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#### Abstract

Multiple U-Th isotopic analyses of individual zircon crystals by ion microprobe define isochrons that discriminate between different crystallization ages of granitoid xenolith in lavas erupted 1065 and 2000 years ago from Medicine Lake volcano, CA, USA. Zircon ages indicate at least two intrusive episodes, $\sim 25$ and $\sim 90 \mathrm{ka}$, at times when silicic volcanism was rare, but basaltic volcanism was prevalent. Ar-Ar spectra require that the granitoid were completely crystalline thousands of years prior to their mobilization and eruption. These techniques demonstrate that individual zircon crystals can form rapidly enough to provide unique U-Th ages, and allow dating of $<300$ ka xenoliths from volcanic eruptions. © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Recent analytical advances now permit U-Th isotopic analysis of individual $30-50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ spots on U and Th -rich crystals separated from igneous rocks, potentially dating crystallization events, up to and including eruption or intrusion [1,2]. In $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Th}$ geochronology, ages are calculated either by pooled model ages [1], assuming an initial

[^0]${ }^{230} \mathrm{Th} /{ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}$ for the crystals, or by fitting analyses of multiple crystals with an isochron [2]. Ideally, it would be preferable to independently calculate the ages of individual crystals without the need to pool data or to assume an initial ${ }^{230} \mathrm{Th} /{ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}$ from a whole rock analysis [3]. One could then discrimingate the age of each crystal and, thereby, assess the importance of mixing, assimilation and multiple crystallization events in the history of the host rock.

We present single crystal isochron ages for circon $\left(\mathrm{ZrSiO}_{4}\right)$ crystals from granitoid xenolith [2,4-7] erupted as inclusions within glassy, late Holocene rhyolitic and dacitic lava flows from Medicine Lake volcano (MLV, [8], $41^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $121^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ). As shown below, these xenolith rep-
resent $<150 \mathrm{ka}$ intrusions related to MLV, but are significantly older than their host lavas. Using the Stanford-USGS, reverse geometry, sensitive high resolution ion microprobe (SHRIMP-RG), we conducted multiple U-Th isotopic analyses on individual crystals, producing isochrons that date their ages of formation. We find evidence for intrusion of at least two different shallow ( $<\sim 6 \mathrm{~km}$ ) granitoids at $\sim 90 \mathrm{ka}$ and again at $\sim 25 \mathrm{ka}$, periods dominated by mafic volcanism at MLV. Ar-Ar spectra indicate that the xenoliths were crystalline long before their eruption in the late Holocene.

## 2. Background and sample information

MLV is a Cascade volcano located about 60
km northeast of Mt. Shasta at the edge of the Basin and Range province, just south of the Cal-ifornia-Oregon border [4,8-11]. Seventeen Holocene eruptions produced rhyolitic domes and some intermediate lavas vented in and near a central caldera, as well as basaltic to andesitic lavas erupted outside the caldera. The most recent volcanism produced the $885 \pm 40$ yr Glass Mountain rhyolite [8,11].
Unaltered granitoid xenoliths occur in many Holocene lava flows [4,8,10-12] and many Pleistocene units at MLV; the vents, and therefore the sampled granitoid intrusions, must underlie a region exceeding $150 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. The xenoliths are compositionally and isotopically diverse, consistent with the existence of a variety of small, shallow intrusions and dikes rather than a single large pluton [9]. Because Holocene rhyolites such as

Table I
Sample information for granitoid xenoliths

| Sample | MD1 | MD2 | CGla | CG1b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Host lava | Medicine dacite | Medicine dacite | Crater Glass | Crater Glass |
| Field No. | 86-3 | 680 M | 2050 Ma | 2050 Mb |
| Host age[8] | $\sim 2000$ yr | $\sim 2000 \mathrm{yr}$ | $1065 \pm 90$ yr | $1065 \pm 90 \mathrm{yr}$ |
| Composition | granite | granite | granodiorite | diorite |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | 72.1 | 73.0 | 63.8 | 56.3 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 14.7 | 13.9 | 17.0 | 16.9 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{*}$ | 2.08 | 1.65 | 4.65 | 7.89 |
| MgO | 0.49 | 0.40 | 1.44 | 3.64 |
| CaO | 1.35 | 1.47 | 3.82 | 6.63 |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 3.71 | 3.73 | 4.43 | 4.64 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4.70 | 4.04 | 2.61 | 1.68 |
| $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.79 | 1.06 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.28 |
| MnO | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.17 |
| Total | 99.54 | 98.53 | 98.84 | 99.14 |
| LOI | 0.13 | 0.31 | 0.04 | 0.20 |
| Rb (ppm) | 139 | 134 | 65 | 49 |
| Sr (ppm) | 177 | 148 | 362 | 323 |
| Ba (ppm) | 850 | 776 | 821 | 412 |
| Zr (ppm) | 158 | 189 | 389 | 121 |
| Th (ppm) | 12.8 | 12.5 | 5.62 | 4.41 |
| U (ppm) | 4.28 | 4.90 | 2.23 | 1.94 |
| La(ppm) | 21.5 | 24.5 | 20.1 | 17.8 |
| Lu (ppm) | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.62 |
| $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}(\%)^{\text {a }}$ | $7.8 \pm 0.2(\mathrm{WR})$ | $8.8 \pm 0.2$ (Qtz) | $6.0 \pm 0.2$ (WR) | $5.9 \pm 0.2$ (WR) |

