

U.S. Den -OBrian Recce.

GEOTHERMAL BRANCH

INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

- SUBJECT: Geothermal Potential of the O'Brien Resources DATE: February 27, 1981 Geothermal Prospects
- TO: W. Lodder
- FROM: H. D. Pilkington

Conclusions and Recommendations

Under the terms of the 1980 agreement between AMAX and O'Brien Resources, AMAX has reviewed the twelve (12) geothermal prospects acquired by O'Brien; the locations of the twelve prospects are shown on Figure 1. Six of the prospects were examined on the ground and six were given only a data review. Three of the prospects are considered to have sufficient geothermal potential to warrant action by AMAX as follows:

1. <u>Shoshone Prospect, Nevada</u> - I would rate the Shoshone prospect as the best prospect of the lot, and recommend that AMAX consider undertaking the necessary exploration to define a target for inclusion in the Drilling Fund if it comes through. I would plan to budget the exploration work for 1982, including geophysics, geologic mapping, and shallow and intermediate thermal gradient drilling.

2. Topaz Mountain Prospect, Utah - I would recommend that AMAX make an attempt to do a combined deal with O'Brien Resources and Brush Wellman whereby we have an option to earn an interest in their lands by doing a phased exploration program leading to a test for discovery. Such an option arrangement would be particularly attractive if during the option phase AMAX does not have to assume any of the chargeability.

3. Excelsior Prospect, Nevada - An interesting geothermal prospect which deserves some additional work. It represents a real long shot, and I would not recommend that AMAX spend any money on exploration before 1983. However, we might encourage O'Brien to some more exploration on the property themselves if they want to accelerate its movement toward targeted stage.

Wagontire(21) Bruneau Grandview(60) Newdale (18) New York(4) Canyon • • Shoshone (3) n. McCoy(2) Topaz Mountain (3) ۵ Confusion(14) Excelsior(5) Twin Peaks(16) (I)Roosevelt & Sulfurdale (17) • Beryl (1<u>5)</u> 004 Figure 7 O'Brien Resources Tres Montosas (10) San Agustin(9) Prospects Data Review & Ground Check ₀^{Florida}(8) Data Review only •Tres Hermanas(11) Mats ALBERS EQUAL AREA PROJECTION พระสวานที่ 177 การ สาราชาว

Prospect Reviews

Newdale Prospect, Idaho

The prospect is located in southeastern Idaho, approximately 15 miles northeast of Rexburg. The land position is small and fragmented with a total of 1,111 acres of fee and federal lease applications. O'Brien prepared a heatflow map based upon twelve (12) thermal gradient measurements in holes ranging from 50 to 180 meters. As reported by O'Brien, the heatflow varies from 7.8 to 15.0 HFU with thermal gradients ranging from $130^{\circ}C/km$ to $250^{\circ}C/km$ (Figure 2).

Based upon thermal gradient calculations using only the bottom hole temperatures and an assumed mean annual temperature of 15°C, I get gradients of 31°C/km to 148°C/km. Several warm water aquifers are known to exist in the area. Therefore, based upon (1) a poor land position, (2) probable convective heatflow above the aquifers, and (3) the general downgrading of the reported O'Brien gradients I recommend no further action on the prospect by AMAX.

Wagontine Prospect, Oregon

The prospect is located within the Brothers Fault Zone approximately 40 miles west of Burns, Oregon. Three separate parcels of land totalling over 17,280 acres make up the land position. The heatflow map presented by O'Brien was based upon data from twelve holes; however, on six holes they had information on depth and bottom hole temperatures. The thermal gradient reported by O'Brien range from 32° C/km to 150° C/km with a maximum heatflow of 8.3 HFU (Figure 3).

Based upon our previous experience in the Burns area, I think we can assume a mean annual temperature of 12°C. When we calculate thermal gradients based upon bottom hole temperature and mean annual temperature we find some of O'Brien's data suspect which leaves only two holes with significant gradients and/or heatflow. Therefore, based upon (1) two one point anomalies, (2) scattered land position, and (3) quality of thermal data presented, I recommend that AMAX do nothing further with the prospect.

Excelsior Prospect, Nevada

The prospect is located in southwestern Nevada approximately 15 miles south of Hawthorne and consists of six contiguous sections of federal geothermal leases. O'Brien presented a heatflow map based upon nine thermal gradient observations (Figure 4). The maximum heatflow given was 6.7 HFU with thermal gradients ranging from 11°C/km to 224°C/km.

If we assume a mean annual temperature of 15°C, then four of the reported gradient holes will have gradients above background. Two of the holes would then have bottom hole temperatures less than mean annual suggesting cold water underflow off the mountains. O'Brien drilled seven of the reported holes, those with Ex numbers (Figure 4).







The AMAX reconnaissance program measured a windmill, $\triangle 459$ on Figure 4 and got a gradient of 213°C/km in air above water at 37°C. O'Brien reported a gradient of 224°C/km with a bottom hole temperature of 41.8°C. The Nevada Bureau of Mines reports a temperature of 43°C at a depth of 105 meters from the same hole.

