Mr. Art Lange<br>AMAX Exploration, Inc.<br>4704 Harlan Street<br>Denver, Colorado 80212

Subject; Deep refraction studies at Buena Vista, Colorado
Dear Art,
This letter proposes a program of deep refraction at the Mt. Princeton geothermal prospect near Buena Vista, Colorado. The objective of the program is to map the velocity and depth of the sub-sediment basement in the Upper Arkansas Valley. Knowledge of the depth of the basement and structure of the valley can aid in the evaluation of the geothermal potential of the area.

An estimated velocity and structural model for the Upper Arkansas Valley is shown in Figure 1. The layered section consists of a low-velocity top layer (alluvium with an assummed velocity of about $0.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) with a thickness of 10 -loometers, a sediment layer (velocity estimated to be $2.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) with a thickness of about 0.4 km , another sedimentary layer with a thickness of about 0.6 km (velocity estimated at $3.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ ), and a final basement half-space (with a velocity estimated at $5.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ ).

The stated objective is to map the basement velocities and depth at various points throughout the prospect. Reversed profile refraction techniques can provide this mapping as is shown on the travel-time curves of Figure 2. Refraction arrivals from the basement are evident at offset distances of 4.0 km or more.

The extent of the proposed survey includes approximately 25 km of profiling. The profiles include llkm of line from below Mt. Princeton to the east and 14 km of north-south line across both Chalk Creek and Cottonwood Creek. The specific recommended locations are shown on Plate 1 . The large open circles on Plate 1 are shot points and may be the site of several shot holes. Each source will be 6-10 pounds of explosive.

Though Plate $l$ does outline a plan of activity, it should be stressed that a geophysicist in the field will make on the spot interpretations and will adjust the field procedure to obtain the most effective data set.

## $\mu \int \begin{aligned} & \text { Geophysics } \\ & \text { Corporation }\end{aligned}$

The field equipment for this survey includes a complete truck-mounted 24-channel seismic system. A drill rig for the shot-holes is also available. Costs for this effort are estimated to be:
I. Field Work/day
A. Geophysicist. $\$ 150$
B. Technicians (3) 225
C. Truck 25
TOTAL/DAY
$\$ 400$
II. Seismic Equipment/day
A. Complete Seismic Truck $\$ 200$
B. Drill,driller, and expendables 300
III. Office/day
A. Geophysicist 100
B. Clerical and supplies 25

Productivity is better than 3 km of continuous profiling per day. A day of office work per field day will be necessary to produce a final report. The full program outlined above will thus have a maximum total-cost of $\$ 9000$. Increases in productivity can be expected if the access to the area is good or if the geology is uniform so that line segments can be skipped and shot-points eliminated.

We would be pleased to begin work on this project at your convenience. Please call us if you need additional information on this project.


Paul Larry Brown
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