Major elements by WD-XRF at USGS, Denver, CO, USA (Dave Siems, analyst). All Fe as $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. LOI $=$ loss on ignition. Rb , $\mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Zr}$ by ED-XRF at USGS, Denver, CO (Dave Siems, analyst). Th, U, La, Lu by INAA at USGS, Denver, CO (Jim Budahn, analyst).
${ }^{a} \mathrm{WR}=\mathrm{Whole}$ rock; $\mathrm{Qtz}=$ analysis of quartz separate. Oxygen isotope analyses by Peter Larson (Washington State University).
the Glass Mountain flow resided in the shallow crust ( $<6 \mathrm{~km}$ ) prior to final ascent and eruption [11], these granitoid xenoliths must have been quarried from relatively shallow depths. However, only hydrothermally altered granitoids (one with a $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ age of $330 \pm 25 \mathrm{ka}$ ) have been found in geothermal drillholes, which extend down to about 3 km depths [12]. We therefore conclude that these fresh granitoid xenoliths were located below the hydrothermally altered rocks, at $\sim 3$ to 6 km depths, prior to their final ascent to the surface.

All the granitoid xenoliths (Table 1) are unaltered, fine to medium grained, intrusive rocks. Sample CraterGlass 1 (CG1) is a 20 cm diameter, composite xenolith of orthopyroxene bearing granodiorite (CG1-a) and diorite (CG1-b) from one of the Crater Glass Flows, the most northeastern domes emplaced during the $1065 \pm 90 \mathrm{yr}$ rhyolitic Little Glass Mountain eruption [8]. Both samples are fine grained, anhedral granular, pla-gioclase-rich rocks that show incipient melting around crystal boundaries. Such melting almost certainly occurred immediately prior to and during transport of the xenolith to the surface during eruption. Sample Medicine Dacite 1 (MD1) is an unmelted biotite bearing, anhedral granular granite with a partially granophyric (intergrown) groundmass. It was found within the Medicine dacite flow, which erupted about 2000 yr [8], ca. 3 km SE of the Crater Glass Flows. Sample MD2, also from the Medicine dacite flow, is an unmelted, porphyritic granite with a microcrystalline groundmass and biotite reacted to form Fe-oxide. Major and trace element compositions of the xenoliths (Table 1) and $\mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and O isotopic analyses are consistent with an origin of these granitoids as part of the MLV magmatic system [4]. The crystallization of the xenolith-forming magmas heretofore has not been linked with specific eruptive episodes.

All of the xenoliths contain alkali feldspar, found as small phenocrysts and as a groundmass phase. No form of K-feldspar is present as a phenocryst in any volcanic rocks of MLV. Therefore, the K-feldspar is a late crystallizing phase present in these intrusive rocks. X-ray diffraction indicates that the feldspar is likely a sanidine crypto-
perthite, with incipient unmixing that is not visible under microscopic examination. It is possible that a more ordered form of K-feldspar was present prior to re-heating and eruption, and was annealed to form the sanidine.

## 3. U-Th dating

Plausibly, these granitoid xenoliths could have been older than MLV ( $>\sim 0.5 \mathrm{Ma}$ ), with zircon cores inherited from Sierra- or Klamath basement rocks that are hypothesized to underlie MLV [13]. However, reconnaissance $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ analyses of zircons with the SHRIMP-RG indicate very little in situ formation of ${ }^{206} \mathrm{~Pb}$, so that they fall below the 150 ka chord on a conventional Tera-Wasserburg diagram [12].

In order to determine crystallization ages more precisely, the zircons were analyzed for U and Th nuclide abundances. ${ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}$ is a daughter product of ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ with $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=75 \mathrm{kyr}$. Daughter and parent are in secular equilibrium when their abundances are inversely proportional to their half-lives. When a daughter is fractionated from its parent, e.g. during crystallization of zircon from a melt, a discrete period of time ( $\sim$ five half-lives; 375 ka for ${ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}$ ) must elapse before secular equilibrium is re-attained [14]. Prior to that time, one may date the crystal by taking advantage of zonal variations in U/Th. These variations (Fig. 1) can cause different sectors within the grains to produce ${ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}$ at different rates. If the zircon crystallized rapidly, the sectors could form a linear isochron on a plot of $\left({ }^{238} \mathrm{U}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)$ versus $\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)$, with slope proportional to crystal age [15] and an intercept to the equiline, the line representing secular equilibrium, equal to the initial $\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)$ of the crystal (and presumably magma).