Hydrogeochemical data is very sparse in the area. The Nevada Bureau of Mines reports an incomplete analysis for water from the windmill described above. The silica geothermometer for the reported silica gives a temperature of 91°C. AMAX has two analyses from the general area which give the following temperature data:

	Water Well	Whisky Spring W11069					
	W11068						
	Sec.5 T5N R31E	Sec.16 T6N R31E					
TSiO2	106	103					
TNa-K	204	262					
TNa-K-Ca	123	150					

The prospect is still quite raw, but I would recommend that AMAX plan to work on the property in 1983 in order to define a possible drilling fund target. If O'Brien wants to accelerate work on this project, we should encourage them to continue the exploration effort which they started, and we could come in when the intermediate depth drilling commences.

New York Canyon Prospect, Nevada

The prospect is located in west-central Nevada, approximately 30 miles east of Lovelock. The land position consists of a total of nine sections (5760 \pm acres) of federal lease applications in four scattered parcels. O'Brien reported on seven thermal gradient measurements in the area surrounding the prospect (Figure 5). At least four of the holes have bottom hole temperatures at or only slightly above the mean annual temperature which suggests groundwater underflow. One hydrogeochemical site gave $T_{d}SiO_{2}$ of $84^{9}C$.

On the basis of the limited data available and the scattered land position of O'Brien, I recommend that AMAX do no further work on the prospect.

Shoshone Prospect, Nevada

The prospect is located in central Nevada approximately 30 miles north of Austin on State Highway 8A. The land position comprises 14 contiguous sections ($8960 \pm acres$) of issued federal geothermal leases. O'Brien reported on a total of 18 thermal gradient measurements (Figure 6) with heatflow of 32 to over 13 HFU. Of the 18 holes reported by O'Brien, AMAX has seven of them in our reconnaissance heatflow files. The holes range in depth from 50 to 160 meters, and have thermal gradients which range from $79^{\circ}C/km$ to $329^{\circ}C/km$.





(p, q)

Figure le

The prospect is underlain by Tertiary tuffaceous sediments which are thought to be 12 my old and ash-flow tuffs of the Bates Mountain Formation (23-24my). The holes were drilled in 1955 and 1976 as a part of a uranium exploration program.

Based upon the size and continuity of the thermal anomaly, the geologic setting and the presence of a warm water well, 48°C, along the Reese River this prospect may have considerable geothermal potential. Therefore, I recommend that AMAX undertake the necessary exploration in 1982 to bring the prospect to a point where it could be included in the drilling fund if warranted.

Florida Prospect, New Mexico

The Florida Prospect is located in southwestern New Mexico, approximately 14 miles northeast of Deming. O'Brien measured gradients in five wells in the area (Figure 7) and reported gradients ranging from 25° C/km to 308° C/km. Ten water samples were collected by O'Brien and the field determinations are shown on Figure 7.

Based upon our previous experience in the area, I feel that thermal gradients measured in air above standing water are often suspect. Therefore, I recalculated all the gradients based upon the bottom hole temperatures and mean annual temperature, which reduces the anomaly to one point.

The AMAX reconnaissance program sampled several of the same sites and consistently came up with low thermal gradients. Our hydrogeochemistry suggests that while the waters are anomalous, they certainly do not signal anything spectacular. Therefore, I would recommend that AMAX do nothing more on this property.

San Agustin Prospect, New Mexico

The prospect is located in west-central New Mexico, approximately 50 miles west of Socorro. The land package consists of two separate lease parcels which total 21 sections (13,440 \pm acres) of federal lease applications and some state lands.

The O'Brien data package consisted of thermal gradients from ten wells in the area (Figure 8). The thermal gradients range from $27^{\circ}C/km$ to $177^{\circ}C/km$. Field determinations on six water samples were also presented which suggest some elevated values for SiO₂ and F.

AMAX reconnaissance in the general area confirms that it is an area of elevated heatflow and known warm water wells. At least two linear trends of thermal features are known, one is north-south which may be related to the Rio Grande rift system and the second is a northwest trending lineament. Until we know more about the regional thermal features and geology I feel it would be premature for AMAX to do any more work on this prospect.





Tres Hermanas Prospect, New Mexico

The prospect is located in southwestern New Mexico, approximately 25 miles south of Deming. The land position consists of eight sections (5120 \pm acres) of federal lease applications. O'Brien is attempting to get the state to offer the state lands but has not been successful to date.

The technical package presented by O'Brien consisted of our thermal gradient measurements and one hydrogeochemical site (Figure 9). The lease position had to be based solely upon the warm water well sampled. AMAX also sampled the same well and the results are shown below:

	Sample #	TH20	T _q SiO ₂	<u>TNa-Ca-K</u>	<u>Li</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>F</u>	<u>C1</u>	<u>Ca</u>	Na	<u>K</u>	<u>Si</u>
O'Brien	W1031	29	100	119	0.3	0.5	4.9	95	66	280	20	47
AMAX	W14163	29	103	137	0.3		5.2	70	56	290	19	50

AMAX has chemical data for many other wells in the area; and although the above geochemistry is interesting, other wells support the same or better geochemistry. Therefore, I would recommend that AMAX not pursue this particular prospect.

