## INTER-QFFICE MEMDRANDUM

subsect: Heat flow calculations, Grand View area, Idaho
date February 21, 1978

TO: J. E. Deymonaz
from: H. D. Pilkington

The numbers used in my memo of November 8, 1977, reflect a mixture of preliminary and final temperature 10 g data. As you know the time span of the drililing project, ended up covering several months. In order to have a map for management reviews it has been prepared based upon (1) preliminary T-logs and 2) revised when a significant change is noted in the final logging.

On the computer maps, printout etc. only the data for the final log has been used. Therefore, you will note some differences between my contour maps and the computer maps. The most recent version of the heat flow map has been mailed to you; however, the following summary will allow you to update your records:

Original Data
Final Data
Hole \#


844-
$\overline{85}, 280,13 \quad 5.0 \quad 4.3$

2 177, 142, $60,0,125,0,38$
$6.0 \quad 3.6$
$31,40,45 \quad 6.0 \quad 2.7$
$41,30, \overline{48}, 32-$
$6.0 \quad 2.9$
85,0,66,86,63
$4.5 \quad 2.8$
$48,25,60,25,42$
44
$258,55,185, \overline{247}$
$3.0 \quad 1.3$
$3.5 \quad 1.5$
$3.5 \quad 8.7$
$3.5 \quad 7.2$
$3.0 \quad 2.7$
11 91,1293
1232
$13150,125, \overline{103} \quad 5.1 \quad 5.3$
$14242,160,130,215,110$
$3.9 \quad 4.3$
154,76
$5.5 \quad 4.2$
188,102
170,135,175, $\overline{122}$
37,85,22,73,41,47
$41,107,495,190$
$88,462,-150,86$
97,104, $\overline{80}$
62,45
82,10,58,60, $\overline{51}$
260
27 222,206,249, $\overline{148}$
28 226,168,400,193
$5.0 \quad 5.1$
$3.5 \quad 4.3$
$4.5 \quad 2.1$
$3.0 \quad 3.2$
$4.5 \quad 4.5$
$3.9 \quad 3.1$
$3.7 \quad 1.7$
$6.0 \quad 3.1$
$6.0 \quad 0$
$4.5 \quad 6.7$
$5.2 \quad 10.1$

| 29 | $100,233,174,167, \overline{98}$ | 6.0 | 5.9 | $214,180,155,121, \overline{94}$ | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30 | $322,460,270,470, \overline{309}, 0$ | 4.5 | $0-13.9$ | $435,339, \overline{262}, 0$ | 4.4 | $0-11.6$ |
| 31 | $100, \overline{69}$ | 5.5 | 3.8 | $106,79,68$ | 5.5 | 3.8 |
| 32 | $91,50, \overline{100}, 340$ | 5.5 | 5.5 | $\overline{89}, 67,150$ | 5.5 | 4.9 |
| 33 | $58, \overline{90}$ | 6.0 | 5.4 | 93 | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| 34 | $248,525,248,336, \overline{189}$ | 4.5 | 8.5 | $231,293,483,245,326, \overline{171}$ | 5.0 | 8.5 |
| 35 | $177, \overline{131}$ | 4.5 | 5.9 | $166,124, \overline{131}$ | 4.3 | 5.6 |
| 36 | $303, \overline{264}$ | 3.0 | 7.9 | 300,268 | 3.0 | 7.9 |
| 37 | $105,64, \overline{34}, 51$ | 5.0 | 1.7 | $113,77,65, \overline{37}, 52$ | 5.0 | 1.9 |
| 38 | $325,190,165,290, \overline{177}$ | 4.5 | 8.0 | $525,398,778,303, \overline{178}$ | 4.4 | 7.8 |
| 39 | $46,20,40,21$ | 6.5 | 1.4 | 33,17 | 6.5 | 1.1 |
| $40,102,73,27,0$ | 5.5 | $0-4.0$ | $199,167, \overline{57}, 27,0$ | 6.5 | 3.7 |  |

The results of the conductivity measurement $844-35$ are $k=4.3$ which should give Q of 5.6 not 8.5 as reported in IOM December 8 , 1977 (see above)


HDP: d