## 4. Analytical techniques and data reduction

We used standard mineral separation techniques to isolate zircon crystals from the xenoliths. Zircons were mounted in epoxy, polished, photographed in reflected light and imaged with


Fig. 1. CL image of crystal MD1-10. Dark zones correspond to areas higher in U (Table 2). U-Th systematics indicate a slightly younger age for the rim, compared to the core (see text and tables).
a scanning electron microscope using a cathodoluminescence (CL) detector. The mount was acidrinsed, coated with 100 nm of Au and left in the SHRIMP-RG sample chamber overnight to reach full vacuum. Using a $30 \mathrm{nA}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}^{-}$or ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$primary ion beam, a $50 \times 70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sized rectangular region was rastered for 2 min to remove the Au coat and any surface contamination. Afterwards, a flat-floored $25 \times 37 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ elliptical pit $(\sim 2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ deep) was excavated into the zircon, resulting in liberation of $\sim 4-6 \mathrm{ng}$ of sample that was sent as positive secondary ions to the mass spectrometer. Data were collected in 10 scans per point for ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Zr}_{2}^{16} \mathrm{O},{ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}^{16} \mathrm{O},{ }^{232} \mathrm{Th},{ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}^{16} \mathrm{O},{ }^{234} \mathrm{U},{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$, ${ }^{234} \mathrm{U}^{16} \mathrm{O}$ and ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}^{16} \mathrm{O}$ with count times per scan ranging from 2 to 15 s for each peak. Results for the oxides were converted to an atomic basis through $\quad{ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}=1.11 \times \mathrm{ThO}^{-} / \mathrm{UO}^{+} \quad[16]$. Standard analysis and protocols followed those in [2].

Data were collected during three analytical sessions, allowing re-polishing and Au coating of the samples in between. Repeated analyses on five individual grains yielded isochrons ([2]; Fig. 2). The isochrons were calculated with ISOPLOT $[17,18]$ and errors are reported as $2 \sigma$ uncertainties. We also determined model ages [1] by calculating two-point isochrons between the analyzed spot and an assumed initial $\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)$ of $1.050 \pm 0.363$. This value represents the weighted
mean of the intercepts of the five isochrons shown in Fig. 2, plus intercepts of best fits for the multiple grains analyzed in MD2, MD1 (without MD1-3 and MD1-10), CG1a-2, CG1b-15 and CGlb-5. Using just the isochrons shown in Fig. 2 , the weighted mean intercept is $1.01 \pm 0.52$, changing the calculated model ages at the most by only a few relative percent. These intercepts are consistent with whole rock values of lavas and granitoid xenoliths from MLV [19]. Model ages were calculated by:
$t=\frac{-\ln (1-m)}{\lambda}$
where $\lambda$ is the decay constant for ${ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}$, $9.217 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, and $m$ is the slope of the line connecting the analyzed datum and the assumed intercept, i.e.
$m=\frac{y-c}{x-c}$
where $x$ and $y$ are the $\left({ }^{238} \mathrm{U}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)$ and $\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right) /$ $\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)$ for the analyzed spot and $c$ is the intercept with the equiline (1.050). Calculation of the error for the model age ( $\sigma_{t}$ ) thereby requires propagation of all errors related to $y, x$ and $c$ according to Eqs. 4-9 of Bevington [20]. We then derive:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{i}=\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{(x-y)(x-c)}\left[(y-c)^{2} \sigma_{x}^{2}+(x-c)^{2} \sigma_{y}^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.\quad(x-y)^{2} \sigma_{c}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}=1 \sigma$ uncertainty on the intercept (0.363) ; $\lambda$ is as above, and $x, y, \sigma_{x}$ and $\sigma_{y}$ are the $\left({ }^{238} \mathrm{U}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)$ and $\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)$ and their relevant $1 \sigma$ errors as analyzed. The $\left({ }^{234} \mathrm{U}\right) /\left({ }^{238} \mathrm{U}\right)$ of all spots was taken as unity, an assumption verified by direct measurement. Weighted means and errors were calculated according to Eqs. 5-6 and Eqs. $5-10$ of Bevington [20]. U and Th concentrations (in ppm by weight) were quantified by comparing counts of ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Zr}_{2}^{16} \mathrm{O}$ to either ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ or ${ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}^{16} \mathrm{O}$ for the sample relative to the standard SL13 ( 572 Ma ), assumed to have homogeneous U and Th concentration of 238 and 21 ppm , respectively [21].