Tres Montosas Prospect, New Mexico

The prospect is located in west-central New Mexico, approximately 35 miles west of Socorro. The lease position consists of thirteen contiguous sections under federal geothermal lease applications.

The O'Brien data package consisted of nine thermal gradient measurements and three hydrogeochemical sites (Figure 10). Only one well (ΔT 220) has a gradient above background. The water chemistry is indicative of normal groundwater.

AMAX reconnaissance work measured two of the windmills which O'Brien measured with quite different results:

<u>0'Brien ∆T 220</u>	AMAX 80-72
BHT 36.0 ⁰ C	BHT 36.9°C
D 65m	D 70m
∆T 163 ⁰ C/km	∆T 138°C/km
0'Brien ∆T 221	AMAX 80-73
BHT 14.4 ⁰ C	BHT 16.0 ⁰ C
D 55m	D 60m
∆T 105 ⁰ C/km	∆T 56 ⁰ C/km





The water chemistry of the O'Brien sample is limited to the field determinations; however, it compares reasonably well with the USGS:

	Sample #	<u>TH20</u>	T _q SiO ₂	<u>TNa-Ca-K</u>	<u>Ca</u>	<u>Na</u>	K	<u>F</u>	<u>C1</u>	<u>Si02</u>
O'Brien USGS	W1153	36 36	89 106	137	8.4	82	1.2	0.6 3.2	16 24	35 54

The area is underlain by rocks of the Datil volcanic field and thus are too old to provide a heat source. It would appear that the warm water sample represents deep circulation. Therefore, I recommend that AMAX do no further work on the prospect.

Beryl Prospect, Utah

The prospect is located in southwestern Utah, approximately 40 miles west of Cedar City. The land position comprises two lease blocks which total twelve sections of fee and federal lease applications.

The technical data package includes eight thermal gradient observations and eight hydrogeochemical sites (Figure 11). Three anomalous thermal gradient wells lie along an east-west line between the two lease blocks. All the remaining holes have gradients equal to background except for ΔT 379 which probably is influenced by either vertical or lateral moving groundwaters. The water chemistry suggests somewhat elevated Cl values.

Based upon previous experience in the area, character of the thermal anomaly and location of the lease parcels with respect to the anomaly, I recommend that AMAX do no further work on the prospect.

Confusion Prospect, Utah

The prospect is located in west-central Utah, approximately 50 miles west of Delta. The land position consists of two lease blocks, one made up of 10 sections and the other of 5 sections. All the land is under federal lease applications.

O'Brien reported on twelve ΔT holes which range from 35 to 30 meters (Figure 12) with gradients of 5°C/km to 319°C/km. Five of the holes have bottom hole temperatures at or near mean annual temperature which suggests considerable cold water underflow. Six water sample sites were reported, of which four were greater than 20°C.

Geologically the area is underlain by rocks of the Lower Paleozoic. A Tertiary granite body is exposed in the House Range southeast of the property. The prospect appears to lie at the intersection of a Basin and Range fault and a northwest trending right lateral fault. Based upon the warm springs in the area, the lack of young volcanic rocks to indicate a magnetic heat source, and the nature of the water chemistry I believe the thermal anomaly is related to convective heatflow above a warm water aquifer. Therefore, I would not recommend any additional work by AMAX.





Topaz Mountain Prospect, Utah

The prospect is located in west-central Utah, approximately 45 miles northwest of Delta. The land package consists of 15 continguous sections under federal lease applications. The O'Brien lease applications abut the Brush Wellman geothermal leases.

The technical package included thermal gradient measurements from 28 holes which range from 45 to 120 meters deep. The reported gradients range from $35^{\circ}C/km$ to $195^{\circ}C/km$ (Figure 13). The seven holes greater than 90 meters deep have an average ΔT of $91^{\circ}C/km$. The three hydrogeochemical sites collected by 0'Brien give T_qSiO_2 in the $89^{\circ}C-106^{\circ}C$ range and the chloride contents range from 600-1500 ppm.

Geologically the area lies in an area of extensive mineralization associated with young volcanic rocks. The prospect lies along a circular fracture related to the Thomas Caldera. The area is characterized by above normal heatflow over an area of more than four townships which deserves more exploration. Therefore, I would recommend that AMAX attempt to work out a combined deal on the O'Brien and Brush Wellman lands. An option arrangement where AMAX would earn an interest in the properties by conducting a phased exploration program lending to a test for discovery. Brush Wellman has indicated that they would accept a deal whereby they kept the leases under their name to alleviate chargeability problems. Perhaps O'Brien would also be amenable to such an arrangement.

H. D. Pilkington

HDP/c

