminum hydroxide complexes in dilute aqueous solutions. U.S. Geol. Surv. Water Supply Paper 1827-D.
- STÖBER W. (1967) Formation of silicic acid in aqueous suspensions of different silica modifications. Adv. Chem. Ser. 67, 161-182.

のないであるとないないないないであるとものできた。

#### APPENDIX

THE EQUATIONS for the solubility calculation:

$$m_{A!(Total)} = \frac{a_{A13}}{\gamma_3} + \frac{a_{A10H^{2+}}}{\gamma_2} + \frac{a_{A1(0H)_2}}{\gamma_1} + \frac{a_{A1(0H)_2}}{\gamma_1}$$

$$\frac{a_{A13}}{a_{A1042}} = 10^{5.00}$$
 HEM et al. (1973)

$$\frac{a_{A1^{3+}}}{a_{A10H_{2}^{2}}a_{H^{2}}^{2}} = 10^{9.76}$$
 Hem et al. (1973)

$$\frac{a_{\rm A13}}{a_{\rm A10H_{2}}a_{\rm H^{+}}^{2}} = 10^{22.07}$$
 Hem et al. (1973)

$$\log \gamma_z = -Az^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{I}}{1+\sqrt{I}} - 0.3 I \right)$$

where  $a_i$  is activity of species *i*,  $m_{AI(Total)}$  is total molarity of aluminum in solution,  $\gamma_z$  is the activity coefficient, *z* is the absolute value of the ionic charge, *I* is ionic strength,  $A = 0.4883 + 7.38 \times 10^{-4}t + 2.723 \times 10^{-6} t^2$  where *t* is temperature in °C.