Fig. 2. (A)-(E) Plots of $\left({ }^{238} \mathrm{U}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)$ versus $\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)$ for single zircon crystals from granitoid xenoliths in silicic lava flows at MLV. Trends through the data represent least-squared regressions (with MSWD and probability of fit) as calculated by ISOPLOT $[17,18]$. The age is calculated from the slope of the regression line and the reported error is $2 \sigma$. However, error ellipses represent $1 \sigma$ analytical uncertainties.
$\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Ar}$ step-heating experiments were performed on K-feldspar and plagioclase separates from CGla, MD-1 and another sample from the Medicine dacite flow, according to methods outlined in [22].

## 5. Results

Data were collected during three analytical sessions, allowing re-polishing and Au coating of the samples in between. Repeated analyses on five

Table 2
Analytical data, concentrations and ages of individual spots from zircon crystals

| Spot \# | $\left({ }^{238} \mathrm{U}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)+1 \sigma$ | $\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)+1 \sigma$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Th}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{aligned}$ | Model age (ka) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Error } \\ & \text { (ka) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CGIa-1.1 | $1.866 \pm 0.024$ | $1.576 \pm 0.096$ | 2300 | 3800 | 112.3 | 60.5 |
| CGla-1.2 | $2.781 \pm 0.003$ | $1.826 \pm 0.106$ | 1600 | 1800 | 64.5 | 25.8 |
| CGla-1.3 | $3.242 \pm 0.088$ | $2.186 \pm 0.111$ | 2600 | 2500 | 79.2 | 21.8 |
| CGla-2.1 | $11.134 \pm 0.153$ | $7.384 \pm 0.454$ | 1900 | 500 | 107.3 | 14.0 |
| CGla-2.2 | $5.846 \pm 0.011$ | $3.177 \pm 0.386$ | 900 | 500 | 63.6 | 17.7 |
| CGla-3.2 | $5.634 \pm 0.022$ | $3.643 \pm 0.408$ | 600 | 300 | 90.5 | 23.9 |
| CG1a-4.1 | $3.468 \pm 0.009$ | $2.485 \pm 0.173$ | 1100 | 1000 | 97.7 | 25.1 |
| CG1b-5.2 | $5.330 \pm 0.008$ | $3.626 \pm 0.476$ | 500 | 300 | 99.9 | 31.7 |
| CG1b-5.3 | $6.326 \pm 0.103$ | $5.269 \pm 0.967$ | 200 | 100 | 174.4 | 99.9 |
| CG1b-5.4 | $4.125 \pm 0.010$ | $3.268 \pm 0.469$ | 400 | 300 | 138.6 | 60.7 |
| CG1b-5.5 | $4.896 \pm 0.017$ | $2.819 \pm 0.866$ | 300 | 200 | 66.9 | 46.4 |
| CG1b-6.2 | $2.231 \pm 0.002$ | $1.788 \pm 0.086$ | 1900 | 2600 | 106.3 | 39.4 |
| CG1b-6.3 | $2.309 \pm 0.002$ | $1.918 \pm 0.103$ | 1600 | 2100 | 126.9 | 42.4 |
| CG1b-6.4 | $1.648 \pm 0.001$ | $1.486 \pm 0.059$ | 2300 | 4700 | 141.8 | 76.9 |
| CG1b-6.5 | $19.84 \pm 0.167$ | $13.612 \pm 2.179$ | 600 | 200 | 119.8 | 38.0 |
| CG1b-15.1 | $3.064 \pm 0.021$ | $2.393 \pm 0.288$ | 800 | 800 | 119.2 | 50.6 |
| CGlb-15.2 | $4.281 \pm 0.021$ | $2.919 \pm 0.250$ | 700 | 500 | 93.7 | 23.4 |
| CG1b-16.1 | $4.461 \pm 0.010$ | $2.230 \pm 0.526$ | 500 | 300 | 46.1 | 28.1 |
| CG1b-16.2 | $7.511 \pm 0.012$ | $4.253 \pm 0.527$ | 1100 | 500 | 74.3 | 18.6 |
| CG1b-16.3 | $3.113 \pm 0.005$ | $2.078 \pm 0.234$ | 700 | 700 | 74.9 | 31.1 |
| CG1b-16.4 | $5.161 \pm 0.118$ | $2.949 \pm 0.373$ | 1100 | 700 | 67.2 | 20.8 |
| MD2-1.3 | $3.337 \pm 0.007$ | $1.875 \pm 0.176$ | 1100 | 1100 | 48.5 | 21.6 |
| MD2-2.2 | $2.925 \pm 0.003$ | $1.466 \pm 0.171$ | 1100 | 1200 | 27.2 | 24.6 |
| MD2-3.2 | $4.847 \pm 0.014$ | $1.614 \pm 0.485$ | 400 | 200 | 17.5 | 19.3 |
| MD2-4.2 | $3.223 \pm 0.013$ | $1.254 \pm 0.088$ | 2000 | 2000 | 10.7 | 18.8 |
| MD2-5.2 | $3.757 \pm 0.007$ | $1.345 \pm 0.147$ | 1300 | 1100 | 12.5 | 16.0 |
| MD2-6.2 | $4.839 \pm 0.008$ | $0.673 \pm 0.441$ | 700 | 900 | -10.3 | 15.5 |
| MD2-7.2 | $3.671 \pm 0.004$ | $1.519 \pm 0.120$ | 2500 | 2100 | 21.4 | 16.2 |
| MD2 8.3 | $2.005 \pm 0.006$ | $1.278 \pm 0.042$ | 7900 | 12400 | 29.7 | 41.7 |
| MD2-9.1 | $3.852 \pm 0.007$ | $2.259 \pm 0.176$ | 1600 | 1300 | 61.2 | 18.5 |
| MD2-10.1 | $2.260 \pm 0.002$ | $1.272 \pm .0 .069$ | 4800 | 6700 | 22.5 | 33.4 |
| MD2-11.1 | $4.346 \pm 0.008$ | $1.991 \pm 0.226$ | 1300 | 900 | 36.6 | 15.8 |
| MD2-12.1 | $3.322 \pm 0.004$ | $1.475 \pm 0.135$ | 1500 | 1400 | 22.5 | 19.1 |
| MD2-13.1 | $4.285 \pm 0.950$ | $1.397 \pm 0.527$ | 1100 | 800 | 12.3 | 23.6 |
| MD1-1.2 | $5.575 \pm 0.106$ | $2.797 \pm 0.503$ | 300 | 200 | 52.9 | 21.6 |
| MD1-3.2 | $4.510 \pm 0.008$ | $1.471 \pm 0.235$ | 900 | 600 | 14.1 | 14.1 |
| MD1-3.3 | $3.289 \pm 0.018$ | $1.241 \pm 0.153$ | 1600 | 1700 | 9.7 | 19.4 |
| MD1-3.4 | $4.685 \pm 0.135$ | $1.773 \pm 0.166$ | 1100 | 800 | 24.1 | 12.5 |
| MD1-3.5 | $3.407 \pm 0.019$ | $1.311 \pm 0.084$ | 1700 | 1700 | 12.7 | 17.3 |
| MD1-3.6 | $4.419 \pm 0.023$ | $1.290 \pm 0.165$ | 1100 | 900 | 8.0 | 13.0 |
| MD1-3.7 | $3.235 \pm 0.007$ | $1.235 \pm 0.108$ | 1500 | 1400 | 9.6 | 19.0 |
| MD1-3.8 | $4.913 \pm 0.016$ | $1.834 \pm 0.321$ | 700 | 500 | 24.6 | 15.2 |
| MD1-3.9 | $4.379 \pm 0.008$ | $1.111 \pm 0.263$ | 900 | 600 | 2.0 | 14.7 |
| MD1-3.10 | $3.090 \pm 0.004$ | $1.282 \pm 0.126$ | 1400 | 1500 | 13.1 | 20.7 |
| MD1-6.2 | $2.857 \pm 0.012$ | $1.710 \pm 0.114$ | 2900 | 3200 | 49.5 | 24.3 |
| MD1-10.2 | $22.464 \pm 0.050$ | $16.290 \pm 0.482$ | 4600 | 1800 | 134.9 | 8.7 |
| MD1-10.3 | $14.690 \pm 0.106$ | $9.270 \pm 0.266$ | 5400 | 1300 | 100.1 | 6.2 |
| MD1-10.4 | $10.045 \pm 0.016$ | $6.135 \pm 0.269$ | 2200 | 800 | 90.4 | 8.7 |
| MD1-10.5 | $8.495 \pm 0.069$ | $5.309 \pm 0.281$ | 1300 | 500 | 92.1 | 11.0 |
| MD1-10.6 | $9.340 \pm 0.016$ | $5.561 \pm 0.436$ | 1400 | 500 | 85.2 | 13.4 |
| MD1-10.7 | $9.650 \pm 0.850$ | $7.379 \pm 0.266$ | 4600 | 1500 | 144.5 | 13.8 |

Table 2 (continued)

| Spot \# | $\left({ }^{238} \mathrm{U}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)+1 \sigma$ | $\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)+1 \sigma$ | $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $\mathrm{Th}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | Model age <br> $(\mathrm{ka})$ | Error <br> $(\mathrm{ka})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MD1-11.2 | $3.178 \pm 0.015$ | $1.442 \pm 0.073$ | 2000 | 2000 | 22.1 | 19.1 |
| MD1 -12.2 | $5.061 \pm 0.108$ | $1.607 \pm 0.330$ | 600 | 400 | 16.2 | 14.3 |
| MD1-17.1 | $4.891 \pm 0.242$ | $1.947 \pm 0.368$ | 400 | 300 | 28.9 | 17.1 |
| MDI-18.1 | $4.889 \pm 0.041$ | $2.033 \pm 0.289$ | 500 | 300 | 32.1 | 15.0 |
| MD1-19.1 | $4.808 \pm 0.007$ | $2.075 \pm 0.243$ | 500 | 300 | 34.5 | 14.2 |

${ }^{4}$ Concentrations are rounded to the nearest 100 ppm .
individual grains yielded isochrons (Fig. 2). Three crystals from CG1 have dates of $57 \pm 38 \mathrm{ka}$, $70 \pm 49 \mathrm{ka}$ and $109 \pm 54 \mathrm{ka}$ (all isochrons shown with $2 \sigma$ errors), whereas the eruption age of the host lava is $1065 \pm 90 \mathrm{yr}$. The isochrons for CG1 intersect the equiline at activity ratios between 1.00 and 1.34 , similar to values found for whole rock lavas and xenoliths from MLV [19]. One zircon grain from sample MD1 has a crystallization age of $24 \pm 22 \mathrm{ka}$ (Fig. 2A), in comparison to an eruption age of 2 ka for the host Medicine dacite flow. Six spots were analyzed on MD1-10 (Fig. 1); a best-fit line through the data has a negative intercept and a poor probability of fit. However, an isochron for three spots on the rim yields an age of $82 \pm 110 \mathrm{ka}$ with a good probability of fit ( 0.68 ), a MSWD of 0.17 and an intercept of $1.70 \pm 9.95$ (Fig. 2B). Two spots in the core (spots \#2 and \#3) plot toward the equiline from this isochron and at higher $\left({ }^{238} \mathrm{U}\right) /\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right)$. Evidently, a young rim grew around an older ( $\sim 140 \mathrm{ka}$ ) core, as has been found for young zircons from the Taupo Volcanic Zone [23]. No other zircons were found to have rims with ages that are statistically different than their corresponding cores.

Fig. 3. Cumulative probability histograms for model ages of individual zircons from (A) CG1, (B) MD2 and (C) MD1. Zircons within CG1 and MD2 have weighted mean ages of $\sim 86$ and $\sim 27 \mathrm{ka}$, respectively. In (C), all except grain MD1 are fitted to a single peak with weighted mean of $21 \pm 4 \mathrm{ka}(31 \pm 6 \mathrm{ka}$ without nine analyses of MD1-3). Grain MD1-10 forms two peaks, presumably because the rim crystallized later than the core (see text). The cumulative probability distributions represent the summation of Gaussian curves for each analysis and its associated error, all normalized so that the area beneath the final curve is equal to $n$, the number of analyses.


Zircon model ages for individual spots [1] ranged from 174 to $<10 \mathrm{ka}$, consistent with the single crystal isochrons (Table 2). Model ages for zircons from the two rock types within CG1 are indistinguishable (Fig. 3A), and are older than nearly all grains from the two MD xenoliths. Given the relatively large analytical errors relative to zircon age (Table 2), it is useful to plot a cumulative probability distribution, where the Gaussian curves for all analyzed spots are overlapped to form one or more peaks (Fig. 3). Though the variation in zircon ages is large, the cumulative probability distribution indicates that the zircons from CGl are best interpreted as having a single age with a weighted mean of $86 \pm 6 \mathrm{ka}$ (Fig. 3A and Table 3; all model ages and means reported with $1 \sigma$ error). A single peak with identical age was also obtained using the computer program MIX [24], which uses the statistics of mixture modelling to identify multiple age populations within a group of zircons. The $86 \pm 6 \mathrm{ka}$ age overlaps that of the three isochrons for CG1. Moreover, the $1 \sigma$ errors for all but three spots overlap the weighted mean (and all $2 \sigma$ errors overlap). We conclude that none of the CG1 zircon ages is statistically different than the mean age for that sample.

Model ages for zircons from MD2 are all $<70$ ka and give a weighted mean of $27 \pm 6 \mathrm{ka}$ and a single peak in Fig. 3B. Most of the zircons from
the other Medicine dacite xenolith MD1, have a similar young age. The xenoliths from Medicine dacite are therefore younger than those studied from the Crater Glass flow. Model ages for spots from seven of the analyzed crystals from MD1 have a weighted mean of $31 \pm 6 \mathrm{ka}$. By including the nine analyses of crystal MD1-3, an age of $21 \pm 4 \mathrm{ka}$ is obtained. A single zoned crystal, MD1-10 is responsible for the two older peaks in Fig. 3C and the older isochron (Fig. 2B). Once again, MIX [24] corroborated the three peaks and ages shown within the cumulative probability distribution for sample MD1 (Fig. 3C).
Above, we have chosen to calculate weighted means for the zircon analyses rather than to report a spread of ages for each xenolith. With the exception of a single zircon (MD1-10), all analyses and their error bars fall within the $2 \sigma$ uncertainty of the mean age for their host xenolith. Thus, differences between ages of individual grains (or growth zones) within each xenolith are not statistically significant. Certainly there must have been a discrete time interval over which the zircons crystallized, but it currently cannot be defined precisely. With future improvements in the spatial resolution and sensitivity of ion microprobes, one might delineate a more exact time interval over which these xenoliths crystallized.

Table 3
Summary of U-Th results

| Sample | Pooled model ages ( $\mathrm{ka} \pm 1 \sigma$ ) | Isochron age $(\mathrm{ka} \pm 2 \sigma)$ | \# Spots | \# Grains | Intercept w/equiline $( \pm 1 \sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single crystals |  |  |  |  |  |
| MD1-3 | $14 \pm 5$ | $24 \pm 22$ | 9 | 1 | $0.762 \pm 0.752$ |
| MD1 10 | $103 \pm 4$ | -.. | 6 | 1 | -- |
| MD1-10 (rim) | $90 \pm 6$ | $82 \pm 110$ | 3 | 1 | $1.70 \pm 9.95$ |
| CGlb-16 | $67 \pm 12$ | $70 \pm 49$ | 4 | 1 | $1.019 \pm 2.126$ |
| CGlb-6 | $119 \pm 22$ | $109 \pm 54$ | 4 | 1 | $1.172 \pm 1.114$ |
| CG1a-1 | $76 \pm 16$ | $57 \pm 38$ | 3 | 1 | $1.022 \pm 0.288$ |
| Multiple crystals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CGlatb | $86 \pm 6$ | $\cdots$ | 21 | 8 |  |
| MD2 | $27 \pm 6$ | - | 12 | 12 | $1.075 \pm 0.567$ |
| MD1 | $31 \pm 6$ | - | 7 | 7 | $1.159 \pm 1.210$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ CGla +b and MD2 multiple crystal averages include all crystals from those samples. MD1 multiple crystal average does not include MD1-10 or MD1-3. Weighted mean for MD1 including MD1-3 is $21 \pm 4 \mathrm{ka}$.


Fig. 4. Ar Ar data for a K-feldspar separate from sample MDI. (A) Ar released between 825 and $1125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gives a plateau at $\sim 10 \mathrm{ka}$. The rock was likely partially reset from an older age during eruption. Higher temperature steps may 'remember' such an earlier crystallization event. Isochron (B) and inverse isochron (C) plots show the data for the $750-1125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ steps as filled circles, which form isochrons indicating ages of $\sim 7.5 \mathrm{ka}$ and intercepts within error of the ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}{ }^{36} \mathrm{Ar}$ ratio of atmospheric Ar . The plus symbols denote the higher temperature steps that were not included in the plateau shown in (A). Errors are $1 \sigma$.

## 6. Intrusive episodes beneath MLV

At least two episodes of granitoid magmatism are evident (Fig. 3 and Table 3). One episode, represented by CG1, suggests intrusion at $86 \pm 6$ ka beneath the site of the present day Crater Glass Flows. Granitic magma also intruded $20-$ 30 ka beneath the subsequent site of the Medicine dacite flow (MD1 and MD2). A single crystal found within MD1 is older than all others, with a core that crystallized $\sim 140 \mathrm{ka}$, and a younger $\operatorname{rim}(\sim 95 \mathrm{ka})$. The grain may be derived from an earlier intrusion that was partially assimilated during subsequent magmatism.

Both the isochrons and the model ages are useful in unraveling the history of magmatism. The isochrons of single crystals differentiate age pop-
ulations within a single unit or crystal (e.g. MD110 ) and permit independent inference of the initial $\left({ }^{230} \mathrm{Th}\right) /\left({ }^{232} \mathrm{Th}\right)$ of the zircons. The fact that multiple analyses of an individual zircon can form an isochron implies that the entire grain crystallized over a relatively limited time interval. When individual zircons or zircon separates are nearly uniform in age, the data can be pooled so that different spots are weighted by the magnitude of their analytical uncertainties, thereby minimizing the leverage of analyses with large errors. This results in weighted mean ages with relatively small estimated errors (Table 3 and Fig. 3).

The zircon ages are older than ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar} /{ }^{39} \mathrm{Ar}(\mathrm{Ar}-$ Ar ) dates on K-feldspar from the same xenoliths. For example, K-feldspar from CG1 yielded identical isochron and inverse isochron ages of
$17.0 \pm 1.6 \mathrm{ka}$, about 70000 years younger than the pooled zircon model age (plateau age of $20.6 \pm 0.6$ ka). Likewise, MD1 has a plateau age of $9.8 \pm 0.6$ ka and isochron and inverse isochrons of about $7.5 \pm 2.4 \mathrm{ka}$, relative to zircon ages some $10-20$ thousand years older (Fig. 4). An age spectrum for a plagioclase separate from MD1 gave an identical result. The younger Ar-Ar ages are explained by heating of the xenolith and partial degassing of radiogenic Ar during eruption. The stable plateaus and linear isochrons for these samples (Fig. 4) therefore provide only minimum estimates of crystallization age (i.e. the samples were partially, but not totally re-set during eruption, or they would have yielded ages of 1-2 ka). For CG1, the feldspar groundmass had crystallized during or after zircon crystallization, but before 17 ka . Subsequently, the rock remained
crystalline until eruption $1065 \pm 90 \mathrm{yr}$, when it was partially reset. Similarly, MD1 and MD2 must have cooled below their solidi, and stayed there, between $\sim 20-30 \mathrm{ka}$ (zircon ages) and 7 ka (Ar-Ar age). We have opted not to interpret the Ar spectra in terms of cooling through an estimated closure temperature for the host mineral phase [25], because we know neither the rate of cooling nor structural state of the feldspar prior to final re-heating and eruption. Therefore, we conservatively interpret the Ar data as minimum (youngest possible) crystallization ages for the feldspars.

Neither the Ar-Ar nor U-Th ages (Fig. 5) provide any record of pre-MLV (including Mesozoic) basement inferred to exist beneath the volcano [13]. Either the granitoids were generated by melting of pre-MLV basement without preserving any


Fig. 5. U-Th model ages for all analyzed spots plus their $1 \sigma$ error bars. Vertically, the zircon ages are arranged by relative age and by eruptive unit. The Ar-Ar ages for the granitoid xenoliths were partially re-set during eruption in the late Holocene. (A) The two samples from the Medicine dacite flow are both young, with mean zircon ages $<30 \mathrm{ka}$. One crystal (MD1-10) is older, with a rim interpreted to be younger than its core (Fig. 3C). (B) The sample from Crater Glass flow is interpreted to have a single age of $86 \pm 6 \mathrm{ka}$.
relict zircons, or they crystallized from young in-termediate-to-silicic melts related to MLV and its underlying Pleistocene-aged crust [4].

In either scenario, granitoid intrusion coincided with two brief but voluminous episodes of mafic volcanism at MLV, approximately 90 and 30 ka , respectively. More than $15 \mathrm{~km}^{3}$ of basaltic lava vented in three eruptions between 97 and 85 ka and now cover over half of the southeast and east flanks of the volcano. The basalt of Mammoth Crater and andesite of Indian Butte were erupted between 20 and 36 ka (Donnelly-Nolan and Lanphere, in preparation). The intermediate to silicic granitoids thus may represent melts of young crust that formed due to high-heat flux during episodes of abundant mafic volcanism [9,11,26]. Limited rhyolitic and dacitic volcanism between 85 and 10 ka indicates that little of this silicic magma reached the surface. Only one rhyolite was erupted during this time, the $\sim 30$ ka rhyolite of Mt. Hoffman, and it does not correlate well with MD1 or MD2 (e.g. $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ of 5.5 WR and 6.3 (plagioclase) compared with the much higher values for those two xenoliths; [10], Table 1). Similarly, no dacitic or andesitic eruptive units correlate with CGla and b .

## 7. Summary

This study shows that $<100$ ka zircons may be successfully dated by U-Th techniques, and that even very young magmatic events can be differentiated (i.e. 25 versus 90 ka ). Multiple analyses of individual grains are particularly useful, as they can provide detailed histories of zircon growth. Moreover, if such growth is rapid, the multiple analyses permit dating using isochron techniques. Our results also reveal periods of silicic magmatism at MLV that were not indicated by surface volcanism, and allowed us to piece together part of the plutonic history of the volcano. Because subvolcanic intrusions can control the distribution of subsequent lavas [2], host ore bodies [27], power hydrothermal systems, and when melted, can modify the chemical and isotopic composition of ascending lavas [11,26,28], they have an integral role in the architecture and dynamics of mag-
matic systems. Grain-by-grain studies of zircon and other minerals will be a critical tool in unraveling the complex history of volcanoes and their underlying intrusions.
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